Natural Language Generation: An Introduction

Lecture 1

January 18, 2013

http://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/nlg

Books & Course Readings

Recommended Text

Ehud Reiter and Robert Dale, *Building Natural Language Generation Systems,* Cambridge University Press, 2000.

Readings

- available on web site

Natural Language Generation

- Instructor: Johanna Moore
- With help from Amy Isard
- Class meetings
 - Tues, Fri 16:10--17:00
 - Lecture theater 3, 7 Bristo Square
- Prerequisites: (Inf 2A), FNLP or ANLP
- Lectures; no tutorials
 - but some lab sessions devoted to helping with use of software tools
- Assessment
 - 30% coursework (2 assessed assignments)
 - 70% final exam

What's it all about?

 How computer programs can be made to produce high-quality natural language text or speech

- from computer-internal representations of information
- other texts



Topics include

- Basic NLG tasks
- Generating coherent discourse
- Evaluation methods
- Human language production
- Multimodal generation
- Knowledge-based and statistical approaches
- Using example applications of NLG
 - Recommendation and Comparison
 - Report Generation
 - Summarization
 - Paraphrase
 - Prompt and response generation in dialogue systems



Graphical depiction of FoG's input: predicted weather system over Northern Canada

Example System #1: FoG

- Function:
 - Produces textual weather reports in English and French
- Input:
 - Numerical weather simulation data annotated by human forecaster
- User:
 - Environment Canada (Canadian Weather Service)
- Developer:
 - CoGenTex
- Status:
 - Fielded, in operational use since 1993
 - E. Goldberg, R. Kittredge, and N. Driedger. 1994. FoG: A New Approach to the Synthesis of Weather Forecast Text. In IEEE Expert, April 1994.



Marine forecast describing predicted weather over various points in Northern Canada

Example #2: MATCH Multimodal Dialogue System



- Function: Provides information about restaurants in New York City
 Input:
 - User query: Typed and spoken language, gesture
 - User model
 - Restaurant database
- Output: Spoken, written and graphical output
- Developer: AT&T Research Labs
- Status: Research Prototype

Johnston, M., et al., "MATCH: An Architecture for Multimodal Dialogue Systems", ACL 2002

Solution: User-Tailored Generation

- More compact, directed information presentations could improve the user experience and aid in task completion
- User models can help:
 - Highlight options the user is likely to like
 - Highlight reasons the user is likely to like them
- Evaluation of MATCH and several other systems employing user models indicates user tailored generation leads to improved
 - User satisfaction
 - Task efficiency
 - Task effectiveness

11

"Show me Italian restaurants in the West Village".

User can then click on and find information about each restaurant in turn

Time-consuming, potentially confusing and hit-and-miss





Comparisons for two users: CK and OR



- CK: Among the selected restaurants, the following offer exceptional overall value. Babbo's price is 60 dollars. It has superb food quality, excellent service and excellent decor. Il Mulino's price is 65 dollars. It has superb food quality, excellent service and very good decor. Uguale's price is 33 dollars. It has excellent food quality, very good service and good decor.
- OR: Among the selected restaurants, the following offer exceptional overall value. Uguale's price is 33 dollars. It has good decor and very good service. It's a French, Italian restaurant. Da Andrea's price is 28 dollars. It has good decor and very good service. It's an Italian restaurant. John's Pizzeria's price is 20 dollars. It has mediocre decor and decent service. It's an Italian, Pizza restaurant.

NLG is all about making choices

- Content to be included/omitted
- Organization of content into coherent structure
- Style (formality, opinion, genre, personality...)
- Packaging into sentences
- Syntactic constructions
- How to refer to entities (referring expression generation)
- What words to use (lexical choice)

Example: Monthly Weather Summary Generator

On Campus, Square F9 TEMPERATURES (C)	Tot Win	
Mean Max for Mth: 18.1 Warmer than average	je Cal	
Mean Max for June (20 yrs): 17.2	SUN	
Highest Max (Warmest Day): 23.9 on Lowest Max (Coldest Day): 13. On 1	01 2 Dat	
Mean Min for Mth: 08.2 Much warmer than av	′g 01	
Mean Min for June (20 yrs): 06.4	11	
Lowest Min (Coldest Night): 02.6 on	09 21	
Highest Min (Warmest Night): 13.5 on	24 30	
RAINFALL (mm) (24 hrs to 09:00)	(Su	
Total Rain for Mth: 90.4 on 12 days. Slightly below avera	(Su (So	
Wettest Day (24h to 09:00): 26.4 on	11	
Average for June (25 yrs): 109.0 on	10 511	
Total for 06 mths so far: 542.0 on days	72 The	
Very depleted.		
Average for 06 mths (25 yrs): 762.0 on days	71 war	
Annual Average Rainfall (25 yrs):1142.8 on 1 days	.31 09 col	

MARSFIELD (Macquarie University No 1)

WIND RUN (a	t 2m neight)	(Km) (24 hr	s to 09:00)	
Total Wind Run for Mth: 1660				
Windiest Da	y (24 hrs to	09:00): 185 on 26,	189 on 24, 172 on 27	
Calmest Day	(24 hrs to	09:00):	09 on 16	
SUNRISE & SUNSET				
Date	Sunrise	Sunset	Difference	
01 Jun	06:52	16:54	10:02	
11 Jun	06:57	16:53	09:56	
21 Jun	07:00	16:54	09:54	
30 Jun	07:01	16:57	09:56	

unset times began to get later after about June 11) unrise times continue to get later until early July) oon we can take advantage of the later sunsets)

MMARY

month was warmer than average with average nfall, but the total rain so far for the year is ill very depleted. The month began with mild to rm maximums, and became cooler as the month ogressed, with some very cold nights such as June 09 with 02.6. Some other years have had much colder June nights than this, and minimums below zero in June are not very unusual. The month was mostly calm, but strong winds blew on 23, 24 and 26, 27. Fog occurred on 17, 18 after some rain on 17, heavy rain fell on 11 June.

NLG Tasks and Pipeline Architecture





Text/Document Planning

Determine

- what information to communicate
- how to structure information into a coherent text

Two Common Approaches:

- methods based on observations about common text structures (Schemas)
- methods based on reasoning about the purpose of the text and discourse coherence (Rhetorical Structure Theory, planning)

Rhetorical predicates

Attributive: Mary has a pink coat.

Equivalence: Wines described as 'great' are fine wines from an especially good village.

Specification: [The machine is heavy.] It weighs 2 tons.

- **Constituency:** [This is an octopus.] There is his eye, these are his legs, and he has these suction cups.
- **Evidence:** [The audience recognized the difference.] They started laughing right from the very first frames of that film.

Alternatives: We can visit the Empire State Building or the Natural History Museum.

Adapted from: McKeown, K., "Text Generation", Cambridge University Press, 1985.

Content Selection: Schema-based

Based on MESSAGES, predefined data structures:

- correspond to informational units in the text
- collect together underlying data in ways that are convenient for linguistic expression

How to devise MESSAGE types?

- Rhetorical predicates: generalizations made by linguists
- From corpus analysis, identify agglomerations of informational elements that allow required flexibility in linguistic expression
 - Application dependent

Content Selection in WeatherReporter

Corpus based approach

- Routine messages: always included
 - MonthlyRainFallMsg, MonthlyTemperatureMsg, RainSoFarMsg, MonthlyRainyDaysMsg
- Significant Event messages: Only constructed if the data warrants it: e.g., if rain occurs on more than a specified number of days in a row
 - RainEventMsg, RainSpellMsg, TemperatureEventMsg, TemperatureSpellMsg

Content Selection in WeatherReporter Document Planning in WeatherReporter Define Schemas: A RainSpellMsg: ((message-id msg096) WeatherSummary \rightarrow (message-type rainspellmsg) TemperatureInfo RainfallInfo (period ((begin ((day 04) (month 02) TemperatureInfo \rightarrow (year 1995))) MonthlyTemperatureMsg [ExtremeTempInfo] [TempSpellsInfo] (end ((day 11) RainfallInfo \rightarrow (month 02) MonthlyRainfallMsg [RainyDaysInfo] [RainSpellsInfo] (year 1995))) (duration ((unit day) RainyDaysInfo \rightarrow (number 8))))) MonthlyRainyDaysMsg RainSoFarMsg (amount ((unit millimetres) . . . (number 120)))) **Text/Document Planning A Simple Realizer** Sets of sentence templates, e.g., Produces a text/document plan - a tree structure populated by messages at its leaf nodes For the MonthlyTemperatureMsg: • For a very simple NLG system, next step is TempString = case (TEMP - AVERAGETEMP) [2.0 ... 2.9]: 'very much warmer than average.' realizing the messages as text [1.0 ... 1.9]: 'much warmer than average.' [0.1 ... 0.9]: 'slightly warmer than average.' [-0.1 ... -0.9]: 'slightly cooler than average.' [-1.0 ... -1.9]: 'much cooler than average.' [-2.0 ... -2.9]: 'very much cooler than average.' endcase Sentence = 'The month was' + TempString



Some Weather Reporter Examples

Without aggregation:

- Heavy rain fell on the 27th.
 Heavy rain fell on the 28th.
- With aggregation via simple conjunction:
 - Heavy rain fell on the 27th and heavy rain fell on the 28th.

With aggregation via ellipsis:

- Heavy rain fell on the 27th and [] on the 28th.

With aggregation via set introduction:

- Heavy rain fell on the 27th and 28th.

Generating Referring Expressions (GRE)

- How do we identify specific domain objects and entities?
- GRE produces description of object or event that allows hearer to distinguish it from distractors
- Two issues:
 - Initial introduction of an object
 - Subsequent references to an already salient object

Choose words and syntactic structures to express content selected If several lexicalisations are possible, consider: user knowledge and preferences consistency with previous usage Pragmatics: emphasis, level of formality, personality, ... interaction with other aspects of microplanning Example: rainfall was very poor NP: a much worse than average rainfall ADJP: much drier than average

Referring Expression Generation in WeatherReporter

- Referring to months:
 - June 1999
 - June

Lexicalisation

- the month
- next June
- Referring to temporal intervals
 - 8 days starting from the 11th
 - From the 11th to the 18th
- Relatively simple, so can be hardcoded in document planning

With Sentence Planning

Many different results are possible:

- The month was cooler than average. It was also drier than average, even though there was an average number of rainy days this month. Although there was rain every day for 8 days from the 11th to the 18th, rainfall amounts were mostly small. The total rain for the year so far is well below average.
- The month was cooler and drier than average, with the average number of rainy days. The total rain for the year so far is well below average. Even though there was rain every day from the 11th to the 18th, rainfall amounts were mostly small.

Linguistic Realisation

Map semantic representations to lexico-syntactic representation using grammar and lexicon

Techniques:

- Semantic Head Driven Generation
- Unification
- Chart Generation
- Many ad-hoc approaches
- We'll be using OpenCCG:
 - -Combinatory Categorial Grammar formalism
 - Chart Generation

Realization

Goal:

to convert text specifications into actual text

Purpose:

to hide the peculiarities of English (or whatever the target language is) from the rest of the NLG system

Add document markup

Structure Realisation

- An example: means of marking paragraphs:
 - HTMI <P>
 - LaTeX (blank line)
 - RTF \par
 - SABLE (speech) <BREAK>
- Depends on the document presentation system
- Usually done with simple mapping rules

Next time

- Understanding input language for OpenCCG
- Hybrid logic dependency semantics
- Reading:
 - For Week 1: Chap 1 Reiter & Dale
 - For Week 2: Michael White. Efficient Realization of Coordinate Structures in Combinatory Categorial Grammar. Research on Language and Computation, 4(1):39–75, 2006.