

Informatics 1

Functional Programming Lectures 13 and 14

Type Classes

Don Sannella

University of Edinburgh

Part I

Type classes

Element

```
elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool

-- comprehension
elem x ys      =  or [ x == y | y <- ys ]

-- recursion
elem x []      =  False
elem x (y:ys)  =  x == y || elem x ys

-- higher-order
elem x ys      =  foldr (||) False (map (x ==) ys)
```

You've seen types like the one for elem, beginning with `Eq a => ...` resp. `Ord a => ...`. These express the requirement that `a` is a type whose values can be tested for equality resp. order (`<`).

Here are 3 ways of writing elem. No matter how you defined it, you need to use `==`. That's where the requirement `Eq a` comes from.

Using element

```
*Main> elem 1 [2,3,4]
False      elem works for Int
```

```
*Main> elem 'o' "word"
True       elem works for Char
```

```
*Main> elem (1,'o') [(0,'w'),(1,'o'),(2,'r'),(3,'d')]
True       elem works for (Int,Char)
```

```
*Main> elem "word" ["list","of","word"]
True       elem works for String = [Char]
```

```
*Main> elem (\x -> x) [(\x -> -x), (\x -> -(-x))]
No instance for (Eq (a -> a)) arising from a use of `elem'
Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Eq (a -> a))
           but elem doesn't work for functions
```

Testing equality of two functions $f, g :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}$ would require testing $f\ x == g\ x$ for every possible $x :: \text{Int}$.

That would take forever. So Haskell refuses to try.

The same goes for any type INVOLVING functions, for instance $(\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}, \text{Bool})$.

The error message invites you to define equality for this type yourself - see below for how to do that.

Equality type class

Here's how you could define the TYPE CLASS Eq if it wasn't built in. The definition gives one or more functions that need to be provided by any instance of that class.

```
class Eq a where  
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

```
instance Eq Int where  
  (==) = eqInt
```

Then you can declare that a type is an INSTANCE of the type class by saying what that function / those functions are for that type.

```
instance Eq Char where  
  x == y = ord x == ord y
```

```
instance (Eq a, Eq b) => Eq (a,b) where  
  (u,v) == (x,y) = (u == x) && (v == y)
```

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where  
  [] == [] = True  
  [] == y:ys = False  
  x:xs == [] = False  
  x:xs == y:ys = (x == y) && (xs == ys)
```

The definitions of the required functions can be as complicated as you like.

Element, translation

```
data EqDict a      = EqD (a -> a -> Bool)
```

```
eq :: EqDict a -> a -> a -> Bool  
eq (EqDict f) = f
```

```
elem :: EqDict a -> a -> [a] -> Bool
```

```
-- comprehension
```

```
elem d x ys      = or [ eq d x y | y <- ys ]
```

```
-- recursion
```

```
elem d x []      = False  
elem d x (y:ys) = eq d x y || elem x ys
```

```
-- higher-order
```

```
elem d x ys      = foldr (||) False (map (eq d x) ys)
```

You can define Haskell with type classes by giving a translation into Haskell without type classes.

EqDict a is an equality DICTIONARY - an equality function packaged up into a new type.

(In general, a dictionary will package up several functions.)

eq extracts the equality function from an equality dictionary.

We can then define elem with an extra argument d, which tells it how to compute equality on a.

Instead of `x==y`, we write `eq d x y`

Type classes, translation

```
dInt      :: EqDict Int
dInt      = EqD eqInt

dChar     :: EqDict Char
dChar     = EqD f
  where
    f x y  = eq dInt (ord x) (ord y)

dPair     :: (EqDict a, EqDict b) -> EqDict (a,b)
dPair (da,db) = EqD f
  where
    f (u,v) (x,y) = eq da u x && eq db v y

dList     :: EqDict a -> EqDict [a]
dList d   = EqD f
  where
    f [] []      = True
    f [] (y:ys)  = False
    f (x:xs) []   = False
    f (x:xs) (y:ys) = eq d x y && eq (dList d) xs ys
```

We build up dictionaries, sometimes using other dictionaries.
Each INSTANCE declaration creates a dictionary.

Using element, translation

```
*Main> elem dInt 1 [2,3,4]  
False
```

```
*Main> elem dChar 'o' "word"  
True
```

```
*Main> elem (dPair dInt dChar) (1,'o') [(0,'w'),(1,'o')]  
True
```

```
*Main> elem (dList dChar) "word" ["list","of","word"]  
True
```

Haskell uses types to write code for you!

Uses of elem then require the appropriate dictionary as an explicit argument.

But Haskell does all of this automatically, using the types that it can infer.

You don't need to do it yourself and you don't have an opportunity to get it wrong.

Part II

Eq, Ord, Show

Eq, Ord, Show

Eq, Ord and Show are built-in type classes.

```
class Eq a where  
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

Eq actually has two functions, == and /=

```
-- minimum definition: (==)  
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

You can define a default for some functions in terms of others but instances can override the default.

```
class (Eq a) => Ord a where  
  (<)  :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (<=) :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (>)  :: a -> a -> Bool  
  (>=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

Ord EXTENDS Eq

Notice that the default definition of < requires equality.

```
-- minimum definition: (<=)  
x < y    = x <= y && x /= y  
x > y    = y < x  
x >= y   = y <= x
```

```
class Show a where  
  show :: a -> String
```

Show: need a way of converting a value to a String.

Part III

Booleans, Tuples, Lists

Instances for booleans

```
instance Eq Bool where  
  False == False  = True  
  False == True   = False  
  True   == False  = False  
  True   == True   = True
```

```
instance Ord Bool where  
  False <= False  = True  
  False <= True   = True  
  True  <= False  = False  
  True  <= True   = True
```

```
instance Show Bool where  
  show False      = "False"  
  show True       = "True"
```

Here's how instances of Eq, Ord and Show can be defined for Bool.

Instances for pairs

```
instance (Eq a, Eq b) => Eq (a,b) where  
  (x,y) == (x',y') = x == x' && y == y'
```

```
instance (Ord a, Ord b) => Ord (a,b) where  
  (x,y) <= (x',y') = x < x' || (x == x' && y <= y')
```

```
instance (Show a, Show b) => Show (a,b) where  
  show (x,y) = "(" ++ show x ++ ", " ++ show y ++ ")"
```

Here's how instances of Eq, Ord and Show can be defined for pairs, using Eq, Ord and Show for each component type.

Instances for lists

```
instance Eq a => Eq [a] where  
  []      == []      = True  
  []      == y:ys    = False  
  x:xs    == []      = False  
  x:xs    == y:ys    = x == y && xs == ys
```

```
instance Ord a => Ord [a] where  
  []      <= ys      = True  
  x:xs    <= []      = False  
  x:xs    <= y:ys    = x < y || (x == y && xs <= ys)
```

```
instance Show a => Show [a] where  
  show []          = "[]"  
  show (x:xs)     = "[" ++ showSep x xs ++ "]"  
  where  
    showSep x []   = show x  
    showSep x (y:ys) = show x ++ "," ++ showSep y ys
```

List is similar. We've seen equality already.

Order is an extension of the order on pairs: called dictionary ordering or LEXICOGRAPHIC ORDERING.

Deriving clauses

```
data Bool = False | True  
      deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

```
data Pair a b = MkPair a b  
      deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

```
data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)  
      deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

Haskell uses types to write code for you!

You can get definitions of instances of Eq, Ord and Show for free for algebraic types.

Part IV

Sets, revisited

Sets, revisited

```
instance Ord a => Eq (Set a) where  
  s == t    = s `equal` t
```

Note that this differs from the derived instance!

Here's how we can make Set a an instance of Eq.

This refers to the equality function that we defined on the underlying representation of sets.

The one that Haskell would give you for free is different (except for sets represented as ordered lists).

Part V

Numbers

Numerical classes

```
class (Eq a, Show a) => Num a where
  (+), (-), (*)      :: a -> a -> a
  negate            :: a -> a
  fromInteger       :: Integer -> a
  -- minimum definition: (+), (-), (*), fromInteger
  negate x          = fromInteger 0 - x
```

```
class (Num a) => Fractional a where
  (/)              :: a -> a -> a
  recip           :: a -> a
  fromRational     :: Rational -> a
  -- minimum definition: (/), fromRational
  recip x          = 1/x
```

```
class (Num a, Ord a) => Real a where
  toRational       :: a -> Rational
```

```
class (Real a, Enum a) => Integral a where
  div, mod         :: a -> a -> a
  toInteger        :: a -> Integer
```

\There are several type classes for different kinds of numbers. Here's a simplified version of some of them.

A built-in numerical type

instance Num Float **where**

(+) = builtInAddFloat

(-) = builtInSubtractFloat

(*) = builtInMultiplyFloat

negate = builtInNegateFloat

fromInteger = builtInFromIntegerFloat

instance Fractional Float **where**

(/) = builtInDivideFloat

fromRational = builtInFromRationalFloat

Natural.hs (1)

```
module Natural(Nat) where  
import Test.QuickCheck
```

We can also define our own numerical types.
Natural numbers are integers that are ≥ 0 .

```
data Nat = MkNat Integer
```

Remember, we introduce a constructor that is not exported
in order to protect the abstraction.

```
invariant :: Nat -> Bool  
invariant (MkNat x) = x >= 0
```

```
instance Eq Nat where
```

```
  MkNat x == MkNat y = x == y
```

```
instance Ord Nat where
```

```
  MkNat x <= MkNat y = x <= y
```

```
instance Show Nat where
```

```
  show (MkNat x) = show x
```

Natural.hs (2)

```
instance Num Nat where
  MkNat x + MkNat y    = MkNat (x + y)
  MkNat x - MkNat y
    | x >= y           = MkNat (x - y)
    | otherwise        = error (show (x-y) ++ " is negative")
  MkNat x * MkNat y    = MkNat (x * y)
  fromInteger x
    | x >= 0           = MkNat x
    | otherwise        = error (show x ++ " is negative")
  negate               = undefined
```

Now we can declare Nat as an instance of Num.

We need these operations to PRESERVE THE INVARIANT: if x, y satisfy the invariant, so should x+y etc.

Natural.hs (3)

```
prop_plus :: Integer -> Integer -> Property
prop_plus m n =
  (m >= 0) && (n >= 0) ==> (m+n >= 0)
```

```
prop_times :: Integer -> Integer -> Property
prop_times m n =
  (m >= 0) && (n >= 0) ==> (m*n >= 0)
```

```
prop_minus :: Integer -> Integer -> Property
prop_minus m n =
  (m >= 0) && (n >= 0) && (m >= n) ==> (m-n >= 0)
```

Here are QuickCheck properties for checking that the invariant is preserved.

The invariant isn't preserved if Nat is represented using Int (computer integers)

because adding big numbers can give a negative result,

but it is preserved if they are represented using Integer (infinite-precision integers).

NaturalTest.hs

```
module NaturalTest where  
import Natural
```

```
m, n :: Nat  
m = fromInteger 2  
n = fromInteger 3
```


Test run

```
ghci NaturalTest
Ok, modules loaded: NaturalTest, Natural.
*NaturalTest> m
2
*NaturalTest> n
3
*NaturalTest> m+n
5
*NaturalTest> n-m
1
*NaturalTest> m-n
*** Exception: -1 is negative
*NaturalTest> m*n
6
*NaturalTest> fromInteger (-5) :: Nat
*** Exception: -5 is negative
*NaturalTest> MkNat (-5)
Not in scope: data constructor `MkNat'
```

Hiding—the secret of abstraction

```
module Natural (Nat) where ...
```

```
> ghci NaturalTest
*NaturalTest> let m = fromInteger 2
*NaturalTest> let s = fromInteger (-5)
*** Exception: -5 is negative
*NaturalTest> let s = MkNat (-5)
Not in scope: data constructor `MkNat`
```

VS.

```
module NaturalUnabs (Nat (MkNat)) where ...
```

```
> ghci NaturalUnabs
*NaturalUnabs> let p = MkNat (-5) -- breaks invariant
*NaturalUnabs> invariant p
False
```

If I export Nat and not MkNat, I can't break the abstraction.

If you check that all of the functions preserve the invariant, then all values are guaranteed to satisfy it.

Part VI

Seasons

Seasons

```
data Season = Winter | Spring | Summer | Fall
```

```
next :: Season -> Season
```

```
next Winter = Spring
```

```
next Spring = Summer
```

```
next Summer = Fall
```

```
next Fall = Winter
```

```
warm :: Season -> Bool
```

```
warm Winter = False
```

```
warm Spring = True
```

```
warm Summer = True
```

```
warm Fall = True
```

Eq, Ord

```
instance Eq Season where  
  Winter == Winter = True  
  Spring == Spring = True  
  Summer == Summer = True  
  Fall   == Fall   = True  
  _      == _      = False
```

```
instance Ord Season where  
  Spring <= Winter = False  
  Summer <= Winter = False  
  Summer <= Spring = False  
  Fall   <= Winter = False  
  Fall   <= Spring = False  
  Fall   <= Summer = False  
  _      <= _      = True
```

```
instance Show Season where  
  show Winter = "Winter"  
  show Spring = "Spring"  
  show Summer = "Summer"  
  show Fall   = "Fall"
```

Here's how to define Season as an instance of Eq, Ord and Show

Class Enum

```
class Enum a where
  toEnum      :: Int -> a
  fromEnum    :: a -> Int
  succ, pred  :: a -> a
  enumFrom    :: a -> [a]           -- [x..]
  enumFromTo  :: a -> a -> [a]     -- [x..y]
  enumFromThen :: a -> a -> [a]     -- [x,y..]
  enumFromThenTo :: a -> a -> a -> [a] -- [x,y..z]

-- minimum definition: toEnum, fromEnum
succ x      = toEnum (fromEnum x + 1)
pred x      = toEnum (fromEnum x - 1)
enumFrom x
  = map toEnum [fromEnum x ..]
enumFromTo x y
  = map toEnum [fromEnum x .. fromEnum y]
enumFromThen x y
  = map toEnum [fromEnum x, fromEnum y ..]
enumFromThenTo x y z
  = map toEnum [fromEnum x, fromEnum y .. fromEnum z]
```

Here's another type class, Enum, used for giving meaning to expressions like [x..y].

Syntactic sugar

```
-- [x..]      = enumFrom x
-- [x..y]     = enumFromTo x y
-- [x,y..]    = enumFromThen x y
-- [x,y..z]   = enumFromThenTo x y z
```

Enumerating Int

```
instance Enum Int where
  toEnum x      = x
  fromEnum x    = x
  succ x        = x+1
  pred x        = x-1
  enumFrom x    = iterate (+1) x
  enumFromTo x y = takeWhile (<= y) (iterate (+1) x)
  enumFromThen x y = iterate (+(y-x)) x
  enumFromThenTo x y z
    = takeWhile (<= z) (iterate (+(y-x)) x)
```

```
iterate :: (a -> a) -> a -> [a]
iterate f x = x : iterate f (f x)
```

```
takeWhile :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]
takeWhile p [] = []
takeWhile p (x:xs) | p x = x : takeWhile p xs
                   | otherwise = []
```

Now we can declare Int as an instance of Enum.

Enumerating Seasons

```
instance Enum Season where
```

```
fromEnum Winter = 0
```

```
fromEnum Spring = 1
```

```
fromEnum Summer = 2
```

```
fromEnum Fall   = 3
```

```
toEnum 0 = Winter
```

```
toEnum 1 = Spring
```

```
toEnum 2 = Summer
```

```
toEnum 3 = Fall
```

Here is Season defined as an instance of Enum.

Deriving Seasons

```
data Season = Winter | Spring | Summer | Fall  
          deriving (Eq, Ord, Show, Enum)
```

Haskell uses types to write code for you!

Seasons, revisited

```
next :: Season -> Season
next x = toEnum ((fromEnum x + 1) `mod` 4)

warm :: Season -> Bool
warm x = x `elem` [Spring .. Fall]

-- [Spring .. Fall] = [Spring, Summer, Fall]
```

Having defined `Season` as an instance of `Enum`, we can give better definitions of `next` and `warm`.

Part VII

Shape

Shape

```
type Radius = Float
```

```
type Width = Float
```

```
type Height = Float
```

```
data Shape = Circle Radius  
          | Rect Width Height
```

```
area :: Shape -> Float
```

```
area (Circle r) = pi * r^2
```

```
area (Rect w h) = w * h
```

Eq, Ord, Show

```
instance Eq Shape where
```

```
Circle r == Circle r'    = r == r'  
Rect w h == Rect w' h'  = w == w' && h == h'  
_        == _            = False
```

```
instance Ord Shape where
```

```
Circle r <= Circle r'    = r < r'  
Circle r <= Rect w' h'   = True  
Rect w h <= Rect w' h'   = w < w' || (w == w' && h <= h')  
_          <= _          = False
```

```
instance Show Shape where
```

```
show (Circle r)          = "Circle " ++ showN r  
show (Radius w h)       = "Radius " ++ showN w ++ " " ++ showN h
```

```
showN :: (Num a) => a -> String
```

```
showN x | x >= 0          = show x  
        | otherwise      = "(" ++ show x ++ ")"
```

Here's Shape as an instance of Eq, Ord and Show.

Deriving Shapes

```
data Shape = Circle Radius  
          | Rect Width Height  
          deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

Haskell uses types to write code for you!

You get all of that for free using deriving.

Part VIII

Expressions

Expression Trees

```
data Exp = Lit Int
        | Exp :+: Exp
        | Exp **: Exp
```

```
eval :: Exp -> Int
eval (Lit n)      = n
eval (e :+: f)    = eval e + eval f
eval (e **: f)    = eval e * eval f
```

```
*Main> eval (Lit 2 :+: (Lit 3 **: Lit 3))
11
```

```
*Main> eval ((Lit 2 :+: Lit 3) **: Lit 3)
15
```

Eq, Ord, Show

```
instance Eq Exp where
```

```
  Lit n      == Lit n'      = n == n'  
  e :+: f    == e' :+: f'   = e == e' && f == f'  
  e :* f     == e' :* f'    = e == e' && f == f'  
  _          == _           = False
```

```
instance Ord Exp where
```

```
  Lit n      <= Lit n'      = n < n'  
  Lit n      <= e' :+: f'   = True  
  Lit n      <= e' :* f'    = True  
  e :+: f    <= e' :+: f'   = e < e' || (e == e' && f <= f')  
  e :+: f    <= e' :* f'    = True  
  e :* f     <= e' :* f'    = e < e' || (e == e' && f <= f')  
  _          <= _           = False
```

```
instance Show Exp where
```

```
  show (Lit n)      = "Lit " ++ showN n  
  show (e :+: f)    = "(" ++ show e ++ ":+:" ++ show f ++ ")"  
  show (e :* f)     = "(" ++ show e ++ ":*:" ++ show f ++ ")"
```

Here's Exp as an instance of Eq, Ord and Show.

Deriving Expressions

```
data Exp = Lit Int
        | Exp :+: Exp
        | Exp **: Exp
        deriving (Eq, Ord, Show)
```

Haskell uses types to write code for you!

You get all of that for free using deriving.