

Notes 1.

Rik Sarkar

Notes

Optimizations. In class we discussed optimization problems and approximations. For a given function $f(\cdot)$, a *maximization* problem is to find the input x to f that achieves the maximum possible $f(x)$. Similarly, a *minimization* problems about finding the input to minimize f .

For the influence maximization problem, the input x too the form of subsets of V . This creates a computational challenge, since there are many possible subsets of V . We were looking for subsets of size k , but even then, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ possibilities, which is can be very large for a large k .

Q 1. Suppose $k = n/2$. Show that the number of possible subsets of size k is at least $2^{\Omega(n)}$.

Approximations. For a maximization problem, if f achieves its maximum value for input x^* and $f(x^*) = OPT$, then a c -approximation algorithm finds an x such that $f(x) \geq c \cdot OPT$. The value for c in this case will be a positive fraction less than 1.

For a minimization problem, if f achieves its minimum value for input x^* and $f(x^*) = OPT$, then a c -approximation algorithm finds an x such that $f(x) \leq c \cdot OPT$. The value of c will be greater than 1.

In both cases, c is called the approximation factor.

Q 2. What is the range of possible values for the approximation factor of an approximation algorithm for the influence maximization problem?

Q 3. Suppose we want to find shortest paths. Is this is a maximization or minimization problem? What is the range of possible values for the approximation factor of an approximation algorithm for this problem?

Two very useful inequalities:

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \leq e$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)^x \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

We will make use of these many times in the course.

Q 4. Suppose a tortoise is at distance n meters from its destination. It is getting tired with time, and in each hour, it covers $1/2$ of the remaining distance to the destination. How long does it take the tortoise to get to the destination?

Q 5. How long does it take it to get to within 1 meter of the destination?

Q 6. Now suppose instead the tortoise covers $1/k$ of the remaining distance to the destination in each hour. How long does it take it to get to within 1 meter?

Q 7. If the tortoise covers $1/k$ of the remaining distance to the destination in each hour, what fraction of the distance remains to be covered after 1 hr? What fraction remains to be covered after k hours?

Q 8. In the independent activation model, suppose node u has neighbors v_1, v_2, \dots and the corresponding edges have associated probabilities p_1, p_2, \dots . Suppose the probability of u being activated is $p(u)$. If all neighbors v_1, v_2, \dots are active, can we say that $p(u) \leq p_1 + p_2 + \dots$? What is the exact probability of u becoming active?

Q 9. Suppose for a node x in a network, an edge to each other $n - 1$ nodes exists with probability $\frac{\ln n}{n-1}$. Show that the probability that x has no edge is $\leq \frac{1}{n}$ [hint: use $(1 - \frac{1}{x})^x$ with $x = \frac{\ln n}{n-1}$.]

Problem instances An *instance* of a problem is the problem asked for a particular dataset. For example, finding shortest path is an algorithmic problem, while finding the shortest path between a specific pair of nodes on a particular network is an instance of the shortest path problem.

NP hardness. (optional. not in exam). There are certain problems that are considered NP-hard, and belong to the class of problems called NP-hard. Let us refer to this set as *NPH*.

It can be shown that for any pair of problems $A, B \in NPH$, any given instance of A can be “reduced” to an instance of B in polynomial time. Meaning that given an instance of A , we can convert it to an instance of B in polynomial time, and then any solution of the instance of B can be converted back to a solution of the instance of A in polynomial time.

This also implies that if there is a polynomial time solution to B , then that can be used to get a polynomial time solution of A via the reduction. Thus, if any problem in *NPH* has a polynomial time solution, then every problem in *NPH* will have a polynomial time solution via the reduction. It is however generally believed that NP-hard problems cannot have polynomial time solutions. Though a proof of this fact is not known.

When we encounter a new problem C , the usual way to show that it is NP-hard is to take a known problem $B \in NPH$ and show that a polynomial time reduction exists from B to C . This implies that C is NP hard, and a polynomial time algorithm is unlikely. If a poly time algorithm is found for C , that would imply that all problems in *NPH* has poly time algorithms. Thus, once we have shown a problem to be NP-hard, finding poly time algorithms for it is really unlikely.