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## Problem formulation

- We want to estimate the parameters $\theta$ of a parametric statistical model for a random vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.
- Given: data $\mathbf{x}_{i}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}$, iid, following $p_{*}$
- Model pdf: $p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$
- Assumptions:
- Model $p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is known only up the partition function

$$
p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\frac{\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \quad Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\int_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}
$$

- Evaluation of $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is tractable.
- Partition function $Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ cannot be computed analytically in closed form and numerical approximation is expensive.
- Goal: Estimate the model without approximating the partition function $\mathbf{Z}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.


## Basic ideas of score matching

- Maximum likelihood estimation can be understood to find parameter values $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ so that

$$
p(\mathbf{x} ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \approx p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { or } \quad \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \approx \log p_{*}(\mathbf{x})
$$

(as measured by Kullback-Leibler divergence, see e.g. Barber 8.7)

- Instead of estimating the parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ by matching (log) densities, score matching identifies parameter values $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ for which the derivatives (slopes) of the log densities match

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \approx \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_{*}(\mathbf{x})
$$

- $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ does not depend on the partition function:

$$
\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}[\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})-\log Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})]=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})
$$

The score function (in the context of score matching)

- Define the model score function $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ as

$$
\psi(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{d}}
\end{array}\right)=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})
$$

While defined in terms of $p(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, we also have

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})
$$

- Similarly, define the data score function as

$$
\psi_{*}(\mathbf{x})=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log p_{*}(\mathbf{x})
$$

## Definition of the SM objective function

- Estimate $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ by minimising a distance between model score function $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ and score function of observed data $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathrm{sm}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x})\left\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\left\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{*}$ denotes the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{p_{*}}$ with respect to $p_{*}(\mathbf{x})$

- Since $\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ does not depend on $Z(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ there is no need to compute the partition function.
- Knowing the unnormalised model $\tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is enough.
- Expectation $\mathbb{E}_{*}$ with respect to $p_{*}$ can be approximated as sample average over the observed data, but what about $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}$ ?
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## Reformulation of the SM objective function

- In the objective function we have the score function of the data distribution $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}$. How to compute it?
- In fact, no need to compute it because the score matching objective function $J_{\text {sm }}$ can be expressed as

$$
J_{\mathrm{Sm}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\mathbb{E}_{*} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{j}^{2}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]+\text { const. }
$$

where the constant does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, and

$$
\psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\frac{\partial \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}} \quad \partial_{j} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})=\frac{\partial^{2} \log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}^{2}}
$$

## Proof (general idea)

- Use Euclidean distance and expand the objective function $J_{s m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathrm{sm}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\left\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^{2}-\mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right]+\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\left\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}(\mathbf{x})\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{d} \mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \psi_{*, j}(\mathbf{x})\right]+\text { const }
\end{aligned}
$$

- First term does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}$. The $\psi_{j}$ and $\psi_{*, j}$ are the $j$-th elements of the vectors $\psi$ and $\psi_{*}$, respectively. Constant does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.
- The trick is to use integration by parts for the second term to get an objective function which does not involve $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{*}$.


## Proof (not examinable)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \psi_{*, j}(\mathbf{x})\right] & =\int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{*, j}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \log p_{*}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d \mathbf{x} \\
& =\prod_{k \neq j} \int_{x_{k}}\left(\int_{x_{j}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \log p_{*}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d x_{j}\right) d x_{k} \\
& =\prod_{k \neq j} \int_{x_{k}}\left(\int_{x_{j}} \frac{\partial p_{*}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d x_{j}\right) d x_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Use integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_{j}} \frac{\partial p_{*}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{j}} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) d x_{j} & =\left[p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}}-\int_{x_{j}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{j} \\
& =-\int_{x_{j}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ specify the boundaries of the data pdf $p_{*}$ along dimension $j$ and where we assume that $\left[p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}}=0$.

## Proof (not examinable)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }\left[p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}}=0: \\
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \psi_{*, j}(\mathbf{x})\right] & =-\prod_{k \neq j} \int_{x_{k}}\left(\int_{x_{j}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{j}\right) d x_{k} \\
& =-\int_{\mathbf{x}} p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial x_{j}} d \mathbf{x} \\
& =-\mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathrm{sm}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}_{*}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{d}-\mathbb{E}_{*}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]+\text { const } \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{*} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{j}^{2}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]+\text { const }
\end{aligned}
$$

Replacing the expectation / integration over the data density $p_{*}$ by a sample average over the observed data gives a computable objective function for score matching.

## Final method of score matching

- Given iid data $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}$, the score matching estimate is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} & =\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\
J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\psi_{j}$ is the partial derivative of the $\log$ unnormalised model $\log \tilde{p}$ with respect to the $j$-th coordinate (slope) and $\partial_{j} \psi_{j}$ its second partial derivative (curvature).

- Parameter estimation with intractable partition functions without approximating the partition function.


## Requirements

$$
J(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left[\partial_{j} \psi_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \psi_{j}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} ; \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Requirements:

- technical (from the proof): $\left[p_{*}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{j}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})\right]_{a_{j}}^{b_{j}}=0$, where the $a_{j}$ and $b_{j}$ specify the boundaries of the data pdf $p_{*}$ along dimension $j$
- smoothness: second derivatives of $\log \tilde{p}(\mathbf{x} ; \boldsymbol{\theta})$ with respect to the $x_{j}$ need to exist, and should be smooth with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ so that $J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ can be optimised with gradient-based methods.


## Simple example

- $\tilde{p}(x ; \theta)=\exp \left(-\theta x^{2} / 2\right)$, parameter $\theta>0$ is the precision.
- The slope and curvature of the log unnormalised model are

$$
\psi(x ; \theta)=\partial_{x} \log \tilde{p}(x ; \theta)=-\theta x, \quad \partial_{x} \psi(x ; \theta)=-\theta
$$

- If $p_{*}$ is Gaussian, $\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} p_{*}(x) \psi(x ; \theta)=0$ for all $\theta$.
- Score matching objective

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J(\theta)=-\theta+\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}=\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- For Gaussians, same as the MLE.



## Extensions

- Score matching as presented here only works for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$
- There are extensions for discrete and non-negative random variables (not examinable) https://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/ahyvarin/papers/CSDA07.pdf
- Can be shown to be part of a general framework to estimate unnormalised models (not examinable) https://michaelgutmann.github.io/assets/papers/Gutmann2011b.pdf
- Overall message: in some situations, other learning criteria than maximum likelihood are preferable.
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