

Informatics 2A: Tutorial Sheet 8 (Week 10)

Agreement; First order logic; Compositional semantics

SHAY COHEN

1. As noted in Lecture 25, present tense verbs in English agree with their subject in number (*I sleep; he sleeps; they sleep*). Another agreement constraint in English is that reflexive pronouns (e.g. *herself, itself, themselves*) must agree with the noun phrase they stand for in person, number and gender.

Using the machinery of CFGs with non-terminals and rules parameterized by suitable attributes as in Lecture 22, construct a grammar for a tiny fragment of English that includes three-word sentences containing a transitive verb, such as:

She washes herself
I wash myself
It prepares itself
You prepare yourselves
He congratulates himself
They congratulate themselves

but excludes sentences like

She washes himself
It prepares themselves
We congratulates ourselves

2. Choose a set of constants and predicates suitable for representing the following sentences in first order predicate logic as described in Lecture 24.
 - Jumbo is an elephant.
 - An elephant is a mammal.
 - Every elephant has an owner.
 - Everyone who owns an elephant sings it a song.
 - Only one elephant danced.
 - Every elephant did not dance.

Translate each of these sentences into a formula of FOPL. If you think a sentence is semantically ambiguous, give a first-order formula for each possible interpretation.

3. Consider the following context-free grammar with semantic attachments.

S → NP VP	{ NP.Sem(VP.Sem) }	t
VP → IV	{ IV.Sem }	$\langle e, t \rangle$
VP → TV NP	{ $\lambda x. \text{NP.Sem}(\text{TV.Sem}(x))$ }	$\langle e, t \rangle$
NP → Det N	{ Det.Sem(N.Sem) }	$\langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle$
NP → John	{ $\lambda P. P(\text{John})$ }	$\langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle$
NP → ice-cream	{ $\lambda P. P(\text{Ice-cream})$ }	$\langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle$
Det → a an	{ $\lambda Q. \lambda P. \exists x Q(x) \wedge P(x)$ }	$\langle \langle e, t \rangle, \langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle \rangle$
Det → every	{ $\lambda Q. \lambda P. \forall x Q(x) \Rightarrow P(x)$ }	$\langle \langle e, t \rangle, \langle \langle e, t \rangle, t \rangle \rangle$
N → cat	{ $\lambda x. \text{cat}(x)$ }	$\langle e, t \rangle$
N → ice-cream	{ $\lambda x. \text{ice-cream}(x)$ }	$\langle e, t \rangle$
IV → runs	{ $\lambda x. \text{run}(x)$ }	$\langle e, t \rangle$
TV → likes	{ $\lambda x. \lambda y. \text{like}(x, y)$ }	$\langle e, \langle e, t \rangle \rangle$

Indicate which of the semantic attachments make use of type raising.

Calculate the semantics of the following phrases and sentences, showing explicitly any β -reduction steps used to simplify λ -expressions.

- John runs
- likes ice-cream
- John likes ice-cream
- an ice-cream
- likes an ice-cream
- John likes an ice-cream
- every cat
- every cat likes ice-cream
- every cat likes an ice-cream