Informatics 1: Data & Analysis
Lecture 14: Example Corpora Applications

Ian Stark

School of Informatics
The University of Edinburgh

Friday 6 March 2014
Semester 2 Week 7

Lecture Plan

XML

We start with technologies for modelling and querying *semistructured data*.

- Semistructured Data: Trees and XML
- Schemas for structuring XML
- Navigating and querying XML with XPath

Corpora

One particular kind of semistructured data is large bodies of written or spoken text: each one a *corpus*, plural *corpora*.

- Corpora: What they are and how to build them
- Applications: corpus analysis and data extraction

Coursework Assignment

The Inf1-DA assignment is online. This runs alongside your usual tutorial exercises for two weeks; ask your tutor for help on difficult topics.

The assignment is a Inf1-DA examination paper from 2013. Your tutor will give you marks and feedback on your work in the last tutorial of semester.

These marks will not affect your final grade for Inf1-DA — this *formative* assessment is entirely for your feedback and learning.

You are free to look things up, discuss with others, get help at InfBASE, share advice, ask questions on NB, and do whatever helps you learn.

Formative Assessment — "Assessment for Learning"

Aims to help learning by providing feedback to both student and teacher about what areas need more work. Happens while learning a topic; ideally provides room for students to take risks, explore, attempt challenges, and even fail, without risking their course grade.

Summative Assessment — "Assessment of Learning"

Aims to provide information on what a student now knows or can do; measuring performance at the end of a topic. This is the test which determines course marks and grades.

These contrasting aims mean that formative and summative assessment often involve different kinds of task, and certainly different working environments.

Applications of Corpora

Answering empirical questions in linguistics and cognitive science:

- Corpora can be analyzed using statistical tools;
- Hypotheses about language processing and language acquisition can be tested;
- New facts about language structure can be discovered.

Engineering natural-language systems in AI and computer science:

- Corpora represent the data that these language processing systems have to handle;
- Algorithms can find and extract regularities from corpus data;
- Text-based or speech-based computer applications can learn automatically from corpus data.

Sample Linguistic Application: Collocations

A *collocation* is a sequence of words that occurs 'atypically often' in language usage. For example:

- To "run amok": the verb "run" can occur on its own, but "amok" does not.

- To say "strong tea" is much more natural English than "powerful tea" although the literal meanings are much the same.

- Phrasal verbs such as "make up" or "make do".

- "heartily sick", "heated argument", "commit a crime",...

Both *Macmillan* and *Oxford* have specialist dictionaries that provide extensive lists of collocations specifically for those learning English.

The inverted commas around 'atypically often' are because we need statistical ideas to make this precise.

Identifying Collocations

We would like to automatically identify collocations in a large corpus.

For example, collocations in the Dickens corpus involving the word "tea".

- The bigram "strong tea" occurs in the corpus. This is a collocation.

- The bigram "powerful tea", in fact, does not.

- However, "more tea" and "little tea" also occur in the corpus.

  These are not collocations. These word sequences do not occur with any frequency above what would be suggested by their component words.

The challenge is: how do we detect when a bigram (or n-gram) is a collocation?

Looking at the Data

Here are the most common bigrams from the Dickens corpus where the first word is "strong" or "powerful".

strong	and	31
	enough	16
	in	15
	man	14
	emphasis	11
	desire	10
	upon	10
	interest	8
	a	8
	as	8
	inclination	7
	tide	7
	beer	7

powerful	effect	3
	sight	3
	enough	3
	mind	3
	for	3
	and	3
	with	3
	enchanter	2
	displeasure	2
	motives	2
	impulse	2
	struggle	2
	grasp	2

Filtering Collocations

We observe the following from the bigram tables.

- Neither "strong tea" nor "powerful tea" are frequent enough to make it into the top 13.

- Some potential collocations for "strong": like "strong desire", "strong inclination", and "strong beer".

- Some potential collocations for "powerful": like "powerful effect", "powerful motives", and "powerful struggle".

- A possible problem: bigrams like "strong and", "strong enough" and "powerful for", have high frequency. These do not seem like collocations.

To distinguish collocations from non-collocations, we need some way to filter out noise.

Statistics We Need

Problem: Words like "for" and "and" are very common anyway: they occur with "strong" by chance.

Solution: Use *statistical tests* to identify when the frequency of a bigram is atypically high given the frequencies of its constituent words.

	"beer"	¬"beer"	Total
"strong"	7	618	625
¬"strong"	127	2310422	2310549
Total	134	2311040	2311174

In general, statistical tools offer powerful methods for the analysis of all types of data. In particular, they provide the principal approach to the quantitative (and qualitative) analysis of unstructured data.

We shall return to the problem of finding collocations later in the course, when we have appropriate statistical tools at our disposal.

Google n-grams

Quantitative Analysis of Culture Using Millions of Digitized Books

Text mining for concepts and associations; in the news, in scientific papers

The Anachronism Machine

Engineering Natural-Language Systems

Two Informatics system-building examples which use corpora extensively:

- Natural Language Processing (NLP): Computer systems that understand or produce text. For example:

    - Summarization: Take a text, or multiple texts, and automatically produce an abstract or summary. See for example *Newsblaster*.

    - Machine Translation (MT): Take a text in a source language and turn it into a text in the target language. For example *Google Translate* or *Microsoft Translator*.

- Speech Processing: Systems that understand or produce spoken language.

Building these draws on probability theory, information theory and machine learning to extract and use the information in large text corpora.

Example: Machine Translation

The aim of *machine translation* is to automatically map sentences in one source language to corresponding sentences in a different target language, while preserving the meaning of the text.

Historically, there have been two major approaches:

- Rule-based Translation: Long history including *Systran* and *Babel Fish* (Alta Vista, then Yahoo, now disappeared).

- Statistical Translation: Much recent growth, leading to *Google Translate* and *Microsoft Translator*.

Both approaches make use of multilingual corpora.

> "The Babel fish," said The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy quietly,
> " is small, yellow and leech-like, and probably the oddest thing in the Universe"

Rule-Based Machine Translation

A typical rule-based machine translation (RBMT) scheme might include:

1. Automatically assign part-of-speech information to a source sentence.

2. Build up a syntax tree for the sentence using grammatical rules.

3. Map this parse tree in the source language into the target language, using a dictionary to translate individual words, and rules to find correct inflections and word ordering for translated sentence.

Some systems use an *interlingua* between the source and target language.

In any real implementations each of these steps will be much refined; even so, the central point remains to have the system translate a sentence by identifying its structure and, to some extent, its meaning.

RBMT systems use corpora to train algorithms that identify part-of-speech information and grammatical structures.

Examples of Rule-Based Translation

From http://www.systranet.com/translate

> The capital city of Scotland is Edinburgh

English $\longrightarrow$ German

> Die Hauptstadt von Schottland ist Edinburgh

German $\longrightarrow$ English

> The capital of Scotland is Edinburgh

Examples of Rule-Based Translation

From http://www.systranet.com/translate

> Sales of processed food collapsed across Europe after the news broke.

English $\longrightarrow$ French

> Les ventes de la nourriture traitée se sont effondrées à travers l'Europe après que les actualités se soient cassées.

French $\longrightarrow$ English

> The sales of treated food crumbled through Europe after the news broke.

Examples of Rule-Based Translation

From http://www.systranet.com/translate and Robert Burns.

> My love is like a red, red rose
> That's newly sprung in June

English $\longrightarrow$ Italian

> Il mio amore è come un rosso, rosa rossa
> Quello recentemente è balzato a giugno

Italian $\longrightarrow$ English

> My love is like red, pink a red one
> That recently is jumped to june

Issues with Rule-Based Translation

A major difficulty with rule-based translation is gathering enough rules to cover the very many special cases and nuances in natural language.

As a result, rule-based translations often have a very unnatural feel.

This issue is a serious one, and rule-based translation systems have not yet overcome the challenge.

However, even though the translations seem a little rough to read, they may well be enough to successfully communicate meaning.

(The problem with the example translation on the last slide is of a different nature. The source text is poetry, which routinely takes huge liberties with grammar and use of vocabulary. It's not a surprise that this puts it far outside the scope of rule-based translation.)

Statistical Machine Translation

This uses a corpus of *parallel texts*, where the same text is given in both source and target languages. Translation might go like this:

1. For each word and phrase from the source sentence find all occurrences of that word or phrase in the corpus.

2. Match these words and phrases with the parallel corpus text, and use statistical methods to select preferred translations.

3. Do some *smoothing* to find appropriate sizes for phrases and to glue translated phrases together to produce the translated sentence.

Again, real implementations will refine these stages; for example, identifying exactly where parallel texts match up can be a challenge.

To be effective, statistical translation requires a large and representative corpus of parallel texts. This corpus does not need to be heavily annotated.

Examples of Statistical Machine Translation

From http://translate.google.com

> The capital city of Scotland is Edinburgh

English $\longrightarrow$ German

> Die Hauptstadt von Schottland ist Edinburgh

German $\longrightarrow$ English

> The capital of Scotland is Edinburgh

Examples of Statistical Machine Translation

From http://translate.google.com

Sales of processed food collapsed across
Europe after the news broke.

English $\longrightarrow$ French

Les ventes de produits alimentaires
transformés s'est effondré à travers l'Europe
après les nouvelles brisé.

French $\longrightarrow$ English

Sales of processed food products collapsed
across Europe after the news broke.

Examples of Statistical Machine Translation

From http://translate.google.com and Robert Burns.

> My love is like a red, red rose
> That's newly sprung in June

English $\longrightarrow$ Italian

> Il mio amore è come un rosso, rosa rossa
> Questo è appena nata nel mese di giugno

Italian $\longrightarrow$ English

> My love is like a red, red rose
> This is just born in June

Features of Statistical Machine Translation

Statistical machine translation has challenges: it requires a very large corpus of parallel texts, and is computationally expensive to carry out.

In recent years, these problems have diminished, at least for widely-used languages: large corpora have become available, and there have been improvements to algorithms and hardware.

Given a large enough corpus, statistical translations can produce more natural translations than rule-based translations.

Because it is not tied to grammar, statistical translation may work better with less rigid uses of language, such as poetry.

However, if statistical translation is applied to a sentence that uses uncommon phrases, not in the corpus, then it can result in nonsense, while rule-based translation may survive.

At the moment, statistical translation is dominant.