Course rev	iew Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions		
			Overview				
	Elements of Programming Languages Course review		 We've now covered Basic concepts: ASTs, evaluation, typing, names Common elements of any programming language Programming in the large: components, abstract Language design issues Today: Review of course, pointers to related reading 	scope			
	James Cheney			tions			
	University of Edinburgh			y: Review of course, pointers to related reading			
	November 27, 2015	November 27, 2015		Information about the examConclusions			

	< □ > < ^[] / ^[]	・ 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 目 うくぐ			・ ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions Co	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
Intro & Ab	stract syntax	E	Evaluatior	n & Interpretation	

- Concrete vs. Abstract Syntax
- Abstract syntax trees
- \bullet Abstract syntax of L_{Arith} in several languages
- Structural induction over syntax trees
- Reading: CPL 1, 4.1, 5.1; PFPL 1.1

- A simple interpreter for arithmetic expressions
- Evaluation judgment $e \Downarrow v$ and big-step evaluation rules
- Totality, uniqueness, and correctness of interpreter (via structural induction)
- Reading: CPL 5.4.2; PFPL 2.1-3, 2.6-7, 7.1

~				
C	ou	rse	review	

Conclusions Course review

Booleans, conditionals, types

Variables and scope

- Boolean expressions, equality tests, and conditionals
- Typing judgment $\vdash e : \tau$
- Typing rules
- Type soundness and static vs. dynamic typing
- Reading: CPL 5.4.2, 6.1, 6.2; PFPL 4.1-4.2

- Variables: symbols denoting other things
- Substitution: replacing variables with expressions/values
- Scope and binding: introducing and using variables
- Free variables and α -equivalence
- Impact of variables, scope and binding on evaluation and typing (using let-binding to illustrate)
- Reading: CPL 4.2; PFPL 1.2, 3.1-3.2

	<□ > < 合い	・ モト・ヨー のへの		< □ >	(日)・(日)・(日)・(日)・(日)
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
с	· ·				
Functions and	recursion		Data structures		

- Named (non-recursive) functions
- Static vs. dynamic scope
- Anonymous functions
- Recursive functions
- The function type, $\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$
- Reading: CPL 4.2, 5.4.3; PFPL 8, 10.1-2

- Pairs and pair types $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$, which combine two or more data structures
- Variant/choice types τ₁ + τ₂, which represent a choice between two or more data structures
- Special cases unit, empty
- Reading: CPL 5.4.4; PFPL 11.1, 12.1, 12.3

Polymorphism and type inference

- The idea of thinking of the same code as having many different types
- Parametric polymorphism: abstracting over a type parameter (variable)
- Modeling polymorphism using types $\forall A.\tau$
- High-level coverage of type inference, e.g. in Scala
- [non-examinable] Hindley-Milner and let-bound polymorphism
- Reading: CPL 6.3-4; PFPL 20.1

- Records, generating from pairs to structures with named fields
- Named variants, generalizing from binary choices to named constructors (e.g. datatypes, case classes)
- Type abbreviations and definitions

Records, variants and subtyping

- Subtyping (e.g. width subtyping, depth subtyping for records)
- Covariance and contravariance; subtyping for pair, choice, function types
- Reading: CPL 6.5; PFPL 11.2, 12.2, 13.1-3, 23.1-3

	< □ > < 团 > < 臣 > < 臣) < 臣 :	► < <p> < <p> <p <p<="" th=""><th></th><th>▲□> ▲□> ▲□> ▲</th><th>■▶ ■ のへの</th></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p></p>		▲□> ▲□> ▲□> ▲	■▶ ■ のへの
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
Imperative programm	inσ		Programs	modules and interfaces	

- $\bullet\ L_{While}$: a language with statements, variables, assignment, conditionals and loops
- Interpreting L_{While} using *state* or *store*
- Operational semantics
- Structured vs unstructured programming
- Other control flow constructs: goto, switch, break/continue
- Reading: CPL 4.4, 5.1-2, 8.1

- "Programs" as collections of definitions (with an entry point)
- Namespaces and packages: collecting related components together, using "dot" syntax to structure names; importing namespaces to allow local usage
- The idea of abstract data types: a type with associated operations, with hidden implementation
- Modules (e.g. Scala's objects) and interfaces (e.g. Scala's traits)
- What it means for a module to "implement" an interface
- Reading: CPL 9; PFPL 45.1-2, 46.1

Conclusions Course review

Objects and classes

- Objects and how they differ from records or modules: encapsulation of local state; self-reference
- Classes and how they differ from interfaces; abstract classes; dynamic dispatch
- Instantiating classes to obtain objects
- Inheritance of functionality between objects or classes; multiple inheritance and its problems
- Run-time type tests and coercions (isInstanceOf, asInstanceOf)
- Reading: CPL 10; 12.5, 13.1-2

Object-oriented functional programming

- Advanced OOP concepts:
 - inner classes, nested classes, anonymous classes/objects
 - Generics: Parameterized types and parametric polymorphism; interaction with subtyping; type bounds
 - Traits as mixins: implementing multiple traits providing orthogonal functionality; comparison with multiple inheritance
- Function types as interfaces
- List comprehensions and map, flatMap and filter functions
- Reading: Odersky and Rompf, Unifying Functional and Object-Oriented Programming with Scala, CACM, Vol. 57 No. 4, Pages 76-86, April 2014

	< □ > < 酉 > < 喜 >	<		< □ > < //>	(■) ■
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
Small-step semant	ics and type safety		Reference	es and resource management	

- Small-step evaluation relation e → e', and advantages over big-step semantics for discussing type safety
- Induction on derivations
- Type soundness: decoposition into *preservation* and *progress* lemmas
- $\bullet~\mbox{Representative cases for $L_{\mbox{If}}$}$
- \bullet [non-examinable] Type soundness for L_{Rec}
- Reading: CPL 6.1-2; PFPL 5.1-2,2.4,7.2, 6.1-2

- Reconciling references and mutability with a "functional" language like L_{Rec}
- Semantics and typing for references
- Potential interactions with subtyping; problem with reference / array types being covariant in e.g. Java
- **[non-examinable]** How references + polymorphism can violate type soundness
- Resources and allocation/deallocation
- Reading: CPL 5.4.5, 13.3; PFPL 36.1-3

Evaluation strategies

- Exceptions and control abstraction
- Evaluation order; varying small-step "administrative" rules to get left-to-right, right-to-left or unspecified operand evaluation order
- Evaluation strategies for function arguments (or more generally for expressions bound to variables):
 - Call-by-value / eager
 - Call-by-name
 - Call-by-need / lazy evaluation
- Interactions between evaluation strategies and side-effects
- Lazy data structures and pure functional programming (cf. Haskell)
- Reading: CPL 7.3, 8.4; PFPL 37.1;

- Exceptions, illustrated in Java and Scala (throw, try...catch...finally)
- Exceptions more formally: typing and small-step evaluation rules
- Tail recursion
- [non-examinable] Continuations
- Reading: CPL 8.2-3; PFPL 28.1-3, 29.1-2

	< □ >	< <p>◆□ → < E → < E → E < < ○ < ○</p>		◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆言!	▲ ■ ● ■ ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ● ●
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
Reading summary					

The following sections of CPL are recommended to provide high-level explanation and background: 1, 4.1-2, 4.4, 5.4, 6.1-5, 7.1, 7.3, 8.1-4, 9, 10, 12.5, 13.1-3

- The following sections of PFPL are recommended to complement the formal content of the course:
 1, 2, 3.1-2, 4.1-2, 5.1-2, 6.1-2, 7.1-2, 8.1-2, 8.4, 10.1-2, 11.1-2, 12.1-3, 13.1-3, 20.1, 23.1-3, 28.1-3, 29.1, 36.1-3, 37.1, 37.3-4, 45.1-2, 46.1
- In general, exam questions should be answerable using ideas introduced/explained in lectures or tutorials
- (please ask, if something mentioned in lecture slides is unclear and not explained in associated readings)

Exam Information

Course review

Exam information

Conclusions Course review

Expectations

Conclusions

Exam format

- Written exam, 2 hours
- Three (multi-part) questions
- $\bullet\,$ Answer Question 1 + EITHER Question 2 or 3
- Closed-book (no notes, etc.), but...
- Exam will **not** be about memorizing inference rules any rules needed to answer questions will be provided in a supplement
- Check University exam schedule!
 - Exam in December \iff you are a visiting student AND only here for semester 1

- Several typical kinds of questions...
- Show how to use / apply some technical content of the course (typing rules, evaluation,) — possibly in a slightly different setting than in lectures/assignments
- Define concepts; explain differences/strengths/weaknesses of differerent ideas in PL design
- Show how to extrapolate or extend concepts or technical ideas covered in lectures (possibly in ways covered in more detail in reading or tutorials but not in lectures)
- Explain and perform simple examples of inductive proofs (no more complex than those covered in lectures)

Course review Exam information Conclusions	Course review Exam informa	ion Conclusions
< 四 > < 回 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < < < > < < < > < < > < < < > < < < > < < < > < < < < < > < < < < < > < <		<□> < □> < □> < □> < □> < □> < □> < □

Sample exam

- A sample exam is available now on course web page
- Format: same as real exam
- Questions have not gone through same process, so:
 - There may be errors/typos (hopefully not on real exam)
 - The difficulty level may not be calibrated to the real exam (though I have tried to make it comparable)
- In particular: just because a topic is covered/not covered on the sample exam does NOT tell you it will be / will not be covered on the real exam!
- There will be a **exam review session** on Friday December 4 at 2:10pm (usual lecture time/place, G.03, 50 George Square)

Conclusions

What **didn't** we cover?

- Lots! (and I may have tried to cover too much as it is)
- Scala: implicits, richer pattern matching, concurrency, ...
- More generally:
 - language-support for concurrent programming (synchronized, threads, locks, etc.)
 - language support for other computational models (databases, parallel CPU, GPU, etc.)
 - Haskell-style type classes/overloading
 - Logic programming
 - Program verification / theorem proving
 - Analysis and optimisation
 - Implementation and compilation of modern languages
 - Virtual machines

• There is a lot more to Programming Languages than we can cover in just one course...

- The following UG4 courses cover more advanced topics related to programming languages:
 - Advances in Programming Languages
 - Types and Semantics for Programming Languages
 - Secure Programming

Other relevant courses

- Parallel Programming Languages and Systems
- Compiler Optimisation
- (maybe next year) Formal Verification
- Many potential supervisors for PL-related UG4, MSc, PhD projects in Informatics — ask if interested!

	▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲ 圖 > ▲ 圖	► <u>₹</u>		< □	マック 回 ・川中・・川中・・
Course review	Exam information	Conclusions	Course review	Exam information	Conclusions
Other programming	languages resources		A final word		

- Scottish Programming Languages Seminar, http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/research/spls/
- EdLambda, Edinburgh's mostly functional programming meetup, http://www.edlambda.co.uk
- Informatics *PL Interest Group*, http://wcms.inf.ed.ac.uk/lfcs/research/groups-andprojects/pl/programming-languages-interest-group
- Major conferences: ICFP, POPL, PLDI, OOPSLA, ESOP, CC
- Major journals: ACM TOPLAS, Journal of Functional Programming

- This has been the first time of teaching this course Elements of Programming Languages
 - \bullet > 70 students registered (was optimistically expecting 20-30)
- Although I know not everything has gone perfectly, I've enjoyed it immensely
 - and hope you have also! (despite not everything going perfectly)
- Please do provide feedback on the course (both what worked and what didn't)
 - Thanks in advance on behalf of future EPL students!