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1. (a) The entailment $A \vdash C$, is invalid.

- $A \vdash C$

How is this invalidity shown by comparing the two Venn diagrams above? [4 marks]

(b) For each of the following entailments, complete the two Venn diagrams to represent the assumption and conclusion, and place a mark in one of the check boxes provided to indicate whether the entailment is valid.
(You should use the same encoding as in the example above, where each circle represents one of the propositions $A, B, C$.)

i. $A \rightarrow (B \lor C) \vdash A \rightarrow C$ [8 marks]

ii. $A \rightarrow (B \land C) \vdash A \rightarrow B$ [8 marks]
2. This question concerns the 256 possible truth valuations of the following eight propositional letters $A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H$. For each of the following expressions, say how many of the 256 valuations satisfy the expression, and briefly explain your reasoning. For example, the expression $D$ is satisfied by half of the valuations, that is 128 of the 256, since for each valuation that makes $D$ true there is a matching valuation that make $D$ false.

(a) $A \lor B$ [1 mark]
(b) $(A \land B) \lor C$ [2 marks]
(c) $A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C)$ [2 marks]
(d) $(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A) \land (C \rightarrow D) \land (D \rightarrow F) \land (E \rightarrow F) \land (F \rightarrow G) \land (G \rightarrow H)$ [5 marks]

(e) $(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A) \land (C \rightarrow D) \land (D \rightarrow C) \land (E \rightarrow G) \land (F \rightarrow G) \land (G \rightarrow H)$ [5 marks]

(f) $(H \rightarrow A) \land (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \lor C \rightarrow D) \land (D \rightarrow F) \land (F \rightarrow H) \land (F \rightarrow G)$ [5 marks]
3. You are given the following inference rules ($\Gamma, \Delta$ vary over finite sets of expressions; $A, B$ vary over expressions):

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma, A &\vdash A, \Delta & (I) \\
\Gamma, A, B &\vdash \Delta & \rightarrow L \\
\Gamma, A &\vdash A \land B, \Delta & \Rightarrow R \\
\Gamma &\vdash A \lor B, \Delta & \rightarrow L \\
\Gamma, A &\vdash A, \Delta & \Rightarrow R \\
\Gamma, A \land B &\vdash B, \Delta & \Rightarrow L \\
\Gamma, A &\vdash B, \Delta & \Rightarrow R \\
\Gamma &\vdash A \land B, \Delta & \Rightarrow R \\
\Gamma &\vdash A, \Delta & \Rightarrow R \\
\Gamma, A &\vdash \neg A, \Delta & \rightarrow L \\
\Gamma &\vdash \neg A, \Delta & \rightarrow R \\
\end{align*}
$$

(Where $A$ and $B$ are propositional expressions, $\Gamma, \Delta$ are sets of expressions, and $\Gamma, A$ refers to $\Gamma \cup \{A\}$.) This question concerns the use of these rules to prove the following entailment. This is your goal.

$$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R), Q \lor \neg P \vdash P \rightarrow R$$

(1)

(a) Which of these rules have a conclusion matching the goal (1)?

For each such rule complete a line in the table below showing the name of the rule and the bindings for $\Gamma, \Delta, A, B$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rule</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

[10 marks]
(b) Use the rules given to construct a formal proof with the goal as conclusion, making any remaining assumptions as simple as possible. Label each step in your proof with the name of the rule being applied. [10 marks]
4. (a) What does it mean for an entailment to be valid? [2 marks]

Answer

(b) How can resolution be used to determine whether an entailment is valid? [2 marks]

Answer

(c) Use resolution to determine whether the entailment \((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \vdash A\) is valid, and produce a counterexample if it is not. [4 marks]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterexample?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(d) Use resolution to determine whether \(P \rightarrow (Q \lor R), (Q \land R) \rightarrow S \vdash P \rightarrow S\) is valid and produce a counter-example if it is not. [4 marks]

| P | Q | R | S |

Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counterexample?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

(e) Explain what it means to claim that the resolution procedure is:

i. **sound** [2 marks]

Answer

ii. **complete**. [2 marks]

Answer
5. Each diagram shows an FSM. In each case give a regular expression for the language accepted by the FSM, make a mark in the check box against each string that it accepts (and no mark against those strings it does not accept), make a mark in the DFA check box if it is deterministic, and draw an equivalent DFA if it is not.

(a) 

(b) 

(c) 

(d) 

(e) 

\[ [4 \text{ marks}] \]