MLPR 2017/18 mid-semester questionnaire

Type of student: □ UG4   □ UG5   □ MSc   □ MRes/CDT   □ VUG   □ Other _____

Pace: □ Much too slow   □ A little slow   □ About right   □ A little fast   □ Much too fast

Tutorial exercises: □ Much too easy   □ A little easy   □ Suitably challenging   □ Far too hard

Do you value the tutorials?   □ Yes; run tutorials next year   □ No; use tutors for something else

Are you using the Hypothesis forum?   □ Yes   □ No

If so, how is it? If not, why not? _____

Suggestions/comments for forum? _____

How many questions in the notes have you answered?   □ ≈ none   □ some   □ > half   □ most

I checked my answers: □ not at all   □ with others   □ by writing tests in code   □ on the forum

Outside lectures/tutorials I study $\approx$ _____ hours/week on MLPR.

What do you like best about the course; what shouldn't change?

If we split MLPR (or IAML) into two courses, offering more alternatives for those with different backgrounds, how would the content of your ideal course be different to MLPR?

Any actionable comments, other than content, on how to improve the course:

I am most interested in reading this part: the things that are most important to you. Please be constructive and specific: For example, "the notes are unclear" is too vague to act on: I'm already trying to make them clear! Instead you would explain how the emphasis or style of the notes could be improved for you. And please mark unclear parts in Hypothesis! You can write on the back if you have lots to say.

Thanks very much!