

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS

**INFORMATICS 1 — COMPUTATION & LOGIC**

**Tuesday 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014**

**00:00 to 00:00**

**INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

- 1. Note that ALL QUESTIONS ARE COMPULSORY.**
- 2. DIFFERENT QUESTIONS MAY HAVE DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF TOTAL MARKS. Take note of this in allocating time to questions.**
- 3. CALCULATORS MAY NOT BE USED IN THIS EXAMINATION.**

**THIS EXAMINATION WILL BE MARKED ANONYMOUSLY**

1. This question concerns the 64 possible truth valuations of six propositional letters,  $ABCDEF$ . For each of the following expressions say how many of the 64 valuations satisfy the expression:

Use the space provided for any rough working, and to briefly explain your reasoning.

(a)  $(A \leftrightarrow B) \wedge C$

Answer:

Reason:

[3 marks]

(b)  $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow C$

Answer:

Reason:

[3 marks]

(c)  $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \wedge (D \vee E \vee F)$

Answer:

Reason:

[3 marks]

(d)  $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \wedge (C \rightarrow A) \wedge (E \rightarrow F) \wedge (F \rightarrow C)$

Answer:

Reason:

[3 marks]

(e)  $(A \rightarrow D) \wedge (C \rightarrow D) \wedge (\neg D \rightarrow E) \wedge (E \rightarrow D) \wedge (F \rightarrow C)$

Answer:

Reason:

[3 marks]

2. For each of the following entailments complete two Karnaugh maps, one to represent the assumption and one the conclusion, by **marking the valuations that make the expression false**.

Place a mark in one of the check boxes provided, to indicate whether the entailment is valid. Give a reason for your answer in the box provided.

Use your Karnaugh maps to give a simple CNF for each assumption.

(a)  $\neg(\neg A \wedge \neg C) \wedge (B \rightarrow \neg C) \models \neg(B \rightarrow A)$  Valid  Invalid  [1 mark]

assumption		CD			
		00	01	11	10
AB	00				
	01				
	11				
	10				

conclusion		CD			
		00	01	11	10
AB	00				
	01				
	11				
	10				

[4 marks]

Reason:	[5 marks]
assumption CNF:	

(b)  $(A \oplus B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow D) \models (A \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow (\neg B \rightarrow D))$  Valid  Invalid  [1 mark]

assumption		CD			
		00	01	11	10
AB	00				
	01				
	11				
	10				

conclusion		CD			
		00	01	11	10
AB	00				
	01				
	11				
	10				

[4 marks]

Reason:	[5 marks]
assumption CNF:	

3. (a) Convert each of the following expressions to CNF

•  $R \rightarrow (P \wedge Q)$

[2 marks]

•  $(S \oplus P \rightarrow T : R)$

[2 marks]

•  $(T \vee P \rightarrow Q : R)$

[2 marks]

•  $\neg(A \leftrightarrow B) \rightarrow C$

[2 marks]

(b) Use resolution to determine whether the entailment  
 $(B \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow C) \vdash B \rightarrow C$   
 is valid, and produce a counterexample if it is not.

[4 marks]

<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>A</math></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>B</math></td> <td style="width: 33%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>C</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> </tr> </table>	$A$	$B$	$C$			
$A$	$B$	$C$				
Answer	Counterexample?					

[2 marks]

(c) Use resolution to determine whether  
 $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow (R \vee S), Q \rightarrow \neg R \vdash Q \rightarrow S$   
 is valid and produce a counter-example if it is not.

[4 marks]

<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>P</math></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>Q</math></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>R</math></td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center; border-bottom: 1px solid black;"><math>S</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> <td style="border-left: 1px solid black; border-right: 1px solid black; height: 100px;"></td> </tr> </table>	$P$	$Q$	$R$	$S$				
$P$	$Q$	$R$	$S$					
Answer	Counterexample?							

[2 marks]

## Gentzen Rules

Question 4 refers to these rules.

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta, A} \quad (I) \\ \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \wedge B \vdash \Delta} \quad (\wedge L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \vee B, \Delta} \quad (\vee R) \\ \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \vee B \vdash \Delta} \quad (\vee L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \wedge B, \Delta} \quad (\wedge R) \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta \quad \Gamma, B \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, A \rightarrow B \vdash \Delta} \quad (\rightarrow L) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B, \Delta} \quad (\rightarrow R) \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash A, \Delta}{\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \Delta} \quad (\neg L) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \neg A, \Delta} \quad (\neg R) \end{array}$$

$A$  and  $B$  are propositional expressions,  $\Gamma, \Delta$  are sets of expressions, and  $\Gamma, A$  refers to  $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$ .

4. Use the Gentzen rules, provided on the previous page, to attempt to prove the following entailment, your **goal**:

$$(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R, S \vee P \vdash \neg R \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S) \quad (\text{goal})$$

- (a) Which of the rules have a conclusion matching this goal?

For each such rule complete a line in the table below showing the name of the rule and the bindings for  $\Gamma, \Delta, A, B$

[10 marks]

Rule	$\Gamma$	$\Delta$	$A$	$B$


- (b) Use the Gentzen rules to construct a formal proof with the goal as conclusion. Label each step in your proof with the name of the rule being applied.


[10 marks]


$$\overline{(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R, S \vee P \vdash \neg R \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S)}$$


5. Give a regular expression (re) for the language accepted by each FSM  
 Mark the check boxes to show the strings it accepts, and whether it, together with any implicit black hole state, is deterministic.


Draw an equivalent DFA if it is not.

(a) 
 abba   
 abab   
 abbb   
 abba   
 DFA   
 re: [5 marks]

(b) 
 abba   
 abab   
 abbb   
 baab   
 DFA   
 re: [5 marks]

(c) 
 abab   
 abba   
 babb   
 baba   
 DFA   
 re: [5 marks]

(d) 
 aaa   
 baab   
 bbab   
 bbbb   
 DFA   
 re: [5 marks]

(e) 
 aaab   
 aab   
 baab   
 bbbb   
 DFA   
 re: [5 marks]