DMMR Tutorial sheet 1

Propositional Logic, Predicate Logic, Proof techniques

September 19th, 2019

1. Construct the truth table for the formula $(A \to B) \to [((B \to C) \land \neg C) \to \neg A]$. Solution:

A	B	C	$\neg A$	$\neg C$	$(A \to B)$	$(B \to C)$	$(B \to C) \land \neg C$	$((B \to C) \land \neg C) \to \neg A$	X
Т	Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т
Т	F	Т	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т
Т	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	F	F	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т	F	Т	Т
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т

X is the formula $(A \to B) \to [((B \to C) \land \neg C) \to \neg A]$

- 2. Let P(m, n) be the statement "*m* divides *n*", where the domain for both variables is the positive integers (that is, integers m, n > 0). By "*m* divides *n*" we mean that n = km for some integer *k*. Determine the truth values of each of these statements.
 - (a) P(4,5)
 - (b) P(2,4)
 - (c) $\forall m \forall n P(m, n)$
 - (d) $\exists n \forall m P(m, n)$
 - (e) $\exists m \forall n P(m, n)$
 - (f) $\forall n \exists m P(m, n)$

Solution:

- (a) False, since 4 does not divide 5
- (b) True, since $4 = 2 \cdot 2$
- (c) False, see (a)
- (d) False, for all n we get that P(2n, n) is not True, since $\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$
- (e) True: m = 1 see (f)
- (f) True as we can always choose m = 1

3. Assume the following predicates: B(x) is "x is a baby", C(x) is "x can manage crocodiles", "D(x) is "x is despised" and L(x) is "x is logical".

- (a) Assume the domain consists of people. Express each of the following statements using quantifiers, logical connectives and the predicates B(x), C(x), D(x) and L(x).
 - i. Babies are illogical
 - ii. Nobody is despised who can manage crocodiles
 - iii. Illogical people are despised
 - iv. Babies cannot manage crocodiles
- (b) Prove that iv follows from i, ii and iii.

Solution:

- (a) The following are expressed as follows:
 - i. Babies are illogical $\forall x(B(x) \rightarrow \neg L(x))$
 - ii. Nobody is despised who can manage crocodiles $\neg \exists x (D(x) \land C(x))$
 - iii. Illogical people are despised $\forall x(\neg L(x) \rightarrow D(x))$
 - iv. Babies cannot manage crocodiles $\forall x(B(x) \rightarrow \neg C(x))$
- (b) To show iv follows from i, ii and iii notice that ii is equivalent to ∀x(D(x) → ¬C(x)) using duality of quantifiers, ¬∃xP(x) is equivalent to ∀x¬P(x); De Morgans law ¬(P ∧ Q) is equivalent to ¬P ∨ ¬Q; and ¬P ∨ Q is equivalent to P → Q. Using transitivity i and iii implies ∀x(B(x) → D(x)) which with the reformulation of ii implies iv.

4. (a) Assume *m* and *n* are both integers. Prove by contraposition, if *mn* is even then *m* is even or *n* is even.

Solution:

We have to prove

$$mn \text{ even} \to (m \text{ even} \lor n \text{ even})$$

The contrapositive is

$$\neg(m \text{ even } \lor n \text{ even}) \rightarrow \neg(mn \text{ even})$$

which can be transformed using DeMorgan's law and even $\equiv \neg$ odd

$$(m \text{ odd} \land n \text{ odd}) \rightarrow mn \text{ odd}$$

We assume m is odd and by the definition of odd there exists a $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with m = 2k + 1. Similar there exists a $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with n = 2l + 1. Therefore we get

$$mn = (2k + 1) \cdot (2l + 1)$$

= 4lk + 2k + 2l + 1
= 2(2lk + k + l) + 1
= 2l' + 1

where $l' = 2lk + k + l \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition mn is therefore odd.

(b) Prove by contradiction that the sum of an irrational number and a rational number is irrational.

Solution:

Assume that the sum of an irrational number i and a rational number $\frac{a}{b}$ is rational. Then, let

c and d be integers such that $i + \frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$. Therefore $i = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} = \frac{bc-da}{db}$. Given that a, b, c and d are integers, bc - da and db are also integers, this shows that i is rational and therefore contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore, the sum of a rational and an irrational number must be irrational.

(c) Prove that there is not a rational number r such that $r^3 + r + 1 = 0$.

Solution:

We prove it by contradiction. Assume that $r = \frac{a}{b}$ is a solution where a, b are in lowest terms, so have no common factors other than 1. So, $\frac{a^3}{b^3} + \frac{a}{b} + 1 = 0$; therefore, $a^3 + b^2a + b^3 = 0$. If a and b are both odd then LHS is a sum of odd numbers; if one is odd and the other even then LHS is odd. That just leaves that both are even which contradicts that $\frac{a}{b}$ is in lowest terms. (We are using here various properties that you may wish to prove such as if n is odd (even) then n^2 and n^3 are odd (even).)

(a) Assume that the positive integers 1, 2, ..., 2n are written on a blackboard, where n is an odd integer. Choose any two of the integers j and k that are present on the blackboard and write |j - k| on the board and erase j and k. Continue this process until only one integer is on the board. Prove that this integer must be odd.

Solution:

We consider what happens to the parity of the combined sum of the numbers that are left on the blackboard at each stage. If j and k are both even or both odd, then their sum and their difference are both even, and we are replacing the even sum j + k by the even difference |j - k|, leaving the parity of the total unchanged. If j and k have different parities, then erasing them changes the parity of the total, but their difference |j - k| is odd, so adding this difference restores the parity of the total. Therefore the integer we end up with at the end of the process must have the same parity as $1 + 2 + \ldots + (2n)$. It is easy to compute this sum. If we add the first and last terms we get 2n + 1; if we add the second and next-to-last terms we get 2 + (2n - 1) = 2n + 1; and so on. In all we get n sums of 2n + 1, so the total sum is n(2n + 1). If n is odd, this is the product of two odd numbers and therefore is odd, as desired.

(b) Prove that if the first 10 positive integers are placed around a circle, in any order, there exists three integers in consecutive locations around the circle that have a sum greater than or equal to 17.

Solution:

Consider the numbers 1 to 10 placed around the circle. Let x denote the sum, over all consecutive triples, of the sum of those three consecutive numbers. Note that each number from 1 to 10 will appear exactly three times in the sum x. Since 1 + 2 + ... + 10 = 55, this means that x = 165.

Suppose (for showing a contradiction) that every consecutive triple sums to at most 16. Then clearly the sum x of all consecutive triples will be at most 160 (because there are 10 such triples). But this contradicts the prior calculation of x as 165.