# (More) cryptographic protocols

Myrto Arapinis School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

October 19, 2017

# Authentication and key agreement protocols

- Long-term keys should be used as little as possible to to reduce "attack-srufarce"
- The use of a key should be restricted to a specific purpose e.g. you shouldn't use the same RSA key both for encryption and signing
- Public key algorithms tend to be computationally more expensive than symmetric key algorithms
- → Long-term keys are used to establish short-term session keys *e.g.* TLS over HTTP, AKA for 3G, BAC for epassports, *etc.*

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol

[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

NSPK: authentication and key agreement protocol



 $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$ 

 $k_{AB} \leftarrow h(N_A, N_B)$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

[N. Roger, M. Schroeder, Michael. "Using encryption for authentication in large networks of computers". Communications of the ACM (December 1978)]

- Authentication: if Alice has completed the protocol, apparently with Bob, then Bob must also have completed the protocol with Alice.
- Authentication: If Bob has completed the protocol, apparently with Alice, then Alice must have completed the protocol with Bob.
- ► Confidentiality: Messages sent encrypted with the agreed key  $(k \leftarrow h(N_A, NB))$  remain secret.

Attack found 17 years after the publication of the NS protocol!!





[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)] =



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)] =



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)]



[G. Lowe. "An attack on the Needham-Schroeder public key authentication protocol". Information Processing Letters (November 1995)] $_{P}$ , (B, A, B, A)



- The NSL protocol is secure against an attacker that controls the network.
- What if the Alice's and Bob's private keys get compromised?
- What if the government forces Alice and Bob to reveal their private keys?
- Can we still protect confidentiality?

#### Forward secrecy

A protocol ensures forward secrecy, if even if long-term keys are compromised, past sessions of the protocol are still kept confidential, and this even if an attacker actively interferred.







イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$



- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$



イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

- 3

- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$



- where p is a large prime
- and g a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$

The StS ensures mutual authentication, key agreement, and forward secrecy

# The Basic Access Control (BAC) protcol

An e-Passport is a passport with an RFID tag embedded in it.



The RFID tag stores:

- the information printed on the passport,
- a JPEG copy of the picture

BAC: authentication and key agreement protocol implemented on e-Passports









#### The passport must reply to all received messages



#### The passport must reply to all received messages



#### The passport must reply to all received messages



# e-Passports and privacy

- The BAC protocol provides mutual authentication, key agreement, and confidentiality of subsequent communication
- e-Passports further aim at providing anonymity and unlinkability to their bearers

#### Definition (ISO 15408)

Anonymity ensures that a user may use of a resource or service without disclosing the user's identity.

#### Definition (ISO 15408)

Unlinkability ensures that a user may make multiple uses of a resource or service without other users being able to link these uses together.

# Different implementations of the BAC protocol

The ICAO e-Passport standard doesn't specify what the error messages should be. Each nation has implemented its own version:

• French e-Passport:  $mac\_err \neq nce\_err$ 

 $\longrightarrow$  French implementation allows an attacker to **track a passport**, provided he has once witnessed a successful authentication.

British e-Passport: mac\_err = nce\_err
→ The British version of the BAC protocol satisfies unlinkability.

[T. Chothia, V. Smirnov. "A traceability attack against e-Passports". 14th International Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security 2010.]

# An attack on the French e-Passport (part 1)

The attacker eavesdrop on Alice using her passport



and records message M

#### An attack on the French e-Passport (part 2)



#### An attack on the French e-Passport (part 2)



 $\Rightarrow$  MAC check failed  $\Rightarrow$   $K'_M \neq K_M \Rightarrow$  ???? is not Alice

#### An attack on the French e-Passport (part 2)



 $\Rightarrow$  MAC check succeeded  $\Rightarrow$   $K'_M = K_M \Rightarrow$  ???? is Alice

# Timing attack: the failed MAC is rejected sooner

 UK, Greek, German passports return the same error in both situations, but still...



Fig. 4. Sampled Times from Replaying a Message to the Same or a Different Passport

[T. Chothia, V. Smirnov. "A traceability attack against e-Passports". 14th International Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security 2010.] ■ 24/24