EPL Exam Review Session

Simon Fowler University of Edinburgh

April 26, 2017

Today's Session

- ightarrow Two hours (but longer if you like)
- ightarrow Plan: Few words to start us off, then questions from you
- ightarrow I have slides working through two types of questions:
 - \rightarrow "Is this substitution correct?"
 - → "Is this system sound?"
- $\rightarrow \ \mbox{...but}$ l've prepared all three exams, so we can go through any of them on the board

Exam Information

→ Your exam:

- → **Time**: Tuesday, 16th May 2017
- → Location: Patersons Land 1.26 (Holyrood)
- → (Be sure to check closer to the time these sometimes change!)

→ Exam format:

- \rightarrow Two hours
- $\rightarrow\,$ Question 1 is <u>compulsory</u>, then you have a choice between questions 2 and 3.

→ Revision Exercises:

- \rightarrow Three papers:
 - \rightarrow Mock exam (on EPL course page)
 - → 2015/16 exam
 - → 2015/16 resit exam
- → Tutorial questions

Consider the following substitutions:

$$\rightarrow (\lambda x.x y)[x/y] = \lambda z.z x \rightarrow (\lambda x.\lambda y.(x, y, z))[(y, z)/x] = \lambda x.\lambda y.((y, z), y, z) \rightarrow (\lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) z))[y/z] = \lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) y) \rightarrow (\lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) z))[x/z] = \lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) x)$$

For each one, explain whether the substitution has been performed correctly or not. If not, give the correct answer for the right-hand side.

[8 marks]

$$(\lambda x.x y)[x/y] = \lambda z.z x$$

$$(\lambda x.x y)[x/y] = \lambda z.z x$$

This is correct.

- → Substituting *x* for *y* naïvely would result in $\lambda x.x x$. Here, *x* would be <u>captured</u> by the λx binder, changing the meaning of the program.
- → Instead, it is always safe to perform substitution by choosing fresh variables for the binders, and <u>then</u> performing the substitution:

$$\rightarrow (\lambda z.z y)[x/y] = (\lambda z.z x)$$

$$(\lambda x.\lambda y.(x, y, z))[(y, z)/x] = \lambda x.\lambda y.((y, z), y, z)$$

$$(\lambda x.\lambda y.(x, y, z))[(y, z)/x] = \lambda x.\lambda y.((y, z), y, z)$$

- \rightarrow This is incorrect.
- → Whereas the *y* in (*y*, *z*) was free before the substitution, *y* has been <u>captured</u> by the λy afterwards.
- ightarrow To correct the substitution, freshen the binders beforehand:

$$(\lambda a.\lambda b.(a,b,z))[(y,z)/x] = \lambda a.\lambda b.(a,b,z)$$

$(\lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) z))[y/z] = \lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y) y)$

$$(\lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y)z))[y/z] = \lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y)y)$$

- \rightarrow This is <u>correct</u>.
- $\rightarrow z$ is not in the scope f the λy binder, so y is not captured when it is substituted.

$$(\lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y)z))[x/z] = \lambda x.x + ((\lambda y.y)x)$$

- → This is incorrect.
- $\rightarrow z$ is in the scope of λx before the substitution, so x is <u>captured</u> by the binder.
- $\rightarrow\,$ As ever, this can be solved by freshening the binder before substituting:

$$(\lambda a.a + ((\lambda y.y) z)[x/z] = \lambda a.a + ((\lambda y.y) x)$$

15/16 Resit Paper: 2(d)

"Type soundness is often proved using two properties, called preservation and progress". Define the preservation property.

15/16 Resit Paper: 2(d)

"Type soundness is often proved using two properties, called <u>preservation</u> and <u>progress</u>". Define the <u>preservation</u> property.

- → **Preservation**: Typing is preserved under reduction.
 - \rightarrow More formally, if $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \mapsto e'$, then $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau$.
- → Progress: A well-typed term is either a value, or can take a reduction step (evaluation doesn't get "stuck")
 - → More formally, if $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, then either *e* is a value *v*, or there exists some *e'* such that $e \mapsto e'$.
- → Soundness: A system is <u>sound</u> if it satisfies preservation and progress.

These seem to come up a lot – they're worth knowing!

15/16 Resit Paper: 2(e)

Consider the following rules which we might add to handle random number generation to a language that already has basic arithmetic:

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\operatorname{randInt}(e) \mapsto \operatorname{randInt}(e')} \qquad \frac{0 \le n < \nu}{\operatorname{randInt}(\nu) \mapsto n} \qquad \frac{\nu \le 0}{\operatorname{randInt}(\nu) \mapsto 0}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \boldsymbol{e} : \tau$$

 $e \mapsto e'$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \text{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{randInt}(e): \text{int}}$$

Is this system sound? Briefly explain why or why not.

15/16 Resit Paper: 2(e)



Does the system satisfy preservation? If something reduces, does it have the same type?

 \rightarrow Yes: the type is int before and after reduction.

Does the system satisfy progress? Can we always reduce?

→ Yes: if randInt is evaluating a value, then all values accounted for by the last two rules. If evaluating a subexpression, we can assume it takes a step, and thus conclude with the first rule. 15/16 Resit Paper: 2(e)

$$e\mapsto e'$$

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{\operatorname{randInt}(e) \mapsto \operatorname{randInt}(e')} \qquad \frac{0 \le n < \nu}{\operatorname{randInt}(\nu) \mapsto n} \qquad \frac{\nu \le 0}{\operatorname{randInt}(\nu) \mapsto 0}$$

 $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e: \texttt{int}}{\Gamma \vdash \texttt{randInt}(e): \texttt{int}}$

How would we prove this formally?

- \rightarrow Preservation: by induction on $e \mapsto e'$.
- \rightarrow Progress: by induction on $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.

15/16 Paper: Question 2(c)

$$e\mapsto e'$$

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{e}_1 \mapsto \boldsymbol{e}_1'}{\boldsymbol{e}_1 \div \boldsymbol{e}_2 \mapsto \boldsymbol{e}_1' \div \boldsymbol{e}_2} \qquad \frac{\boldsymbol{e}_2 \mapsto \boldsymbol{e}_2'}{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \div \boldsymbol{e}_2 \mapsto \boldsymbol{v}_1 \div \boldsymbol{e}_2'} \qquad \frac{\boldsymbol{v}_2 \neq 0}{\boldsymbol{v}_1 \div \boldsymbol{v}_2 \mapsto \boldsymbol{fdiv}(\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2)}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \boldsymbol{e} : \tau$$

c is a floating-point constant

 $\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{c} : \texttt{float}$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{float} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{float}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \div e_2: \texttt{float}}$

Is this system sound?

15/16 Paper: Question 2(c)

$$e\mapsto e'$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 \div e_2 \mapsto e'_1 \div e_2} \qquad \frac{e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{v_1 \div e_2 \mapsto v_1 \div e'_2} \qquad \frac{v_2 \neq 0}{v_1 \div v_2 \mapsto fdiv(v_1, v_2)}$$
$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$$

 $\frac{c \text{ is a floating-point constant}}{\Gamma \vdash c : \text{ float}}$

 $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1: \texttt{float} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2: \texttt{float}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \div e_2: \texttt{float}}$

Is this system sound?

- \rightarrow No.
- → Preservation holds: if we take a reduction step, we still end up with a float.
- → Progress does not hold: we cannot reduce $v_1 \div 0$ since no rules match, yet $v_1 \div 0$ is not a value.