

Randomness and Computation

or, “Randomized Algorithms”

Mary Cryan

School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 1

Chernoff Bounds from the book (other direction)

Theorem (4.5)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent 0/1 Poisson trials such that $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. For any $0 < \delta < 1$, we have the following Chernoff bounds:

1.
$$\Pr[X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1 - \delta)^{1-\delta}} \right)^\mu ;$$

2.
$$\Pr[X \leq (1 - \delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\mu\delta^2/2};$$

- ▶ Proof is similar to Thm 4.4.
- ▶ Bound of 2. is slightly *better* than for the $\geq (1 + \delta)\mu$ bound.
- ▶ No 3. Why?

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 3

Chernoff Bounds from the book

Poisson trials - sequence of Bernoulli variables X_i with varying p_i s.

Theorem (4.4)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent 0/1 Poisson trials such that $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. We have the following Chernoff bounds:

1. For any $\delta > 0$,

$$\Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{1+\delta}} \right)^\mu ;$$

2. For any $0 < \delta \leq 1$,

$$\Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\mu\delta^2/3};$$

3. For $R \geq 6\mu$,

$$\Pr[X \geq R] \leq 2^{-R}.$$

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 2

Concentration

Corollary (4.6)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent 0/1 Poisson trials such that $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. Then for any $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$,

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu] \leq 2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}.$$

- ▶ For almost all applications, we will want to work with a *symmetric* version like the Corollary.
- ▶ We “threw away” a bit in moving from the $\left(\frac{e^{\pm\delta}}{(1 \pm \delta)^{1 \pm \delta}} \right)^\mu$ versions, but they are tricky to work with.

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 4

Analysing a collection of coin flips

Suppose we have $p_i = 1/2$ for all $i \in [n]$.

We have $\mu = E[X] = \frac{n}{2}$, $\text{Var}[X] = \frac{n}{4}$.

Consider the probability of being further than $5\sqrt{n}$ from μ .

Chebyshev $\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq 5\sqrt{n}] \leq \frac{\text{Var}[X]}{25n} = \frac{1}{100}$

Chernoff Work out the δ - we need $\mu\delta = 5\sqrt{n}$, so need $\delta = 5\sqrt{n}/\mu = 10\sqrt{n}/n = \frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}$. Then by Chernoff

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq 5\sqrt{n}] \leq 2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3} = 2e^{-\frac{10^2 \cdot n}{3 \cdot \sqrt{n}^2}} = 2e^{-16.6\dots}$$

This is much smaller than the Chebyshev bound (section 4.2.2 has other examples).

Get much improved bounds because Chernoff uses specialised analysis for sums of independent Bernoulli variables.

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 5

Unbiased 0/1 variables

Consider Y_1, \dots, Y_n such that $\Pr[Y_i = 1] = 1/2$ for every $i \in [n]$. Define $X_i = 2Y_i - 1$ for every $i \in [n]$. Then

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & | Y_i = 1 \\ -1 & | Y_i = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note also that for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = t \iff \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 2t - n$$

Corollary (4.9, 4.10)

For $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, we have

$$\Pr[Y \geq \frac{n}{2} + a] = \Pr[X \geq 2a] \leq e^{-2a^2/n}$$

$$\Pr[Y \leq \frac{n}{2} - a] = \Pr[X \leq -2a] \leq e^{-2a^2/n}$$

where the \leq bounds come from applying Thm 4.7 to X and to $-X$.

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 7

Unbiased +1/ - 1 variables

In fact, for the case of unbiased variables, we can do even better than $2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$. We first (like the book) switch to +1/-1 variables.

Theorem (4.7)

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables with

$\Pr[X_i = 1] = 1/2 = \Pr[X_i = -1]$ for all $i \in [n]$. Let $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$. Note $\mu = E[X] = 0$. Then for any $a > 0$,

$$\Pr[X \geq a] \leq e^{-a^2/2n}.$$

Proof is in the book.

(uses Taylor series expansions for e^t , e^{-t}). \square

Constant is just a bit better than with Theorem 4.4. I will do the details of the comparison on the visualiser.

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 6

Set Balancing for statistical experiments

We have an $n \times m$ binary matrix A (entries from $\{0, 1\}$). We consider the value of

$$A \cdot \bar{b} = \bar{c},$$

when $\bar{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$ (note \bar{c} will then be n -dimensional).

Goal is to find $\bar{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$ such that the value of $\|A \cdot \bar{b}\|_\infty = \max_{j=1}^n |c_j|$ is minimized.

Solution: choose $\bar{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$ by generating b_i independently and uniformly from $\{-1, +1\}$. We can show

Theorem (4.11)

For \bar{b} chosen uar from $\{-1, +1\}^m$,

$$\Pr[\|A\bar{b}\|_\infty \geq \sqrt{4m \ln(n)}] \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 8

Set Balancing for statistical experiments

- ▶ $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the absolute value of the largest entry of the tuple. We want to show that with high probability, every entry of $A \cdot \bar{b}$ has absolute value $\leq \sqrt{4m \ln(n)}$.
- ▶ There are n different entries of $\bar{c} = A \cdot \bar{b}$; we will show that for each entry, we are “small enough” with probability $\geq 1 - \frac{2}{n^2}$. Then Union Bound shows that $\|A \cdot \bar{b}\|_\infty$ is bounded with prob $\geq 1 - \frac{2}{n}$.
- ▶ For any row i of A , there are some entries $S_j, |S_j| \leq m$ which are non-0 (ie, 1). The absolute value of $A_i \cdot \bar{b}$ is the (absolute) weighted sum of these 1s, randomly weighted by +1 or -1 ... so we have S_j random trials of unbiased +1/-1. Setting $a = \sqrt{4m \ln(n)}$, Thm 4.7 says the probability we exceed this is at most

$$2e^{-4m \ln(n)/2|S_j|} = 2n^{-2m/|S_j|} \leq \frac{2}{n^2},$$

as required.



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 9

The rest of the course

- Lects 9-10 The “birthday paradox” and (more generally) “balls in bins”.
- Lects 11-12 The Probabilistic method, derandomization via Conditional expectation (cont’d), 2nd moment method.
- Lect 13 The Lovasz Local Lemma and its application to proving existence (6.7, 6.8)
- Lects 14-15 Markov chain basics and application to 2SAT (7.1, 7.2)
- Lects 16-17 The Monte Carlo method, DNF counting (some of Chapter 11)
- Lects 18-19 Mixing time bounds for Markov chains (Chapter 11, some of Chapter 12)



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 11

More General Chernoff Bounds

Many many variations. My favourite general statement is McDiarmid’s presentation:

Theorem

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent random variables, X_k taking values in a set A_k , for every $k \in [n]$. Suppose that the (measurable) function $f : \prod_{k=1}^n A_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$|f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x}')| \leq c_k$$

whenever \bar{x}, \bar{x}' only differ in the k -th coordinate.

Let Y be the random variable $f[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. Then for any $t > 0$,

$$\Pr[|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq t] \leq 2 \exp \left[\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{k \in [n]} c_k^2} \right].$$



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 10

References

- ▶ Chapter 4 of “Probability and Computing”
- ▶ We don’t have time to cover the packet routing analysis of 4.5. It’s worth reading (but not examinable in the exam).



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 8 – slide 12