

# Elements of Programming Languages

## Tutorial 2: Substitution and alpha-equivalence

### Solution notes

#### 1. Evaluation

- (a) •  $(\lambda x:\text{int}. x) 1$

$$\frac{\overline{\lambda x:\text{int}. x} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x:\text{int}. x} \quad \overline{1} \Downarrow \overline{1} \quad \overline{1} \Downarrow \overline{1}}{(\lambda x:\text{int}. x) 1 \Downarrow 1}$$

- $(\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1) 42$

$$\frac{\overline{\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1} \quad \overline{42} \Downarrow \overline{42} \quad \overline{42 + 1} \Downarrow \overline{43} \quad \overline{1} \Downarrow \overline{1}}{(\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1) 42 \Downarrow 43}$$

- $(\lambda x:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. x) (\lambda x:\text{int}. x) 1$  Type annotations elided.

$$\frac{\overline{\lambda x. x} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x. x} \quad \overline{\lambda x. x} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x. x} \quad \overline{\lambda x. x} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x. x}}{(\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x) \Downarrow \lambda x. x} \quad \overline{1} \Downarrow \overline{1}}{((\lambda x. x) (\lambda x. x)) 1 \Downarrow 1}$$

- $(\star) ((\lambda f:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. \lambda x:\text{int}. f (f x)) (\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1)) 42$  Type annotations elided.

$$\frac{\overline{(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x))} \Downarrow \overline{(\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x))} \quad \overline{\lambda x. x + 1} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x. x + 1} \quad \overline{42} \Downarrow \overline{42} \quad \overline{(\lambda x. x + 1)((\lambda x. x + 1)42)} \Downarrow \overline{44}}{((\lambda f. \lambda x. f (f x)) (\lambda x. x + 1)) 42 \Downarrow 44} \quad \vdots$$

where

$$\frac{\overline{\lambda x. x + 1} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x. x + 1} \quad \overline{42} \Downarrow \overline{42} \quad \overline{42 + 1} \Downarrow \overline{43}}{(\lambda x. x + 1) 42 \Downarrow 43} \quad \overline{43 + 1} \Downarrow \overline{44}}{(\lambda x. x + 1)((\lambda x. x + 1)42) \Downarrow 44}$$

- (b) If  $e_1 : \tau$  then we can define  $\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$  as  $(\lambda x:\tau. e_2) e_1$ . The evaluation rule for  $\text{let}$  can be emulated as follows:

$$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2[v_1/x] \Downarrow v \quad \overline{\lambda x:\tau. e_2} \Downarrow \overline{\lambda x:\tau. e_2} \quad e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad e_2[v_1/x] \Downarrow v}{\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Downarrow v \implies (\lambda x:\tau. e_2) e_1 \Downarrow v}$$

#### 2. Typechecking

- (a) •  $\text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Int}$

---

$\{x: \text{Int} \Rightarrow x\}$

---

- $\text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow \text{Int}$

---

$\{x: \text{Int} \Rightarrow \{y: \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow x\}\}$

---

- $(\text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow \text{String}) \Rightarrow (\text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{Boolean}) \Rightarrow (\text{Int} \Rightarrow \text{String})$

---

```
{x: (Int => Boolean => String) =>
  {y: (Int => Boolean) =>
    {z: Int => x(z) (y(z))}}
```

---

- (b) •  $(\lambda x:\text{int}. x) 1$

$$\frac{\frac{x : \text{int} \vdash x : \text{int}}{\vdash \lambda x:\text{int}. x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 1 : \text{int}}}{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{int}. x) 1 : \text{int}}$$

- $(\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1) 42$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:\text{int} \vdash x : \text{int}}{\vdash \lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1 : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}} \quad \frac{x:\text{int} \vdash 1 : \text{int}}{\vdash 42 : \text{int}}}{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{int}. x + 1) 42 : \text{int}}}$$

- $(\lambda x:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. x) (\lambda x:\text{int}. x)$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{x:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \vdash x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. x) : (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})} \quad \vdots}{\vdash \lambda x:\text{int} x : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}}{\vdash (\lambda x:\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}. x) (\lambda x:\text{int}. x) : \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}}$$

- $(\lambda x:\tau. x x)$  **This expression cannot be typed. There is no way to choose  $\tau$  so that the following derivation can be completed:**

$$\frac{\frac{??}{x:\tau \vdash x : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \quad \frac{??}{x:\tau \vdash x:\tau_1}}{\frac{x:\tau \vdash x x : \tau_2}{\vdash \lambda x:\tau. x x : \tau_2}}$$

For if  $\tau = \tau_1$  then we would also have to have  $\tau = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ , i.e.  $\tau_1 = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  which is not possible if equality is structural.

### 3. Alpha-equivalence for $L_{\text{Lam}}$

- (a) The missing rules are:

$$\boxed{e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} e_2}$$

$$\frac{\frac{e \equiv_{\alpha} e' \quad e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} e'_1 \quad e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} e'_1}{\text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \equiv_{\alpha} \text{if } e' \text{ then } e'_1 \text{ else } e'_2} \quad \frac{e_1(x \leftrightarrow z) \equiv_{\alpha} e_2(y \leftrightarrow z) \quad z \notin FV(e_1, e_2)}{\lambda x. e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda y. e_2} \quad \frac{e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} e'_1 \quad e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \equiv_{\alpha} e'_1 e'_2}}{\lambda x. e_1 \equiv_{\alpha} \lambda y. e_2}$$



**Point this out:** To be precise, we should also extend  $FV$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} FV(\lambda x:\tau. e) &= FV(e) - \{x\} \\ FV(e_1 e_2) &= FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Which of the following alpha-equivalence relationships hold?



$$\begin{aligned} \text{if true then } y \text{ else } z &\equiv_{\alpha} y && \text{FALSE} \\ \text{let } x = y \text{ in (if } x \text{ then } y \text{ else } z) &\equiv_{\alpha} \text{let } z = y \text{ in (if } x \text{ then } y \text{ else } z) && \text{FALSE} \\ \lambda x. (\text{let } y = x \text{ in } y + y) &\equiv_{\alpha} \lambda x. (\text{let } x = x \text{ in } x + x) && \text{TRUE} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) The pictures should be as follows:



let x = 1 in let y = 2 in x + y

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x + y$



$\lambda x. \lambda x. x + x$

let x = 1 in  $\lambda y. x + y$

4. (\*) Naive substitution and variable capture

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda y. \lambda z. ((x + y) + z))[y \times z/x] &= \lambda y. \lambda z. (((y \times z) + y) + z) \\
 (\text{if } x == y \text{ then } \lambda z.x \text{ else } \lambda x.x)[z/x] &= \text{if } z == y \text{ then } \lambda z.z \text{ else } \lambda x.z
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda y. \lambda z. ((x + y) + z) &\equiv_{\alpha} \lambda a. \lambda b. ((x + a) + b) \\
 \text{if } x == y \text{ then } \lambda z.x \text{ else } \lambda x.x &\equiv_{\alpha} \text{if } x == y \text{ then } \lambda c.x \text{ else } \lambda d.d
 \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\lambda a. \lambda b. ((x + a) + b))[y \times z/x] &= \lambda a. \lambda b. (((y \times z) + a) + b) \\
 (\text{if } x == y \text{ then } \lambda c.x \text{ else } \lambda d.d)[z/x] &= \text{if } z == y \text{ then } \lambda c.z \text{ else } \lambda d.d
 \end{aligned}$$

Illustrate that the substitutions performed without  $\alpha$ -conversion lead to variable capture, and different binding structure from those performed after  $\alpha$ -converting to fresh names.