### Overview

# Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 7: Records, variants, and subtyping

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 12, 2017

- Last time:
  - Simple data structures: pairing (product types), choice (sum types)
- Today:
  - Records (generalizing products), variants (generalizing sums) and pattern matching
  - Subtyping





Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

Subtyping

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

### Records

### • Records generalize pairs to n-tuples with named fields.

$$e ::= \cdots \mid \langle I_1 = e_1, \ldots, I_n = e_n \rangle \mid e.I$$

$$v ::= \cdots \mid \langle I_1 = v_1, \ldots, I_n = v_n \rangle$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \langle I_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

• Examples:

$$\langle \textit{fst}=1, \textit{snd}=\texttt{"forty-two"} \rangle. \textit{snd} \mapsto \texttt{"forty-two"} \langle x=3.0, y=4.0, \textit{length}=5.0 \rangle$$

• Record fields can be (first-class) functions too:

$$\langle x=3.0, y=4.0, length=\lambda(x, y). sqrt(x*x+y*y) \rangle$$

### Named variants

 As mentioned earlier, named variants generalize binary variants just as records generalize pairs

$$e ::= \cdots \mid C_i(e) \mid case \ e \ of \ \{C_1(x) \Rightarrow e_1; \ldots\}$$

$$v ::= \cdots \mid C_i(v)$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid [C_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, C_n : \tau_n]$$

- Basic idea: allow a choice of *n* cases, each with a name
- To construct a named variant, use the constructor name on a value of the appropriate type, e.g.  $C_i(e_i)$  where  $e_i : \tau_i$
- The case construct generalizes to named variants also

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching Type abbreviations and definitions Subtyping Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching Type abbreviations and definitions Subtyping

### Named variants in Scala: case classes

 We have already seen (and used) Scala's case class mechanism

```
abstract class IntList
case class Nil() extends IntList
case class Cons(head: Int, tail: IntList)
extends IntList
```

- Note: IntList, Nil, Cons are newly defined types, different from any others.
- Case classes support pattern matching

```
def foo(x: IntList) = x match {
  case Nil() => ...
  case Cons(head,tail) => ...
}
```

### Aside: Records and Variants in Haskell

- In Haskell, data defines a recursive, named variant type
   data IntList = Nil | Cons Int IntList
- and cases can define named fields:

```
data Point = Point {x :: Double, y :: Double}
```

- In both cases the newly defined type is different from any other type seen so far, and the named constructor(s) can be used in pattern matching
- This approach dates to the ML programming language (Milner et al.) and earlier designs such as HOPE (Burstall et al.).
  - (Both developed in Edinburgh)

**◆□▶◆□▶◆≧▶◆臺▶** 臺 めぬ⊙

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtypin

### Pattern matching

- Datatypes and case classes support pattern matching
  - We have seen a simple form of pattern matching for sum types.
  - This generalizes to named variants
  - But still is very limited: we only consider one "level" at a time
- Patterns typically also include constants and pairs/records

```
x match { case (1, (true, "abcd")) => ...}
```

• Patterns in Scala, Haskell, ML can also be *nested*: that is, they can match more than one constructor

```
x match { case Cons(1,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...}
```

# More pattern matching

- Variables cannot be repeated, instead, explicit equality tests need to be used.
- The special pattern \_ matches anything
- Patterns can overlap, and usually they are tried in order

```
result match {
  case OK => println("All_is_well")
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
}
// not the same as
result match {
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
  case OK => println("All_is_well")
}
```

Subtyping

## Expanding nested pattern matching

• Nested pattern matching can be expanded out:

```
1 match {
  case Cons(x,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...
}
expands to

1 match {
  case Cons(x,t1) => t1 match {
    case Cons(y,t2) => t2 match {
    case Nil() => ...
} }
```

# Type abbreviations

- Obviously, it quickly becomes painful to write (x : int, y : str) over and over.
- Type abbreviations introduce a name for a type.

type 
$$T= au$$

An abbreviation name  ${\cal T}$  treated the same as its expansion  $\tau$ 

- (much like let-bound variables)
- Examples:

```
type Point = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle

type Point3d = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, z:dbl \rangle

type Color = \langle r:int, g:int, b:int \rangle

type ColoredPoint = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, c:Color \rangle
```

< □ ▷ < □ ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < 亘 ▷ < Ξ □ ♡ < ♡

Type abbreviations and definitions

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

4 D P 4 B P 4 B P B P 9 Q C

### Type definitions

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

# Type definitions vs. abbreviations in practice

Instead, can also consider defining new (named) types

deftype 
$$T = \tau$$

- The term *generative* is sometimes used to refer to definitions that *create a new entity* rather than *introducing an abbreviation*
- Type abbreviations are usually not allowed to be recursive; type definitions can be.

 $deftype IntList = [Nil : unit, Cons : int \times IntList]$ 

- In Haskell, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while new types can be defined by data or newtype declarations.
- In Java, there is no explicit notation for type abbreviations; the only way to define a new type is to define a class or interface
- In Scala, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while the class, object and trait constructs define new types

## Subtyping

• Suppose we have a function:

$$dist = \lambda p: Point. \ sqrt((p.x)^2 + (p.y)^2)$$

for computing the distance to the origin.

- Only the x and y fields are needed for this, so we'd like to be able to use this on *ColoredPoints* also.
- But, this doesn't typecheck:

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

$$dist(\langle x=8.0, y=12.0, c=purple \rangle) = 13.0$$

• We can introduce a *subtyping* relationship between *Point* and *ColoredPoint* to allow for this.



Type abbreviations and definitions Subty

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtypin

## Record subtyping: width and depth

- There are several different ways to define subtyping for records.
- Width subtyping: subtype has same fields as supertype (with identical types), and may have additional fields at the end:

$$\overline{\langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n, \dots, I_{n+k} : \tau_{n+k} \rangle} <: \langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

• **Depth subtyping:** subtype's fields are pointwise subtypes of supertype

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \cdots \tau_n <: \tau_n'}{\langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle <: \langle I_1 : \tau_1', \dots, I_n : \tau_n' \rangle}$$

• These rules can be combined. Optionally, field reordering can also be allowed (but is harder to implement).

# Subtyping

• Liskov proposed a guideline for subtyping:

### Liskov Substitution Principle

If S is a subtype of T, then objects of type T may be replaced with objects of type S without altering any of the desirable properties of the program.

• If we use  $\tau <: \tau'$  to mean " $\tau$  is a subtype of  $\tau$ ", and consider well-typedness to be desirable, then we can translate this to the following *subsumption* rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}$$

• This says: if e has type  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_1 <: \tau_2$ , then we can proceed by pretending it has type  $\tau_2$ .

### Examples

- (We'll abbreviate P = Point, P3 = Point3d, CP = ColoredPoint to save space...)
- So we have:

$$P3d = \langle x:db1, y:db1, z:db1 \rangle <: \langle x:db1, y:db1 \rangle = P$$

$$CP = \langle x : dbl, y : dbl, c : Color \rangle <: \langle x : dbl, y : dbl \rangle = P$$

but no other subtyping relationships hold

• So, we can call dist on Point3d or ColoredPoint:

$$\frac{x: P3d \vdash x: P3d \quad P3d <: P}{x: P3d \vdash x: P} \quad \frac{\vdots}{x: P3d \vdash dist: P \rightarrow db1}$$
$$x: P3d \vdash dist(x): db1$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆■▶ ● 夕へ○

## Subtyping for pairs and variants

• For pairs, subtyping is componentwise

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

Similarly for binary variants

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

 For named variants, can have additional subtyping rules (but this is rare)



◆□ → ◆□ → ◆ = → ○ = → ○ Q ○

Type abbreviations and definitions Subtyp

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

Subtyping

### Covariant vs. contravariant

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

• For the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures), the direction of subtyping is preserved:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

- Subtyping of function results, pairs, etc., where order is preserved, is *covariant*.
- For the *argument* type of a function, the direction of subtyping is flipped:

$$\frac{\tau_1' <: \tau_1}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2}$$

 Subtyping of function arguments, where order is reversed, is called *contravariant*.

## Subtyping for functions

- When is  $A_1 \rightarrow B_1 <: A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ ?
- Maybe componentwise, like pairs?

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2'}$$

• But then we can do this (where  $\Gamma(p) = P$ ):

• So, once *ColoredPoint* is a subtype of *Point*, we can change any *Point* to a *ColoredPoint* also. That doesn't seem right.

# The "top" and "bottom" types

- any: a type that is a supertype of all types.
  - Such a type describes the common interface of all its subtypes (e.g. hashing, equality in Java)
  - In Scala, this is called Any
- empty: a type that is a subtype of all types.
  - Usually, such a type is considered to be *empty*: there cannot actually be any values of this type.
  - We've actually encountered this before, as the degenerate case of a choice type where there are zero chioces
  - In Scala, this type is called Nothing. So for any Scala type  $\tau$  we have *Nothing*  $<: \tau <: Any$ .



### Summary: Subtyping rules

# 

Notice that we combine the covariant and contravariant rules for functions into a single rule.

# Structural vs. Nominal subtyping

- The approach to subtyping considered so far is called *structural*.
- The names we use for type abbreviations don't matter, only their structure. For example, *Point3d* <: *Point* because *Point3d* has all of the fields of *Point* (and more).
- Then dist(p) also runs on p : Point3d (and gives a nonsense answer!)
- So far, a defined type has no subtypes (other than itself).
- By default, definitions ColoredPoint, Point and Point3d are unrelated.



◆ロ > ◆昼 > ◆ き > ・ き り へ で の へ で

Subtyping



# Structural vs. Nominal subtyping

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

- If we defined new types *Point'* and *Point3d'*, rather than treating them as abbreviations, then we have more control over subtyping
- Then we can declare ColoredPoint' to be a subtype of Point'

deftype 
$$Point' = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle$$
  
deftype  $ColoredPoint' <: Point' = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, c: Color \rangle$ 

Type abbreviations and definitions

- However, we could choose not to assert Point3d' to be a subtype of Point', preventing (mis)use of subtyping to view Point3d's as Point's.
- This nominal subtyping is used in Java and Scala
  - A defined type can only be a subtype of another if it is declared as such
  - More on this later!

### Summary

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

- Today we covered:
  - Records, variants, and pattern matching
  - Type abbreviations and definitions
  - Subtyping
- Next time:
  - Polymorphism and type inference

