Overview

Elements of Programming Languages

Lecture 8: Records, variants, and subtyping

James Cheney

University of Edinburgh

October 20, 2015

- Last time:
 - Polymorphism: abstracting over types
- Today we explore another form of abstraction
 - Records (generalizing pairs), variants (generalizing sums) and pattern matching
 - Subtyping

	・ロ > ・ 4 型 > ・ 4 画 > ・	≣) ≡ • ० ००		< □ > < @ > < 差 > < 差 >	E
Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching	Type abbreviations and definitions	Subtyping	Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching	Type abbreviations and definitions	Subtyping
Records			Named variants		

• *Records* generalize pairs to *n*-tuples with *named* fields.

$$e ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 = e_1, \dots, I_n = e_n \rangle | e.I$$

$$v ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 = v_1, \dots, I_n = v_n \rangle$$

$$\tau ::= \cdots | \langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

• Examples:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle \textit{fst}{=}1,\textit{snd}{=}"\texttt{forty-two"} \rangle .\textit{snd} \mapsto "\texttt{forty-two"} \\ \langle x{=}3.0,\textit{y}{=}4.0,\textit{length}{=}5.0 \rangle \end{array}$

• Record fields can be (first-class) functions too:

 $(x=3.0, y=4.0, length=\lambda(x, y). sqrt(x * x + y * y))$

• As mentioned earlier, *named variants* generalize binary variants just as records generalize pairs

$$e ::= \cdots | C_i(e) | \operatorname{case} e \operatorname{of} \{C_1(x) \Rightarrow e_1; \ldots\}$$
$$v ::= \cdots | C_i(v)$$
$$\tau ::= \cdots | [C_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, C_n : \tau_n]$$

- Basic idea: allow a choice of *n* cases, each with a name
- To construct a named variant, use the constructor name on a value of the appropriate type, e.g. C_i(e_i) where e_i : τ_i
- The case construct generalizes to named variants also

Subtyping

Subtyping

Named variants in Scala: case classes

• We have already seen (and used) Scala's *case class* mechanism

```
abstract class IntList
case class Nil() extends IntList
case class Cons(head: Int, tail: IntList)
  extends IntList
```

- Note: IntList, Nil, Cons are newly defined types, different from any others.
- Case classes support pattern matching

```
def foo(x: IntList) = x match {
  case Nil() => ...
  case Cons(head,tail) => ...
}
```

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

Type abbreviations

- Obviously, it quickly becomes painful to write "(x : int, y : str)" over and over.
- Type abbreviations introduce a name for a type.

type
$$T= au$$

An abbreviation name ${\it T}$ treated the same as its expansion τ

- (much like let-bound variables)
- Examples:

```
type Point = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle
type Point3d = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, z:dbl \rangle
type Color = \langle r:int, g:int, b:int \rangle
type ColoredPoint = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, c:Color \rangle
```

Aside: Records and Variants in Haskell

- In Haskell, data defines a recursive, named variant type data IntList = Nil Int | Cons Int IntList
- and cases can define named fields:
- data Point = Point {x :: Double, y :: Double}
- In both cases the newly defined type is different from any other type seen so far, and the named constructor(s) can be used in pattern matching
- This approach dates to the ML programming language and earlier designs such as HOPE (Burstall).

Type definitions

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

• Instead, can also consider defining new (named) types

 $\texttt{deftype} {\it T} = \tau$

Type abbreviations and definitions

- The term *generative* is sometimes used to refer to definitions that *create a new entity* rather than *introducing an abbreviation*
- Type abbreviations are usually not allowed to be recursive; type definitions can be.

 $\texttt{deftype} \ \textit{IntList} = [\textit{Nil}:\texttt{unit}, \textit{Cons}:\texttt{int} \times \textit{IntList}]$

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● のへで

Subtyping

Subtyping

Type definitions vs. abbreviations in practice

- In Haskell, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while new types can be defined by data or newtype declarations.
- In Java, there is no explicit notation for type abbreviations; the only way to define a new type is to define a class or interface
- In Scala, type abbreviations are introduced by type, while the class, object and trait constructs define new types

Pattern matching

- Datatypes and case classes support pattern matching
 - We have seen a simple form of pattern matching for sum types.
 - This generalizes to named variants
 - But still is very limited: we only consider one "level" at a time
- Patterns typically also include constants and pairs/records

x match { case (1, (true, "abcd")) \Rightarrow ...}

• Patterns in Scala, Haskell, ML can also be *nested*: that is, they can match more than one constructor

x match { case Cons(1,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...}

```
      Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching
      Type abbreviations and definitions
      Subtyping
      Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching
      Type abbreviations and definitions
      Subtyping
```

More pattern matching

- Variables cannot be repeated, instead, explicit equality tests need to be used.
- The special pattern _ matches anything
- Patterns can overlap, and usually they are tried in order

```
result match {
  case OK => println("All_is_Well")
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
}
// not the same as
result match {
  case _ => println("Release_the_hounds!")
  case OK => println("All_is_Well")
}
```

Expanding nested pattern matching

• Nested pattern matching can be expanded out:

```
x match {
  case Cons(1,Cons(y,Nil())) => ...
}
```

expands to

```
x match {
   case Cons(h,t) => y match {
     case 1 => z match {
        case Cons(y,t2) => t2 match {
        case Nil() => ...
} } }
```

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

Subtyping

• Liskov proposed a guideline for subtyping:

Liskov Substitution Principle

If S is a subtype of T, then objects of type T may be replaced with objects of type S without altering any of the desirable properties of the program.

• If we use $\tau <: \tau'$ to mean " τ is a subtype of τ' ", and consider well-typedness to be desirable, then we can translate this to the following *subsumption* rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}$$

This says: if e has type τ₁ and τ₁ <: τ₂, then we can proceed by pretending it has type τ₂.

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

≣ •⁄ २.०~ Subtyping

Examples

- (We'll abbreviate P = Point, P3 = Point3d, CP = ColoredPoint to save space...)
- So we have:

 $P3d = \langle x:dbl, y:dbl, z:dbl \rangle <: \langle x:dbl, y:dbl \rangle = P$

 $\textit{CP} = \langle x: \texttt{dbl}, y: \texttt{dbl}, c: \textit{Color} \rangle <: \langle x: \texttt{dbl}, y: \texttt{dbl} \rangle = \textit{P}$

but no other subtyping relationships hold

• So, we can call *dist* on *Point3d* or *ColoredPoint*:

$$\frac{x:P3d \vdash x:P3d \quad P3d <: P}{x:P3d \vdash x:P} \quad \frac{\vdots}{x:P3d \vdash dist:P \rightarrow dbl}$$
$$\frac{x:P3d \vdash x:P}{x:P3d \vdash dist(x):dbl}$$

Subtyping

• Suppose we have a function:

 $dist = \lambda x$: Point, y: Point. $sqrt((p.x)^2 + (p.y)^2)$

for computing the distance to the origin.

- Only the x and y fields are needed for this, so we'd like to be able to use this on *ColoredPoints* also.
- But, this doesn't typecheck:

```
dist(\langle x=8.0, y=12.0, c=purple \rangle) = 13.0
```

• We can introduce a *subtyping* relationship between *Point* and *ColoredPoint* to allow for this.

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching

Type abbreviations and definitions

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト つんで

Subtyping

Record subtyping: width and depth

- There are several different ways to define subtyping for records.
- Width subtyping: subtype has all fields of supertype (with identical types)

 $\langle I_1:\tau_1,\ldots,I_n:\tau_n,\ldots,I_{n+k}:\tau_{n+k}\rangle <:\langle I_1:\tau_1,\ldots,I_n:\tau_n\rangle$

• **Depth subtyping:** subtype's fields are pointwise subtypes of supertype

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau'_1 \cdots \tau_n <: \tau'_n}{\langle I_1 : \tau_1, \dots, I_n : \tau_n \rangle <: \langle I_1 : \tau'_1, \dots, I_n : \tau'_n \rangle}$$

• These rules can be combined. Optionally, field reordering can also be allowed (but is harder to implement).

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Type abbreviations and definitions

Subtyping

Subtyping for pairs and variants

• For pairs, subtyping is componentwise

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \times \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \times \tau_2'}$$

• Similarly for binary variants

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 + \tau_2 <: \tau_1' + \tau_2'}$$

• For named variants, can have additional subtyping rules (but this is rare)

Subtyping for functions

- When is $A_1 \rightarrow B_1 <: A_2 \rightarrow B_2$?
- Maybe componentwise, like pairs?

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_1' \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \rightarrow \tau_2'}$$

• But then we can do this (where $\Gamma(p) = P$):

• any: a type that is a supertype of all types.

subtypes (e.g. hashing, equality in Java)

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x: CP \rightarrow CP}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x: P \rightarrow CP}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.x: P \rightarrow CP}} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \vdash p: P}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x.x)p: CP}$$

• So, once *ColoredPoint* is a subtype of *Point*, we can change any *Point* to a *ColoredPoint* also. That doesn't seem right.

	< ロ > < 団 > < 国 > < 国 >	<		▲□> ▲□> ▲目> ▲	■▶ ■ のへぐ
Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching	Type abbreviations and definitions	Subtyping	Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching	Type abbreviations and definitions	Subtyping
Covariant vs. contravariant		The "top" and "bottom" types			

- We say that subtyping is *covariant* for the result type of a function (and for pairs and other data structures)
 - That is, the direction of subtyping is preserved in this specialized rule:

$$\frac{\tau_2 <: \tau_2'}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1 \to \tau_2'}$$

- For the *argument* type of a function, we say that subtyping is *contravariant*
 - That is, the direction of subtyping is flipped in this specialized rule:

$$\frac{\tau_1' <: \tau_1}{\tau_1 \to \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \to \tau_2}$$

• In Scala, this is called Any

• Usually, such a type is considered to be *empty*: there cannot actually be any values of this type.

• Such a type describes the common interface of all its

- We've actually encountered this before, as the degenerate case of a choice type where there are zero chioces
- In Scala, this type is called Nothing. So for any Scala type τ we have Nothing <: τ <: Any.

Summary: Subtyping rules

Subtyping

Structural vs. Nominal subtyping

• Today we covered:

Subtyping

• Next time:

- The approach to subtyping considered so far is called *structural*.
- The names we use for type abbreviations don't matter, only their structure. For example, *Point3d* <: *Point* because *Point3d* has all of the fields of *Point* (and more).
- Then *dist*(*p*) also runs on *p* : *Point*3*d* (and gives a nonsense answer!)

• Records, variants, and pattern matching

• Imperative programming and control structures

• Type abbreviations and definitions

- So far, a defined type has no subtypes (other than itself).
- By default, definitions *ColoredPoint*, *Point* and *Point3d* are unrelated.

Records, Variants, and Pattern Matching	✓ □ → < / 合 → < 注 → Type abbreviations and definitions	▲ 클 ▶ 클 ∽ ۹.៚ Subtyping		۲ u → ۲ definitions and definitions	▲≣→ ≣ ∽へぐ Subtyping
Structural vs. Nomina	Leubtyping		Summary		

- If we defined new types *Point'* and *Point3d'*, rather than treating them as abbreviations, then we have more control over subtyping
- Then we can *declare ColoredPoint'* to be a subtype of *Point'*

 $\begin{array}{l} \texttt{deftype} \ \textit{Point'} = \langle x:\texttt{dbl}, y:\texttt{dbl} \rangle \\ \texttt{deftype} \ \textit{ColoredPoint'} <: \textit{Point'} = \langle x:\texttt{dbl}, y:\texttt{dbl}, c:\textit{Color} \rangle \end{array}$

- However, we could choose not to assert *Point3d'* to be a subtype of *Point'*, preventing (mis)use of subtyping to view *Point3d'*s as *Point'*s.
- This *nominal* subtyping is used in Java and Scala
 - A defined type can only be a subtype of another if it is declared as such
 - More on this later!

t is