

In this lecture we consider formal descriptions of the relationships between a finite number of individuals. We may have different types of individual





From the resolution proof we can derive a refutation. The lower tree demonstrates the fact that whatever values we choose for the variables, we will arrive at a clause that is false for our chosen values. This suffices to show that, no matter what choice of values we make, the conjunction is

We normally grow refutation trees downwards.

A refutation tree demonstrates the fact that whatever values we choose for the variables, we will arrive at a clause that is false for our chosen values. This suffices to show that, no matter what choice of values we make, the



If we can satisfy all the Xs, then making A true will do the trick. If we cannot satisfy Xi then we must be able to satisfy all the Ys, and so making A false will do the trick.



So, once we have resolved all the X, \neg X pairs, we can focus on clauses not mentioning A. Eventually we will either produce {}, or have a set of clauses with no complementary pairs.



So, once we have resolved all the X, \neg X pairs, we can focus on clauses not mentioning A. Eventually we will either produce $\{\}$, or have a set of clauses with no complementary pairs.



If we can satisfy all the Xs, then making A true will do the trick. If we cannot satisfy Xi then we must be able to satisfy all the Ys, and so making A false will do the trick.

















Resolution	16
UvVvWvXv¬C XvYvZvC UvVvWvXvYvZ	





