

Discrete Mathematics & Mathematical Reasoning

Multiplicative Inverses and Some Cryptography

Colin Stirling

Informatics

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$
- Similarly for $x \bmod m$, except $x = 0$, we wish to find $y \bmod m$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$
- Similarly for $x \bmod m$, except $x = 0$, we wish to find $y \bmod m$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- $x = 8$ and $m = 15$. Then $x \cdot 2 = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$, so 2 is a multiplicative inverse of 8 (mod 15)

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$
- Similarly for $x \bmod m$, except $x = 0$, we wish to find $y \bmod m$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- $x = 8$ and $m = 15$. Then $x^2 = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$, so 2 is a multiplicative inverse of 8 (mod 15)
- $x = 12$ and $m = 15$

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$
- Similarly for $x \bmod m$, except $x = 0$, we wish to find $y \bmod m$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- $x = 8$ and $m = 15$. Then $x \cdot 2 = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$, so 2 is a multiplicative inverse of 8 (mod 15)
- $x = 12$ and $m = 15$
The sequence $\{xa \pmod{m} \mid a = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is periodic, and takes on the values $\{0, 12, 9, 6, 3\}$. So, 12 has no multiplicative inverse mod 15

Multiplicative inverses

- Every real number x , except $x = 0$, has a multiplicative inverse $y = \frac{1}{x}$; so $xy = 1$
- Similarly for $x \bmod m$, except $x = 0$, we wish to find $y \bmod m$ such that $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- $x = 8$ and $m = 15$. Then $x \cdot 2 = 16 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$, so 2 is a multiplicative inverse of 8 (mod 15)
- $x = 12$ and $m = 15$
The sequence $\{xa \pmod{m} \mid a = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is periodic, and takes on the values $\{0, 12, 9, 6, 3\}$. So, 12 has no multiplicative inverse mod 15
- Notice $\gcd(8, 15) = 1$ whereas $\gcd(12, 15) = 3$

Multiplicative inverses mod m when $\gcd(m, x) = 1$

Theorem

If m, x are positive integers and $\gcd(m, x) = 1$ then x has a multiplicative inverse mod m (and it is unique mod m)

Multiplicative inverses mod m when $\gcd(m, x) = 1$

Theorem

If m, x are positive integers and $\gcd(m, x) = 1$ then x has a multiplicative inverse mod m (and it is unique mod m)

Proof.

By Bézout's theorem there are s and t such that

$$sm + tx = 1 = \gcd(m, x)$$

So, $sm + tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. As $sm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, so $tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
For uniqueness mod m . Assume $tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $ux \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
Therefore, $tx \equiv ux \pmod{m}$. Since $\gcd(m, x) = 1$ it follows that
 $t \equiv u \pmod{m}$. □

Multiplicative inverses mod m when $\gcd(m, x) = 1$

Theorem

If m, x are positive integers and $\gcd(m, x) = 1$ then x has a multiplicative inverse mod m (and it is unique mod m)

Proof.

By Bézout's theorem there are s and t such that

$$sm + tx = 1 = \gcd(m, x)$$

So, $sm + tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. As $sm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, so $tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
For uniqueness mod m . Assume $tx \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ and $ux \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.
Therefore, $tx \equiv ux \pmod{m}$. Since $\gcd(m, x) = 1$ it follows that
 $t \equiv u \pmod{m}$. □

Compute the multiplicative inverse using extended euclidean algorithm

Chinese remainder theorem

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than 1 and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be arbitrary integers. Then the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

\vdots

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$

has a unique solution modulo $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$

Chinese remainder theorem

Theorem

Let m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than 1 and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be arbitrary integers. Then the system

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$x \equiv a_n \pmod{m_n}$$

has a unique solution modulo $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$

Proof.

In the book □

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$
- $M_1 = 35$ and 2 is an inverse of $M_1 \pmod{3}$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$
- $M_1 = 35$ and 2 is an inverse of $M_1 \pmod{3}$
- $M_2 = 21$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_2 \pmod{5}$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$
- $M_1 = 35$ and 2 is an inverse of $M_1 \pmod{3}$
- $M_2 = 21$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_2 \pmod{5}$
- $M_3 = 15$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_3 \pmod{7}$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$
- $M_1 = 35$ and 2 is an inverse of $M_1 \pmod{3}$
- $M_2 = 21$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_2 \pmod{5}$
- $M_3 = 15$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_3 \pmod{7}$
- $x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 15 \cdot 1$

Example

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

- $m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$
- $M_1 = 35$ and 2 is an inverse of $M_1 \pmod{3}$
- $M_2 = 21$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_2 \pmod{5}$
- $M_3 = 15$ and 1 is an inverse of $M_3 \pmod{7}$
- $x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 15 \cdot 1$
- $x = 140 + 63 + 75 = 278 \equiv 68 \pmod{105}$

Fermat's little theorem

Theorem

If p is prime and $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Furthermore, for every integer a we have $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$

Fermat's little theorem

Theorem

If p is prime and $p \nmid a$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Furthermore, for every integer a we have $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$

Proof.

Assume $p \nmid a$ and so, therefore, $\gcd(p, a) = 1$. Then $a, 2a, \dots, (p-1)a$ are not pairwise congruent modulo p ; if $ia \equiv ja \pmod{p}$ because $\gcd(p, a) = 1$ then $i \equiv j \pmod{p}$ which is impossible. Therefore, each element $ja \pmod{p}$ is a distinct element in the set $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$. This means that the product $a \cdot 2a \cdots (p-1)a \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots p-1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $(p-1)!a^{p-1} \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p}$. Now because $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ for $1 \leq q \leq p-1$ it follows that $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, also $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$ and when $p|a$ then clearly $a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$. □

Computing the remainders modulo prime p

- Find $7^{222} \bmod 11$

Computing the remainders modulo prime p

- Find $7^{222} \bmod 11$
- By Fermat's little theorem, we know that $7^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and so $(7^{10})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ for every positive integer k . Therefore, $7^{222} = 7^{22 \cdot 10 + 2} = (7^{10})^{22} 7^2 \equiv 1^{22} 49 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$. Hence, $7^{222} \bmod 11 = 5$

Computing the remainders modulo prime p

- Find $7^{222} \bmod 11$
- By Fermat's little theorem, we know that $7^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$, and so $(7^{10})^k \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ for every positive integer k . Therefore, $7^{222} = 7^{22 \cdot 10 + 2} = (7^{10})^{22} 7^2 \equiv 1^{22} 49 \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$. Hence, $7^{222} \bmod 11 = 5$
- $2^{340} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$ because $2^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{11}$

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key E_n (which has an inverse D_e)

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key E_n (which has an inverse D_e)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $E_n(M)$

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key En (which has an inverse De)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key En (which has an inverse De)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key En (which has an inverse De)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$
- Alice and Bob share a secret which could be intercepted by a third party

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key En (which has an inverse De)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$
- Alice and Bob share a secret which could be intercepted by a third party
- Example use $En(p) = (p + 3) \bmod 26$

Private key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Alice sends Bob a private key En (which has an inverse De)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$
- Alice and Bob share a secret which could be intercepted by a third party
- Example use $En(p) = (p + 3) \bmod 26$
- What is WKLV LV D VHFUHW ?

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret
- Alice sends Bob a public key E_n (and keeps her inverse private key D_e secret from everyone including Bob)

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret
- Alice sends Bob a public key E_n (and keeps her inverse private key D_e secret from everyone including Bob)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $E_n(M)$

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret
- Alice sends Bob a public key E_n (and keeps her inverse private key D_e secret from everyone including Bob)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $E_n(M)$
- Alice decrypts $E_n(M)$, $D_e(E_n(M))$

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret
- Alice sends Bob a public key En (and keeps her inverse private key De secret from everyone including Bob)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$

Public key cryptography

- Bob wants to send Alice a secret message M
- Without Alice and Bob sharing a secret
- Alice sends Bob a public key En (and keeps her inverse private key De secret from everyone including Bob)
- Bob encrypts M and sends Alice $En(M)$
- Alice decrypts $En(M)$, $De(En(M))$
- Important property $De(En(M)) = M$
- The challenge: De can't be feasibly computed from En ; and given $En(M)$ one can't feasibly compute M

RSA Cryptosystem: Rivest, Shamir and Adleman

- Choose two distinct prime numbers p and q
- Let $n = pq$ and $k = (p - 1)(q - 1)$
- Choose integer e where $1 < e < k$ and $\gcd(e, k) = 1$
- (n, e) is released as the public key
- Let d be the multiplicative inverse of e modulo k , so $de \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$
- (n, d) is the private key and kept secret

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme
- 2 He computes the ciphertext c corresponding to $c = m^e \bmod n$.
(This can be done quickly: see fast modular exponentiation in INF2 IADS Lecture 2)

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme
- 2 He computes the ciphertext c corresponding to $c = m^e \bmod n$.
(This can be done quickly: see fast modular exponentiation in INF2 IADS Lecture 2)
- 3 Bob transmits c to Alice.

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme
- 2 He computes the ciphertext c corresponding to $c = m^e \bmod n$.
(This can be done quickly: see fast modular exponentiation in INF2 IADS Lecture 2)
- 3 Bob transmits c to Alice.

Decryption Alice can recover m from c

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme
- 2 He computes the ciphertext c corresponding to $c = m^e \bmod n$. (This can be done quickly: see fast modular exponentiation in INF2 IADS Lecture 2)
- 3 Bob transmits c to Alice.

Decryption Alice can recover m from c

- 1 Using her private key exponent d via computing $m = c^d \bmod n$

RSA: encryption and decryption

Alice transmits her public key (n, e) to Bob and keeps the private key (n, d) secret

Encryption Bob wishes to send message M to Alice

- 1 He turns M into integer m , $0 \leq m < n$, using an agreed-upon reversible protocol known as a padding scheme
- 2 He computes the ciphertext c corresponding to $c = m^e \bmod n$. (This can be done quickly: see fast modular exponentiation in INF2 IADS Lecture 2)
- 3 Bob transmits c to Alice.

Decryption Alice can recover m from c

- 1 Using her private key exponent d via computing $m = c^d \bmod n$
- 2 Given m , she can recover the original message M by reversing the padding scheme

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)
- So, $1819^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2081$ and $1415^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2182$

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)
- So, $1819^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2081$ and $1415^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2182$
- So encrypted message is 2081 2182

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)
- So, $1819^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2081$ and $1415^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2182$
- So encrypted message is 2081 2182
- Receive message 0981 0461: decrypt it

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)
- So, $1819^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2081$ and $1415^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2182$
- So encrypted message is 2081 2182
- Receive message 0981 0461: decrypt it
- $0981^{937} \bmod 2537 = 0704$ and $0461^{937} \bmod 2537 = 1115$

Example

- $n = 43 \cdot 59 = 2537$
- $\gcd(13, 42 \cdot 58) = 1$, so public key is $(2537, 13)$
- $d = 937$ is inverse of 13 modulo $2436 = 42 \cdot 58$; private key $(2537, 937)$
- Encrypt STOP as two blocks 1819 for ST and 1415 for OP (padding scheme: position in alphabet - 1)
- So, $1819^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2081$ and $1415^{13} \bmod 2537 = 2182$
- So encrypted message is 2081 2182
- Receive message 0981 0461: decrypt it
- $0981^{937} \bmod 2537 = 0704$ and $0461^{937} \bmod 2537 = 1115$
- So decrypted message is HELP

RSA: correctness of decryption

Given that $c = m^e \pmod n$, is $m = c^d \pmod n$?

$$c^d = (m^e)^d \equiv m^{ed} \pmod n$$

By construction, d and e are each others multiplicative inverses modulo k , i.e. $ed \equiv 1 \pmod k$. Also $k = (p-1)(q-1)$. Thus $ed - 1 = h(p-1)(q-1)$ for some integer h . We consider $m^{ed} \pmod p$
If $p \nmid m$ then

$m^{ed} = m^{h(p-1)(q-1)} m = (m^{p-1})^{h(q-1)} m \equiv 1^{h(q-1)} m \equiv m \pmod p$ (by Fermat's little theorem)

Otherwise $m^{ed} \equiv 0 \equiv m \pmod p$

Symmetrically, $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod q$

Since p, q are distinct primes, we have $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{pq}$. Since $n = pq$, we have $c^d = m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod n$