

Module Title: INFORMATICS 1A

Exam Diet (Dec/April/Aug): DECEMBER 2005

Brief notes on answers:

```
1. type Day = Int
   type Month = String
   type Date = (Day, Month)

months :: [Month]
months = ["Jan", "Feb", "Mar", "Apr", "May", "Jun",
         "Jul", "Aug", "Sep", "Oct", "Nov", "Dec"]

(a) index :: Month -> Int
    index m = head [ i | (i,m') <- zip [1..] months, m==m' ]
    or

    index :: Month -> Int
    index "Jan" = 1
    index "Feb" = 2
    ...
    index "Dec" = 12

(b) sensible :: Date -> Bool
    sensible (d,m) = 1 <= d && d <= 31 && elem m months

(c) before :: Date -> Date -> Bool
    before (d,m) (d',m') = index m < index m' || index m == index m' && d < d'

(d) show2 :: Int -> String
    show2 n = [intToDigit (n `div` 10), intToDigit (n `mod` 10)]

showDate :: Date -> String
showDate (d,m) | sensible (d,m) = show2 d ++ "/" ++ show2 (index m)
               | otherwise      = "**/**"
```

2. (a) `six :: Int -> Int`
`six n = (if n `mod` 2 == 0 then 2 else 1) * (if n `mod` 3 == 0`
`then 3 else 1)`
or
`six :: Int -> Int`
`six n = six' (n `mod` 6)`
- `six' 0 = 6`
`six' 1 = 1`
`six' 2 = 2`
`six' 3 = 3`
`six' 4 = 2`
`six' 5 = 1`
- (b) `sixes :: [Int] -> [Int]`
`sixes xs = [six x | x <- xs, x > 0]`
- (c) `sixes :: [Int] -> [Int]`
`sixes [] = []`
`sixes (x:xs) | x > 0 = six x : sixes xs`
`| otherwise = sixes xs`

3. (a) `before :: [Date] -> Bool`
`before xs = and [before x x' | (x,x') <- zip xs (drop 1 xs)]`
- (b) `before :: [Date] -> Bool`
`before [] = True`
`before [x] = True`
`before (x:y:zs) = before x y && before (y:zs)`

Part B COMPUTATION AND LOGIC

4. The truth tables and outcomes are as follows:

(a) This is contingent.

		$E1$	$E2$	$E3$	
a	b	$not(a)$	$E1 \text{ or } b$	$b \rightarrow a$	$E2 \leftrightarrow E3$
t	t	f	t	t	t
t	f	f	f	t	f
f	t	t	t	f	f
f	f	t	t	t	t

(b) This is a tautology.

		$E1$	$E2$	$E3$	$E4$	
a	b	$a \rightarrow b$	$not(a)$	$not(b)$	$E3 \rightarrow E2$	$E1 \rightarrow E4$
t	t	t	f	f	t	t
t	f	f	f	t	f	t
f	t	t	t	f	t	t
f	f	t	t	t	t	t

(c) This is an inconsistency.

		$E1$	$E2$	$E3$	$E4$	
a	b	$a \rightarrow b$	$not(a)$	$E2 \text{ or } b$	$not(E1)$	$E4 \text{ and } E3$
t	t	t	f	t	f	f
t	f	f	f	f	t	f
f	t	t	t	t	f	f
f	f	t	t	t	f	f

5. For this question the answer requires the circuit to be described as a logical expression; then the expression solved in two different ways.

(a) The expression is:

$$not((not(a \text{ or } b) \text{ or } c) \text{ and } (not(c \text{ and } d) \text{ or } e)) \text{ or } e)$$

The truth table is:

a	b	c	d	e	$E1$	$E2$	$E3$	$E4$	$E5$	$E6$	$E7$	$E8$		
					$a \text{ or } b$	$not(E1)$	$E2 \text{ or } c$	$c \text{ and } d$	$not(E4)$	$E5 \text{ or } e$	$E3 \text{ and } E6$	$not(E7)$	$E8 \text{ or } e$	
t	t	t	t	t	t	f	t	t	f	t	t	f	t	t
t	t	t	t	f	t	f	t	t	f	f	f	t	t	t
t	t	f	t	t	t	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t	t
t	t	f	t	f	t	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t	t
t	f	t	t	t	t	f	t	t	f	t	t	f	t	t
t	f	t	t	f	t	f	t	t	f	f	f	t	t	t
t	f	f	t	t	t	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t	t
t	f	f	t	f	t	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t	t

The expression is true (with a and d true) regardless of the truth values of the other inputs. Hence those other inputs are redundant as far as the behaviour of the circuit is concerned.

(b) An appropriate proof is via the following sequence of proof rules (some details of sub-proofs omitted here - the student should supply them):

- To prove $[b, (a \text{ or } b) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow e] \vdash e$:
- *imp_elim* gives $[b, (a \text{ or } b) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow e] \vdash c$
- *imp_elim* gives $[b, (a \text{ or } b) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow e] \vdash (a \text{ or } b)$
- *or_intro_right* gives $[b, (a \text{ or } b) \rightarrow c, c \rightarrow e] \vdash b$

6. Answers are:

(a) A plausible FSM is as follows, where *ic* is inserting a card; *rs* is requesting a statement; *ds* is dispensing a statement; *rm* is requesting money; *re* is refusing money; *dm* is dispensing money; and *ec* is ejecting a card.

(b) The transition relation is described as the following table:

	ic	rs	ds	rm	re	dm	ec
1	2						
2		5		3			
3					2	4	
4							1
5			2				

(c) This FSM is deterministic because there is no state at which the same action leads to two different states.

7. Answers are:

(a) An appropriate FSM is as follows:

(b) It is NOT possible to draw the FSM for this acceptor because it would need to be able to count arbitrarily large numbers of 0s.

8. Answers are:

(a) $((0|10)^*)|(0|10)^*1$.

(b) $0(0|1)^*0|(1(0|1)^*1)$.