D 1			C		
IN	am	ed	tπ	nct	S
	u	cu			 -

### Overview

### Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 5: Functions and recursion

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 5, 2017

- So far, we've covered
  - arithmetic
  - booleans, conditionals (if then else)
  - variables and simple binding (let)
- $\bullet~L_{Let}$  allows us to compute values of expressions
- and use variables to store intermediate values
- but not to define *computations* on unknown values.
- That is, there is no feature analogous to Haskell's functions, Scala's def, or methods in Java.
- Today, we consider *functions* and *recursion*

	< 日 > < 団 > < 国 > < 国 > <	(≣) ≣ ∮ € €		< □ > < / 2 > < 注 > <	<b>∃</b> ▶ <u>∃</u> ∕0 < ⊘
Named functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion	Named functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion
Named functions			Examples		

• A simple way to add support for functions is as follows:

 $e ::= \cdots \mid f(e) \mid \texttt{let fun } f(x:\tau) = e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2$ 

- Meaning: Define a function called *f* that takes an argument *x* and whose result is the expression *e*<sub>1</sub>.
- Make f available for use in  $e_2$ .
- (That is, the scope of x is  $e_1$ , and the scope of f is  $e_2$ .)
- This is pretty limited:
  - for now, we consider one-argument functions only.
  - no recursion
  - functions are not first-class "values" (e.g. can't pass a function as an argument to another)

• We can define a squaring function:

let fun square(x : int) =  $x \times x$  in  $\cdots$ 

• or (assuming inequality tests) absolute value:

let fun abs(x:int) = if x < 0 then -x else x in  $\cdots$ 

#### Named functions

Anonymous functions

Recursion Named functions

Example

Recursion

# Types for named functions

- We introduce a *type constructor*  $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ , meaning "the type of functions taking arguments in  $\tau_1$  and returning  $\tau_2$ "
- We can typecheck named functions as follows:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \quad \Gamma, f: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{let fun } f(x:\tau_1) = e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2 : \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma(f) = \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e: \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash f(e):\tau_2}$$

• For convenience, we just use a single environment  $\Gamma$  for both variables and function names.

Typechecking of abs(-42)

r(x) = int	$\Gamma(x) = \texttt{int}$				
$\Gamma \vdash x : int  \overline{\Gamma \vdash 0 :}$	int $\Gamma \vdash x : int$	$\Gamma(x) = \text{int}$			
$\Gamma \vdash x < 0$ : bool	$\Gamma \vdash -x: int$	$\Gamma \vdash x : \texttt{int}$			
$\Gamma \vdash \texttt{if } x < \texttt{0} \texttt{ then } -x \texttt{ else } x \texttt{ : int }$					
	abs:int $ ightarrow$ int $dash - abs$	42:int			
$\overline{\Gamma \vdash e_{abs}}$ : int $ab$	s:int $ ightarrow$ int $dash$ abs(-	-42):int			

 $\vdash$  let fun  $abs(x:int) = e_{abs}$  in abs(-42): int

where  $e_{abs} = \text{if } x < 0$  then -x else x and  $\Gamma = x:\text{int.}$ 

	< □ > < @ >	(∃) < ∃) = <000		A D > A D > A	E → E → E → QQC
Named functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion Nan	med functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion
Semantics of r	named functions	E	xamples		

- We can define rules for evaluating named functions as follows.
- First, let  $\delta$  be an environment mapping function names fto their "definitions", which we'll write as  $\langle x \Rightarrow e \rangle$ .
- When we encounter a function definition, add it to  $\delta$ .

$$\frac{\delta[f\mapsto \langle x\Rightarrow e_1\rangle], e_2\Downarrow v}{\delta, \texttt{let fun } f(x:\tau)=e_1\texttt{ in } e_2\Downarrow v}$$

• When we encounter an application, look up the definition and evaluate the body with the argument value substituted for the argument:

$$\frac{\delta, e_0 \Downarrow v_0 \quad \delta(f) = \langle x \Rightarrow e \rangle \quad \delta, e[v_0/x] \Downarrow v}{\delta, f(e_0) \Downarrow v}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ■ のへで

Evaluation of abs(-42)

$$\frac{\delta,-42<0\Downarrow\texttt{true}\quad \delta,-(-42)\Downarrow\texttt{42}}{\delta,\texttt{if}\ -42<0\texttt{ then }-(-42)\texttt{ else }-42\Downarrow\texttt{42}}$$

$$\frac{\delta, -42 \Downarrow -42 \quad \delta(abs) = \langle x \Rightarrow e_{abs} \rangle \quad \overline{\delta, e_{abs}[-42/x] \Downarrow 42}}{\delta, abs(-42) \Downarrow 42}$$
$$\frac{\delta, abs(-42) \Downarrow 42}{\text{let fun } abs(x : \text{int}) = e_{abs} \text{ in } abs(-42) \Downarrow 42}$$

where  $e_{abs} = \text{if } x < 0$  then -x else x and  $\delta = [abs \mapsto \langle x \Rightarrow e_{abs} \rangle]$ 

Anonymous functions

Recursion

Static vs. dynamic scope

### Static vs. dynamic scope

• Function bodies can contain free variables. Consider:

- The terms *static* and *dynamic* scope are sometimes used.
- In static scope, the scope and binding occurrences of all variables can be determined from the program text, without actually running the program.
- In **dynamic scope**, this is not necessarily the case: the scope of a variable can depend on the context in which it is evaluated **at run time**.

let x = 1 in let fun f(y: int) = x + y in let x = 10 in f(3)

- Here, x is bound to 1 at the time f is defined, but re-bound to 10 when by the time f is called.
- There are two reasonable-seeming result values, depending on which x is *in scope*:
  - Static scope uses the binding x = 1 present when f is defined, so we get 1 + 3 = 4.
  - **Dynamic scope** uses the binding x = 10 present when f is **used**, so we get 10 + 3 = 13.

	《日》《國》《圖》《圖》	≣ ୬∢ଙ		•	(□) (□) (□) (□)	≣ • <b>೧</b> ೩.Թ
Named functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion	Named functions	Anonymous functions		Recursion

# Dynamic scope breaks type soundness

• Even worse, what if we do this:

```
let x = 1 in
let fun f(y: int) = x + y in
let x = true in f(3)
```

- When we typecheck f, x is an integer, but it is re-bound to a boolean by the time f is called.
- The program as a whole typechecks, but we get a run-time error: *dynamic scope makes the type system unsound!*
- Early versions of LISP used dynamic scope, and it is arguably useful in an untyped language.
- Dynamic scope is now generally acknowledged as a mistake — but one that naive language designers still make.

### Anonymous, first-class functions

 In many languages (including Java as of version 8), we can also write an expression for a function without a name:

 $\lambda x$  :  $\tau$ . e

- Here,  $\lambda$  (Greek letter lambda) introduces an anonymous function expression in which x is bound in e.
  - (The λ-notation dates to Church's higher-order logic (1940); there are several competing stories about why he chose λ.)
- In Scala one writes: (x: Type) => e
- In Java 8: x -> e (no type needed)
- In Haskell:  $x \rightarrow e \text{ or } x::Type \rightarrow e$
- The lambda-calculus is a model of anonymous functions

Recursion

# Types for the $\lambda$ -calculus

 We define L<sub>Lam</sub> to be L<sub>Let</sub> extended with typed λ-abstraction and application as follows:

$$e ::= \cdots \mid e_1 \; e_2 \mid \lambda x:\tau. \; e$$
  
$$\tau ::= \cdots \mid \tau_1 \to \tau_2$$

- $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  is (again) the type of functions from  $\tau_1$  to  $\tau_2$ .
- We can extend the typing rules as follows:

	$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ for L <sub>Lam</sub>			
	$\frac{\Gamma, x: \tau_1 \vdash e: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: \tau_1. \ e: \tau_1 \to \tau_2}$	$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \to \tau_2  \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \ e_2 : \tau_2}$		
		(日) (四) (四) (四) (日) (日)	三 つへで	
lamed	functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion	Named
_	1			

Examples

• In L<sub>Lam</sub>, we can define a higher-order function that calls its argument twice:

let fun twice $(f: \tau \to \tau) = \lambda x : \tau. f(f(x))$  in  $\cdots$ 

• and we can define the composition of two functions:

let compose =  $\lambda f: \tau_2 \to \tau_3$ .  $\lambda g: \tau_1 \to \tau_2$ .  $\lambda x: \tau_1$ . f(g(x)) in  $\cdots$ 

• Notice we are using repeated  $\lambda$ -abstractions to handle multiple arguments

# Evaluation for the $\lambda$ -calculus

• Values are extended to include  $\lambda$ -abstractions  $\lambda x$ . e:

$$v ::= \cdots \mid \lambda x. e$$

(Note: We elide the type annotations when not needed.)

• and the evaluation rules are extended as follows:

$e \Downarrow v$ for L <sub>Lam</sub>					
$\overline{\lambda x. \ e \Downarrow \lambda x. \ e}$	$\frac{e_1 \Downarrow \lambda x.e  e_2 \Downarrow v_2  e[v_2/x] \Downarrow v}{e_1 \ e_2 \Downarrow v}$				
• Note: Combined with let, this subsumes named functions! We can just define let fun as "syntactic sugar"					

$$\texttt{let fun } f(x:\tau) = e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2 \iff \texttt{let } f = \lambda x:\tau. \ e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2$$

Anonymous functions

### Recursive functions

functions

 However, L<sub>Lam</sub> still cannot express general recursion, e.g. the factorial function:

let fun fact(n:int) =if n == 0 then 1 else  $n \times fact(n-1)$  in  $\cdots$ 

is not allowed because *fact* is not in scope inside the function body.

- We can't write it directly as a λ-expression λx:τ. e either because we don't have a "name" for the function we're trying to define inside e.
  - (Technically, we could get around this problem in an *untyped* version of the lambda calculus...)

#### Named functions

Anonymous functions

#### Recursion

# Named recursive functions

- In many languages, named function definitions are recursive by default. (C, Python, Java, Haskell, Scala)
- Others explicitly distinguish between nonrecursive and recursive (named) function definitions. (Scheme, OCaml, F#)

• Note: In the *untyped*  $\lambda$ -calculus, let rec is *definable* using a special  $\lambda$ -term called the *Y* combinator

# Anonymous recursive functions

• Inspired by L<sub>Lam</sub>, we introduce a notation for anonymous *recursive* functions:

 $e ::= \cdots \mid \operatorname{rec} f(x : \tau_1) : \tau_2. e$ 

- Idea: *f* is a local name for the function being defined, and is in scope in *e*, along with the argument *x*.
- $\bullet$  We define  $L_{Rec}$  to be  $L_{Lam}$  extended with rec.
- We can then define let rec as syntactic sugar:

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{let rec } f(x:\tau_1):\tau_2=e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2 \\ \iff \texttt{let } f=\texttt{rec } f(x:\tau_1):\tau_2. \ e_1 \texttt{ in } e_2 \end{array}$ 

• Note: The outer f is in scope in  $e_2$ , while the inner one is in scope in  $e_1$ . The two f bindings are unrelated.

Named functions

 < 그 > < 큔 > < 큔 > < 흔 > < 흔 > < 흔 > 흔< 으 < 은</td>

 Anonymous functions
 Recursion

Recursion Named f

### Anonymous functions

≣ •⁄ २.०• Recursion

< 臣 > < 臣 > □

# Anonymous recursive functions: typing

 $\bullet\,$  The types of  $L_{Rec}$  are the same. We just add one rule:



- This says: to typecheck a recursive function,
  - bind f to the type  $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$  (so that we can call it as a function in e),
  - bind x to the type τ<sub>1</sub> (so that we can use it as an argument in e),
  - typecheck e.
- Since we use the same function type, the existing function application rule is unchanged.

・ロト・日本・ キョン・ ヨー うくぐ

# Anonymous recursive functions: semantics

• Like a  $\lambda\text{-term},$  a recursive function is a value:

$$v ::= \cdots \mid \operatorname{rec} f(x). e$$

• We can evaluate recursive functions as follows:

for L<sub>Rec</sub>

V

$$\boxed{ \operatorname{rec} f(x). \ e \Downarrow \operatorname{rec} f(x). \ e}_{e_1 \Downarrow \operatorname{rec} f(x). \ e} e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad e[\operatorname{rec} f(x). \ e/f, v_2/x] \Downarrow v_2 e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v e_1 e_2 \dotsb v e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v e_1 e_2 \blacksquare v e_2 \blacksquare v e_1 e_2 \Downarrow v e_1 e_2 \blacksquare v e$$

• To apply a recursive function, we substitute the argument for x and the whole rec expression for f.

Named functions

Anonymous functions

Recursion Named functions

### Examples

### Mutual recursion

- We can now write, typecheck and run fact
  - (you will implement an evaluator for  $L_{\text{Rec}}$  in Assignment 2 that can do this)
- In fact, L<sub>Rec</sub> is *Turing-complete* (though it is still so limited that it is not very useful as a general-purpose language)
- (*Turing complete* means: able to simulate any *Turing machine*, that is, any computable function / any other programming language. ITCS covers Turing completeness and computability in depth.)

		≣ • <b>୬</b> ۹.Թ
Named functions	Anonymous functions	Recursion
Summary		

- Today we have covered:
  - Named functions
  - Static vs. dynamic scope
  - Anonymous functions
  - Recursive functions
- along with our first "composite" type, the function type  $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$ .
- Next time
  - Data structures: Pairs (combination) and variants (choice)

- What if we want to define mutually recursive functions?
- A simple example:

def even(n: Int) = if n == 0 then true else odd(n-1)def odd(n: Int) = if n == 0 then false else even(n-1)

Perhaps surprisingly, we can't easily do this!

• One solution: generalize let rec:

let rec  $f_1(x_1:\tau_1): \tau_1'=e_1$  and  $\cdots$  and  $f_n(x_n:\tau_n): \tau_n'=e_n$  in e

where  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  are all in scope in bodies  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ .

• This gets messy fast; we'll revisit this issue later.