Discrete Mathematics & Mathematical Reasoning Primes and Greatest Common Divisors

Colin Stirling

Informatics

Some slides based on ones by Myrto Arapinis

Primes

Definition

A positive integer p > 1 is called prime iff the only positive factors of p are 1 and p. Otherwise it is called composite

Primes

Definition

A positive integer p > 1 is called prime iff the only positive factors of p are 1 and p. Otherwise it is called composite

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Primes

Definition

A positive integer p > 1 is called prime iff the only positive factors of p are 1 and p. Otherwise it is called composite

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

$$765 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$$



Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Showed by induction if n > 1 is an integer then n can be written as a product of primes

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Showed by induction if n > 1 is an integer then n can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Showed by induction if n > 1 is an integer then n can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if p is prime and $p|a_1a_2...a_n$ where each a_i is an integer, then $p|a_j$ for some $1 \le j \le n$

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Showed by induction if n > 1 is an integer then n can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if p is prime and $p|a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$ where each a_i is an integer, then $p|a_j$ for some $1 \le j \le n$

By induction too

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of its prime factors, written in order of nondecreasing size

Showed by induction if n > 1 is an integer then n can be written as a product of primes

Missing is uniqueness

Lemma if p is prime and $p|a_1a_2...a_n$ where each a_i is an integer, then $p|a_j$ for some $1 \le j \le n$

By induction too

Now result follows

Lemma Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

Lemma Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

Follows from fundamental theorem

Lemma Every natural number greater than one is either prime or it has a prime divisor

Follows from fundamental theorem

Proof Suppose towards a contradiction that there are only finitely many primes $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_k$. Consider the number $q = p_1p_2p_3 \ldots p_k + 1$, the product of all the primes plus one. By hypothesis q cannot be prime because it is strictly larger than all the primes. Thus, by the lemma, it has a prime divisor, p. Because $p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots, p_k$ are all the primes, p must be equal to one of them, so p is a divisor of their product. So we have that p divides $p_1p_2p_3 \ldots p_k$, and p divides q, but that means p divides their difference, which is 1. Therefore $p \leq 1$. Contradiction. Therefore there are infinitely many primes.

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

A **very inefficient** method of determining if a number *n* is prime

Try every integer $i \le \sqrt{n}$ and see if n is divisible by i

• Write the numbers 2, ..., n into a list. Let i := 2

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

A **very inefficient** method of determining if a number *n* is prime

Try every integer $i \leq \sqrt{n}$ and see if *n* is divisible by *i*

- Write the numbers $2, \ldots, n$ into a list. Let i := 2
- Remove all strict multiples of i from the list

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

A **very inefficient** method of determining if a number *n* is prime

Try every integer $i \le \sqrt{n}$ and see if n is divisible by i

- Write the numbers $2, \ldots, n$ into a list. Let i := 2
- Remove all strict multiples of i from the list
- **3** Let k be the smallest number present in the list s.t. k > i and let i := k

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

A **very inefficient** method of determining if a number *n* is prime

Try every integer $i \le \sqrt{n}$ and see if n is divisible by i

- Write the numbers $2, \ldots, n$ into a list. Let i := 2
- Remove all strict multiples of i from the list
- **3** Let k be the smallest number present in the list s.t. k > i and let i := k
- 4 If $i > \sqrt{n}$ then stop else go to step 2

How to find all primes between 2 and *n*?

A **very inefficient** method of determining if a number *n* is prime

Try every integer $i \le \sqrt{n}$ and see if n is divisible by i

- Write the numbers 2, ..., n into a list. Let i := 2
- Remove all strict multiples of i from the list
- **3** Let k be the smallest number present in the list s.t. k > i and let i := k
- 4 If $i > \sqrt{n}$ then stop else go to step 2

Testing if a number is prime can be done efficiently in polynomial time [Agrawal-Kayal-Saxena 2002], i.e., polynomial in the number of bits used to describe the input number. Efficient randomized tests had been available previously.

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

Definition

The integers a and b are relatively prime (coprime) iff gcd(a, b) = 1

Definition

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, written gcd(a, b)

$$gcd(24, 36) = 12$$

Definition

The integers a and b are relatively prime (coprime) iff gcd(a, b) = 1

9 and 22 are coprime (both are composite)

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{min(a_n,b_n)}$$

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{min(a_n,b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides *a* and *b*. No larger number can divide both *a* and *b*. Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

Suppose that the prime factorisations of a and b are

$$a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$$
 $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$

where each exponent is a nonnegative integer (possibly zero)

$$gcd(a,b) = p_1^{\min(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_n,b_n)}$$

This number clearly divides *a* and *b*. No larger number can divide both *a* and *b*. Proof by contradiction and the prime factorisation of a postulated larger divisor.

Factorisation is a very inefficient method to compute gcd

Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x,y)
if y = 0
then return(x)
else return(gcd(y,x mod y))
```

Euclidian algorithm: efficient for computing gcd

Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm gcd(x,y)
if y = 0
then return(x)
else return(gcd(y,x mod y))
```

The Euclidian algorithm relies on

$$\forall x,y \in \mathbb{Z} \ (x>y \to \gcd(x,y) = \gcd(y,x \bmod y))$$

Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

Lemma

If a = bq + r, where a, b, q, and r are positive integers, then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)

Euclidian algorithm (proof of correctness)

Lemma

If a = bq + r, where a, b, q, and r are positive integers, then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)

Proof.

- (⇒) Suppose that d divides both a and b. Then d also divides a bq = r. Hence, any common divisor of a and b must also be a common divisor of b and c
- (\Leftarrow) Suppose that d divides both b and r. Then d also divides bq + r = a. Hence, any common divisor of b and r must also be a common divisor of a and b.

Therefore, gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)

Gcd as a linear combination

Theorem (Bézout's theorem)

If x and y are positive integers, then there exist integers a and b such that gcd(x, y) = ax + by

Gcd as a linear combination

Theorem (Bézout's theorem)

If x and y are positive integers, then there exist integers a and b such that gcd(x, y) = ax + by

Proof.

Let S be the set of positive integers of the form ax + by (where a or b may be a negative integer); clearly, S is non-empty as it includes x + y. By the well-ordering principle S has a least element c. So c = ax + by for some a and b. If d|x and d|y then d|ax and d|by and so d|(ax + by), that is d|c. We now show c|x and c|y which means that $c = \gcd(x, y)$. Assume $c \not|x$. So x = qc + r where 0 < r < c. Now r = x - qc = x - q(ax + by). That is, r = (1 - qa)x + (-qb)y, so $r \in S$ which contradicts that c is the least element in S as c < r. The same argument shows c|y.

Computing Bézout coefficients

$$2 = \gcd(6, 14) = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14$$

Computing Bézout coefficients

$$2 = \gcd(6, 14) = (-2) \cdot 6 + 1 \cdot 14$$

Extended Euclidian algorithm

```
algorithm extended-gcd(x,y)
if y = 0
then return(x, 1, 0)
else
 (d, a, b) := extended-gcd(y, x mod y)
return((d, b, a - ((x div y) * b)))
```

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc then a|c

Theorem

If a,b,c are positive integers such that $\gcd(a,b)=1$ and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because $\gcd(a,b)=1$, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa+tb=1. So, sac+tbc=c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac+tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1. So, sac + tbc = c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac + tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1 then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc then a|c

Proof.

Because gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bézout's theorem there are integers s and t such that sa + tb = 1. So, sac + tbc = c. Assume a|bc. Therefore, a|tbc and a|sac, so a|(sac + tbc); that is, a|c.

Theorem

Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1 then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Proof.

Because $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$, it follows m|(ac - bc); so, m|c(a - b). By the result above because gcd(c, m) = 1, it follows that m|(a - b).