

Randomness and Computation

or, “Randomized Algorithms”

Mary Cryan

School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 1

Balls in Bins

- ▶ m balls, n bins, and balls thrown *uniformly at random* into bins (usually one at a time).
- ▶ Magic bins with no upper limit on capacity.
- ▶ Common model of random allocations and their affect on overall *load* and *load balance*, typical *distribution* in the system.
- ▶ “Classic” question - what does the distribution look like for $m = n$? Max load? (*with high probability* results are what we want).
- ▶ We have already shown that when $m = n$ (same number of balls as bins) and n is sufficiently large, the maximum load is $\leq \frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$.
We will show an $\Omega(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)})$ bound today.

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 2

Some preliminary observations, definitions

The probability is of a specific bin (bin i , say) being empty:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m \sim e^{-m/n}.$$

Expected number of empty bins: $\sim ne^{-m/n}$

Probability p_r of a specific bin having r balls:

$$p_r = \binom{m}{r} \frac{1}{n}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m-r}.$$

Note

$$p_r \sim \frac{e^{-m/n} m^r}{r! n}.$$

Definition (5.1)

A discrete *Poisson random variable* X with parameter μ is given by the following probability distribution on $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$:

$$\Pr[X = j] = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^j}{j!}.$$

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 3

Poisson as the limit of the Binomial Distribution

Theorem (5.5)

If X_n is a binomial random variable with parameters n and $p = p(n)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} np = \lambda$ is a constant (independent of n), then for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[X_n = k] = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}.$$

RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 4

Poisson modelling of balls-in-bins

Our balls in bins model has n bins, m (for variable m) balls, and the balls are thrown into bins independently and uniformly at random.

Each bin $X_i^{(m)}$ behaves like a binomial r.v $B(m, \frac{1}{n})$.

Write $(X_1^{(m)}, \dots, X_n^{(m)})$ for the joint distribution (note the various $X_i^{(m)}$ s are *not* independent).

For the “Poisson approximation” we take $\lambda = \frac{m}{n}$, and write $Y_i^{(m)}$ to denote a Poisson r.v with parameter $\lambda = m/n$.

We write $(Y_1^{(m)}, \dots, Y_n^{(m)})$ to denote a joint distribution of *independent* Poisson r.v.s which are all independent.



Lower bound for n “balls in bins”

Lemma (5.12)

Let n balls be thrown independently and uniformly at random into n bins. Then (for n sufficiently large) the maximum load is at least $\ln(n) / \ln \ln(n)$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof.

For the Poisson variables, we have $\lambda = \frac{n}{n} = 1$. Let $M = \lceil \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} \rceil$. For any bin i (say),

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr_{\text{Pois}}[\text{bin } i \text{ has load } \geq M] \\ & \geq \Pr_{\text{Pois}}[\text{bin } i \text{ has load } = M] \\ & = \frac{1^M e^{-1}}{M!} = \frac{1}{eM!} \end{aligned}$$

In our Poisson model, the bins are independent, so the probability *no bin has load* $\geq M$ (our bad event) is at most

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{eM!}\right)^n \leq e^{-n/(eM!)}.$$



Some preliminaries

Theorem (5.7)

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative function. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_1^{(m)}, \dots, X_n^{(m)})] \leq e\sqrt{m} \cdot \mathbb{E}[f(Y_1^{(m)}, \dots, Y_n^{(m)})].$$

Corollary (5.9)

Any event that takes place with probability p in the “Poisson case” takes place with probability at most $pe\sqrt{m}$ in the exact balls-in-bins case.



Lower bound for n “balls in bins”

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

We now relate $\Pr_{\text{Pois}}[\text{bin } i \text{ has load } \geq M]$ to the probability of the same event in the balls-in-bins model.

Corollary 5.9 tells us that when we consider the exact balls-in-bins distribution $(X_1^{(n)}, \dots, X_n^{(n)})$, that the probability of the event “no bin has $\geq M$ balls” is at most

$$e\sqrt{n} \cdot e^{-n/(eM!)}.$$

We want this less than n^{-1} , ie we want $e^{1-n/(eM!)} \leq n^{-3/2}$. Taking $\ln(\cdot)$ of both sides, this happens if

$$\left(1 - \frac{n}{eM!}\right) \leq -\frac{3}{2} \ln(n) \Leftrightarrow 1 + \frac{3}{2} \ln(n) \leq \frac{n}{eM!}.$$

Now $M! \leq e\sqrt{M} \left(\frac{M}{e}\right)^M \leq M \left(\frac{M}{e}\right)^M$ (Lemma 5.8), hence $\frac{n}{eM!} \geq \frac{ne^M}{eM^{M+1}}$. □



Lower bound for n “balls in bins”

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Therefore it will suffice to show that $1 + \frac{3}{2} \ln(n) \leq \frac{ne^M}{eM^{M+1}}$, or (for sufficiently large n), that

$$2 \ln(n) \leq \frac{ne^M}{eM^{M+1}}.$$

Taking the \ln of both sides, this happens (using $M \sim \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$) when

$$\ln(2) + \ln \ln(n) \leq \left(\ln(n) + \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} \right) - \left(1 + \left(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + 1 \right) (\ln \ln(n) - \ln \ln \ln(n)) \right),$$

ie, exactly when

$$1 + \ln(2) + \ln \ln(n) \leq \left(\ln(n) + \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} \right) - \ln(n) - \ln \ln(n) + \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + \ln \ln \ln(n),$$

ie, exactly when

$$1 + \ln(2) + 2 \ln \ln(n) \leq \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + \ln \ln \ln(n).$$



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 9

References and Exercises

- ▶ Sections 5.1, 5.2 of “Probability and Computing”.
- ▶ Sections 5.3 and 5.4 have all precise details of our $\Omega\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}\right)$ result.
- ▶ Section 5.5 on Hashing is worth a read and has none of the Poisson stuff (I’m skipping it because of time limitations).

Exercises

I will release a tutorial sheet.



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 11

Lower bound for n “balls in bins”

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

To show that

$$1 + \ln(2) + 2 \ln \ln(n) \leq \frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} + \ln \ln \ln(n)$$

we will multiply across by $\ln \ln(n)$, to verify the equivalent inequality

$$(1 + \ln(2)) \ln \ln(n) + 2 (\ln \ln(n))^2 \leq \ln(n) + \ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n) + \ln \ln \ln(n) \ln \ln(n).$$

At this point we notice that we have two terms on the right ($\ln(n)$ and $\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)$) which are exponentially larger than the two terms on the lhs - both lhs terms only grow wrt $\ln \ln(n)$.

We do not need to check the numbers - as n grows the rhs will certainly be greater than the lhs.

Hence our claim holds. □



RC (2018/19) – Lecture 10 – slide 10