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## Recap

- Undirected and directed graphical models have complementary properties
- Both encode and (visually) represent statistical independencies (l-maps)
- Graphs tell us how probability density/mass functions factorise
- For directed graphs with parent sets pai

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} p\left(x_{i} \mid \mathrm{pa}_{i}\right)
$$

- For undirected graphs with maximal clique sets $\mathcal{X}_{c}$

$$
p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \prod_{c} \phi_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c}\right)
$$

## Program

1. What are factor graphs?
2. Advantages over directed or undirected graphs?

## Program

1. What are factor graphs?

- Definition
- Visualising Gibbs distributions as factor graphs
- Visualising factors that are conditionals

2. Advantages over directed or undirected graphs?

## Definition of factor graphs

- A factor graph represents the factorisation of an arbitrary function (not necessarily related to pdfs/pmfs)
- Example: $h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=f_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) f_{B}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) f_{C}\left(x_{4}\right)$

Factor graph (FG):


- Two types of nodes: factor and variable nodes
- Convention: squares for factors, circles for variables (other conventions are used too)


## Definition of factor graphs

- Example: $h\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=f_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) f_{B}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) f_{C}\left(x_{4}\right)$ Factor graph (FG):

- Edge between variable $x$ and factor $f \Leftrightarrow x$ is an argument of $f$
- Variable nodes are always connected to factor nodes; no direct links between factor or variable nodes (FGs are bipartite graphs)
- We can also use directed edges (to indicate conditionals)


## Visualising Gibbs distributions as factor graphs

- Example: $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{4}\right)$

- General case: $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \propto \prod_{c} \phi_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{c}\right)$
- Factor node for all $\phi_{c}$
- For all factors $\phi_{c}$ :
draw an undirected edge between $\phi_{c}$ and all $x_{i} \in \mathcal{X}_{c}$.
- Can visualise any undirected graphical model as a factor graph.


## Visualising Gibbs distributions as factor graphs

Some differences to visualisation with undirected graph:

- Factors $\phi_{c}$ are shown; makes the graphs more informative (see next slide)
- Variables $x_{i}$ are neighbours if they are connected to the same factor.
$p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{Z} \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{4}\right)$




## More informative than undirected graphs

- Mapping from Gibbs distribution to undirected graph is many to one but one-to-one for factor graphs.
- Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{A}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \propto \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right) \\
& p_{B}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \propto \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



FG for $p_{B}$


## Visualising factors that are conditionals

- For $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, we may want to include the information that $x_{3}$ is conditioned on $x_{1}, x_{2}$
- Use arrows as in directed graphs.

- Can visualise any directed graphical model as a factor graph.


## Mixed graphs

- Let $p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
- Directed graphs forces ordering of the random variables; undirected graph does not show conditioning on $x_{1}, x_{2}$

- Mixed FG to visualise the conditioning for $p\left(x_{3} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ without imposing an ordering on $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$



## Program

## 1. What are factor graphs?

2. Advantages over directed or undirected graphs?

- Computational advantages
- Statistical advantages


## Importance of factorisation

- Factorisation was central in the development so far
- But directed and undirected graphs are not able to fully represent arbitrary factorisations of pdfs/pmfs.
For example, same graph for

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \propto \phi_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x_{3}, x_{1}\right) \\
& p\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \propto \phi\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



- We should expect that being able to better represent the factorisation has advantages.


## Example of computational advantages

Assume binary random variables $x_{i}$.

- Same undirected graph but
$p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \propto \phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ has $2^{d}$ free parameters, $p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \propto \prod_{i<j} \phi_{i j}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ has $\binom{d}{2} 2^{2}$ free parameters parameters $\equiv$ entries to specify in a table representation
- The difference matters for learning and inference when the number of variables is large.




## Example of statistical advantages

- Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be two inputs
- $x_{1}$ controls variable $y_{1}$
$x_{2}$ controls $y_{2}$
- Variables $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ influence each other

- Model: $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right)$ (probabilistic modelling: pdf/pmf $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ captures uncertainty about how the $x_{i}$ affect the $y_{i}$ and about how the $y_{i}$ interact)
- Choose $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ such that $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ satisfies
- $x_{1} \Perp x_{2} \quad$ (independence between control variables)
- $x_{1} \Perp y_{2} \mid y_{1}, x_{2} \quad\left(y_{2}\right.$ is only directly influenced by $y_{1}$ and $\left.x_{2}\right)$
- $x_{2} \Perp y_{1} \mid y_{2}, x_{1} \quad\left(y_{1}\right.$ is only directly influenced by $y_{2}$ and $\left.x_{1}\right)$


## Example of statistical advantages

- Three independencies are satisfied if $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ factorises as

$$
p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=p\left(y_{1} \mid x_{1}\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) n\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)
$$

where $n\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ ensures normalisation of $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$

$$
n\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\int p\left(y_{1} \mid x_{1}\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{1} \mathrm{~d} y_{2}\right)^{-1}
$$

(see tutorials)

- Directed and undirected graphs cannot represent the independencies induced by factorisation of $p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ (see tutorials).
- Factor graphs and chain graphs (see Barber, Section 4.3, not covered in lecture) can represent them.
- Factor graphs can represent independencies that DAGs or UGs cannot or do not represent.

Example of statistical advantages
(not examinable)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Overall model: } \\
& p\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\overbrace{p\left(y_{1} \mid x_{1}\right) p\left(y_{2} \mid x_{2}\right) \phi\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) n\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}^{p\left(y_{1}, y_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)} p\left(x_{1}\right) p\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Factor graph (Note: directed edges to $y_{1}$, $y_{2}$ for all factors involved in the conditional)

- Independencies can be found from separation rules for factor graphs (see Barber, Section 4.4.1, and original paper "Extending Factor Graphs so as to Unify


## Program recap

1. What are factor graphs?

- Definition
- Visualising Gibbs distributions as factor graphs
- Visualising factors that are conditionals

2. Advantages over directed or undirected graphs?

- Computational advantages
- Statistical advantages

