



informatics

Anonymous Peer Review Form for Student Presentations

Presenter:	
Short Title:	
1 Content of presentation	
Excellent Good Satisfactory	Poor Unacceptable
Positive: Good understanding of material, Clean Highlighted important points, Combined motivational and technical material Questions handled well.	
Negative: Some technical errors, Some materi Talk poorly structured, Explanation some No technical content.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Comments:	
2 Delivery of Presentation	
Excellent Good Satisfactory	Poor Unacceptable
Positive: Confident, Clear, Timing good, Cons	sistent pace.
Negative: Nervous at times, Confused sometime Sometimes hesitant, Sometimes mumbled, Ran undertime, Laboured easy material, S Turned back on audience, Obscured screen	, Voice too quiet, Ran overtime, Skimmed difficult material,
Comments:	

3	Use of audio-visual aids
	Excellent Good Satisfactory Poor Unacceptable
Posit	cive: Clear slides, Right amount of material per slide, Examples used well, Diagrams/Pictures/Videos/Tables/Graphs/Colour used well.
Nega	Would have benefitted from diagrams, tables and/or graphs, Slides too crowded, Font too small, Typos on slides.
Com	ments:

Instructions to Peer Reviewers

Each student, apart from the presenter, should fill in a copy of this form during each student presentation. Note that these comments will be returned to the presenter anonymously.

For each section above the reviewer should tick the most appropriate score box, circle each positive and/or negative comment that applies to the presentation and provide further feedback, if appropriate, in the box provided.

At the end of the presentation, when you have completed the form please return it to the lecturer, who will give it to the presenter.

Instructions to ITO

• Return the form to the student being assessed via their pigeon hole. There is no need to keep a copy.