

## Exercise 1. Maximum likelihood estimation for a Gaussian

The Gaussian pdf parametrised by mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  is given by

$$p(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right], \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma)$$

(a) Given iid data  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , what is the likelihood function  $L(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for the Gaussian model?

Solution. For iid data, the likelihood function is

$$L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i}^{n} p(x_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
(S.1)

$$=\prod_{i}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$
(S.2)

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right].$$
 (S.3)

(b) What is the log-likelihood function  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ ?

**Solution.** Taking the log of the likelihood function gives

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2$$
(S.4)

(c) Show that the maximum likelihood estimates for the mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  are the sample mean

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \tag{1}$$

and the square root of the sample variance

$$S^{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \bar{x})^{2}.$$
 (2)

**Solution.** Since the logarithm is strictly monotonically increasing, the maximiser of the log-likelihood equals the maximiser of the likelihood. It is easier to take derivatives for the log-likelihood function than for the likelihood function so that the maximum likelihood estimate is typically determined using the log-likelihood.

Given the algebraic expression of  $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , it is simpler to work with the variance  $v = \sigma^2$  rather than the standard deviation. (In the lecture notes, we used the variable  $\eta$  to denote the transformed parameters. We could have written  $\eta = \sigma^2$ , but v is a more natural notation for the variance.) Since  $\sigma > 0$  the function  $v = g(\sigma) = \sigma^2$  is invertible, and the invariance of the MLE to re-parametrisation guarantees that

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\hat{v}}.$$

We now thus maximise the function  $J(\mu, v)$ ,

$$J(\mu, v) = -\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi v) - \frac{1}{2v}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^2$$
(S.5)

with respect to  $\mu$  and v.

Taking partial derivatives gives

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu) \tag{S.6}$$

$$= \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \frac{n}{v} \mu$$
 (S.7)

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial v} = -\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{2v^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^2$$
(S.8)

A necessary condition for optimality is that the partial derivatives are zero. We thus obtain the conditions

$$\frac{1}{v}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu) = 0$$
(S.9)

$$-\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{2v^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$
 (S.10)

From the first condition it follows that

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \tag{S.11}$$

The second condition thus becomes

$$-\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{2v^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 = 0 \qquad \text{(multiply with } v^2 \text{ and rearrange)} \tag{S.12}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 = \frac{n}{2}v,$$
(S.13)

and hence

$$\hat{v} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2,$$
 (S.14)

We now check that this solution corresponds to a maximum by computing the Hessian matrix

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mu^2} & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mu \partial v} \\ \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial \mu \partial v} & \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial v^2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(S.15)

If the Hessian negative definite at  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v})$ , the point is a (local) maximum. Since we only have one critical point,  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v})$ , the local maximum is also a global maximum. Taking second derivatives gives

$$\mathbf{H}(\mu, v) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n}{v} & -\frac{1}{v^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) \\ -\frac{1}{v^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) & \frac{n}{2} \frac{1}{v^2} - \frac{1}{v^3} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (S.16)

Substituting the values for  $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v})$  gives

$$\mathbf{H}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{v}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n}{\hat{v}} & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{n}{2}\frac{1}{\hat{v}^2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(S.17)

which is negative definite. Note that the the (negative) curvature increases with n, which means that  $J(\mu, v)$ , and hence the log-likelihood becomes more and more peaked as the number of data points n increases.

## Exercise 2. Posterior of the mean of a Gaussian with known variance

Given iid data  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ , compute  $p(\mu | \mathcal{D}, \sigma^2)$  for the Bayesian model

$$p(x|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \qquad \qquad p(\mu;\mu_0,\sigma_0^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu-\mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right] \tag{3}$$

where  $\sigma^2$  is a fixed known quantity.

Hint: You will need the result from Tutorial 5 for taking the product of Gaussians.

**Solution.** Recall the following result from Tutorial 5:

$$\mathcal{N}(x; m_1, \sigma_1^2) \mathcal{N}(x; m_2, \sigma_2^2) \propto \mathcal{N}(x; m_3, \sigma_3^2)$$
(S.18)

where

$$\mathcal{N}(x;\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$$
(S.19)

$$\sigma_3^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_2^2}\right)^{-1} = \frac{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} \tag{S.20}$$

$$m_3 = \sigma_3^2 \left( \frac{m_1}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{m_2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) = m_1 + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2} (m_2 - m_1)$$
(S.21)

We can further re-use the expression for the likelihood  $L(\mu)$  from Exercise 1 in the main tutorial sheet,

$$L(\mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right],$$
 (S.22)

which we can write as

$$L(\mu) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right]$$
(S.23)

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^2 - 2\mu x_i + \mu^2)\right]$$
 (S.24)

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(-2\mu\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n\mu^2\right)\right]$$
(S.25)

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\left(-2n\mu\bar{x}+n\mu^2\right)\right] \tag{S.26}$$

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\mu - \bar{x})^2\right] \tag{S.27}$$

$$\propto \mathcal{N}(\mu; \bar{x}, \sigma^2/n).$$
 (S.28)

The posterior is

$$p(\mu|\mathcal{D}) \propto L(\theta)p(\mu;\mu_0,\sigma_0^2)$$
 (S.29)

$$\propto \mathcal{N}(\mu; \bar{x}, \sigma^2/n) \mathcal{N}(\mu; \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$
(S.30)

so that with (S.18), we have

$$p(\mu|\mathcal{D}) \propto \mathcal{N}(\mu;\mu_n,\sigma_n^2)$$
 (S.31)

$$\sigma_n^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2}\right)^{-1}$$
(S.32)

$$=\frac{\sigma_0^2 \sigma^2/n}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2/n} \tag{S.33}$$

$$\mu_n = \sigma_n^2 \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{\sigma^2/n} + \frac{\mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \right) \tag{S.34}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2/n} \left( \sigma_0^2 \bar{x} + (\sigma^2/n) \mu_0 \right)$$
(S.35)

$$= \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2/n} \bar{x} + \frac{\sigma^2/n}{\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2/n} \mu_0$$
(S.36)

which are the expressions given in the lecture slides. As n increases,  $\sigma^2/n$  goes to zero so that  $\sigma_n^2 \to 0$  and  $\mu_n \to \bar{x}$ . This means that with an increasing amount of data, the posterior of the mean tends to be concentrated around the maximum likelihood estimate  $\bar{x}$ .

From (S.21), we also have that

$$\mu_n = \mu_0 + \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 / n + \sigma_0^2} (\bar{x} - \mu_0), \qquad (S.37)$$

which shows more clearly that the value of  $\mu_n$  lies on a line with end-points  $\mu_0$  (for n = 0) and  $\bar{x}$  (for  $n \to \infty$ ). As the amount of data increases,  $\mu_n$  moves form the mean under the prior,  $\mu_0$ , to the average of the observed sample, that is the MLE  $\bar{x}$ .