## Cryptographic hash functions and MACs

Myrto Arapinis School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

October 05, 2017

1/21

 $\mathsf{Encryption} \Rightarrow \mathsf{confidentiality} \text{ against eavesdropping}$ 

 ${\sf Encryption} \Rightarrow {\sf confidentiality} \ {\sf against} \ {\sf eavesdropping}$ 

What about authenticity and integrity against an active attacker?  $\longrightarrow$  cryptographic hash functions and Message authentication codes

 $\longrightarrow$  this lecture

A OWF is a function that is easy to compute but hard to invert:

Definition (One-way)

A function f is a one-way function if for all y there is no efficient algorithm which can compute x such that f(x) = y

A OWF is a function that is easy to compute but hard to invert:

Definition (One-way)

A function f is a one-way function if for all y there is no efficient algorithm which can compute x such that f(x) = y

Constant functions ARE NOT OWF: The successor function in  $\mathbb{N}$  IS NOT a OWF given succ(n) it is easy to retrieve n = succ(n) - 1 A OWF is a function that is easy to compute but hard to invert:

Definition (One-way)

A function f is a one-way function if for all y there is no efficient algorithm which can compute x such that f(x) = y

Constant functions ARE NOT OWF: The successor function in  $\mathbb{N}$  IS NOT a OWF given succ(n) it is easy to retrieve n = succ(n) - 1

Multiplication of large primes IS a OWF: integer factorization is a hard problem - given  $p \times q$  (where p and q are primes) it is hard to retrieve p and q

A function is a CRF if it is hard to find two messages that get mapped to the same value threw this function

Definition (Collision resistance)

A function f is collision resistant if there is no efficient algorithm that can find two messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

A function is a CRF if it is hard to find two messages that get mapped to the same value threw this function

Definition (Collision resistance)

A function f is collision resistant if there is no efficient algorithm that can find two messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

Constant functions ARE NOT CRFs for all  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

A function is a CRF if it is hard to find two messages that get mapped to the same value threw this function

Definition (Collision resistance)

A function f is collision resistant if there is no efficient algorithm that can find two messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

Constant functions ARE NOT CRFs for all  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

The successor function in  $\mathbb{N}$  IS a CRF the predecessor of a positive integer is unique

A function is a CRF if it is hard to find two messages that get mapped to the same value threw this function

Definition (Collision resistance)

A function f is collision resistant if there is no efficient algorithm that can find two messages  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

Constant functions ARE NOT CRFs for all  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ ,  $f(m_1) = f(m_2)$ 

The successor function in  $\mathbb N$  IS a CRF the predecessor of a positive integer is unique

Multiplication of large primes IS a CRF: every positive integer has a unique prime factorization

# Cryptographic hash functions

A cryptographic hash function takes messages of arbitrary length end returns a fixed-size bit string such that any change to the data will (with very high probability) change the corresponding hash value.

#### Definition (Cryptographic hash function)

A cryptographic hash function  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{T}$  is a function that satisfies the following 4 properties:

- $\blacktriangleright |\mathcal{M}| >> |\mathcal{T}|$
- it is easy to compute the hash value for any given message
- it is hard to retrieve a message from it hashed value (OWF)
- it is hard to find two different messages with the same hash value (CRF)

Examples: MD4, MD5, SHA-1, SHA-256, Whirlpool, ...

Commitments - Allow a participant to commit to a value v by publishing the hash H(v) of this value, but revealing v only later. Ex: electronic voting protocols, digital signatures, ...

- Commitments Allow a participant to commit to a value v by publishing the hash H(v) of this value, but revealing v only later. Ex: electronic voting protocols, digital signatures, ...
- File integrity Hashes are sometimes posted along with files on "read-only" spaces to allow verification of integrity of the files. Ex: SHA-256 is used to authenticate Debian GNU/Linux software packages

- Commitments Allow a participant to commit to a value v by publishing the hash H(v) of this value, but revealing v only later. Ex: electronic voting protocols, digital signatures, ...
- File integrity Hashes are sometimes posted along with files on "read-only" spaces to allow verification of integrity of the files. Ex: SHA-256 is used to authenticate Debian GNU/Linux software packages
- Password verification Instead of storing passwords in cleartext, only the hash digest of each password is stored. To authenticate a user, the password presented by the user is hashed and compared with the stored hash.

- Commitments Allow a participant to commit to a value v by publishing the hash H(v) of this value, but revealing v only later. Ex: electronic voting protocols, digital signatures, ...
- File integrity Hashes are sometimes posted along with files on "read-only" spaces to allow verification of integrity of the files. Ex: SHA-256 is used to authenticate Debian GNU/Linux software packages
- Password verification Instead of storing passwords in cleartext, only the hash digest of each password is stored. To authenticate a user, the password presented by the user is hashed and compared with the stored hash.
- Key derivation Derive new keys or passwords from a single, secure key or password.

- Commitments Allow a participant to commit to a value v by publishing the hash H(v) of this value, but revealing v only later. Ex: electronic voting protocols, digital signatures, ...
- File integrity Hashes are sometimes posted along with files on "read-only" spaces to allow verification of integrity of the files. Ex: SHA-256 is used to authenticate Debian GNU/Linux software packages
- Password verification Instead of storing passwords in cleartext, only the hash digest of each password is stored. To authenticate a user, the password presented by the user is hashed and compared with the stored hash.
- Key derivation Derive new keys or passwords from a single, secure key or password.
- Building block of other crypto primitives Used to build MACs, block ciphers, PRG, ...

# Collision resistance and the birthday attack

Theorem

Let  $H: \mathcal{M} \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a cryptographic hash function  $(|\mathcal{M}| >> 2^n)$ Generic algorithm to find a collision in time  $O(2^{n/2})$  hashes: 1. Choose  $2^{n/2}$  random messages in  $\mathcal{M}: m_1, \ldots, m_{2^{n/2}}$ 2. For  $i = 1, \ldots, 2^{n/2}$  compute  $t_i = H(m_i)$ 3. If there exists a collision  $(\exists i \ i \ t_i \neq t_i)$ 

3. If there exists a collision  $(\exists i, j. t_i \neq t_j)$ then return  $(t_i, t_j)$ else go back to 1

Birthday paradox Let  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$  be independent variables. For  $n = 1.2 \times \sqrt{N}$ ,  $Pr(\exists i \neq j. r_i = r_j) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  $\Rightarrow$  the expected number of iteration is 2  $\Rightarrow$  running time  $O(2^{n/2})$ 

⇒ Cryptographic function used in new projects should have an output size  $n \ge 256!$ 

## The Merkle-Damgard construction



- Compression function:  $h: \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}$
- ▶ PB: 1000...0||mes-len (add extra block if needed)

#### Theorem

Let H be built using the MD construction to the compression function h. If H admits a collision, so does h.

Example of MD constructions: MD5, SHA-1, SHA-2, ... ,

## Compression functions from block ciphers

Let E :  $\mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a block cipher

### Compression functions from block ciphers

Let  $E: \ \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  be a block cipher



### Compression functions from block ciphers

#### Let E : $\mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ be a block cipher



# Example of cryptographic hash function: SHA-256

- Structure: Merkle-Damgard
- Compression function: Davies-Meyer
- Bloc cipher: SHACAL-2



## Message Authentication Codes (MACs)

Myrto Arapinis School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

October 11, 2016





A MAC is a pair of algorithms (S, V) defined over  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{T})$ :

• 
$$S: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{T}$$

$$\blacktriangleright V: \mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{T} \to \{\top, \bot\}$$

• Consistency: V(k, m, S(k, m)) = T

and such that

It is hard to computer a valid pair (m, S(k, m)) without knowing k

## File system protection

#### At installation time



k derived from user password

- To check for virus file tampering/alteration:
  - reboot to clean OS
  - supply password
  - any file modification will be detected

## Block ciphers and message integrity

<ロト < 団ト < 臣ト < 臣ト 達 の Q (C) 15/21 Let (E, D) be a block cipher. We build a MAC (S, V) using (E, D) as follows:

Let (E, D) be a block cipher. We build a MAC (S, V) using (E, D) as follows:

• 
$$S(k, m) = E(k, m)$$
  
•  $V(k, m, t) = \text{if } m = D(k, t)$   
then return  $\top$   
else return  $\bot$ 

But: block ciphers can usually process only 128 or 256 bits

Let (E, D) be a block cipher. We build a MAC (S, V) using (E, D) as follows:

• 
$$S(k,m) = E(k,m)$$
  
•  $V(k,m,t) = \text{if } m = D(k,t)$   
then return  $\top$   
else return  $\bot$ 

But: block ciphers can usually process only 128 or 256 bits

Our goal now: construct MACs for long messages



- $E: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  a block cipher
- ECBC-MAC :  $\mathcal{K}^2 \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n$

 $\rightarrow$  the last encryption is crucial to avoid forgeries!!

(details on the board)

(a)

Ex: 802.11i uses AES based ECBC-MAC



- $E: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  a block cipher
- $P: \ \mathcal{K} imes \mathbb{N} o \{0,1\}^n$  any easy to compute function
- $\blacktriangleright PMAC : \mathcal{K}^2 \times \{0,1\}^* \to \{0,1\}^n$

## HMAC

MAC built from cryptographic hash functions

 $HMAC(k, m) = H(k \oplus OP||H(k \oplus IP||m))$ 

IP, OP: publicly known padding constants



Ex: SSL, IPsec, SSH, ...

### Authenticated encryption

#### Myrto Arapinis School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

October 11, 2016

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン 三日

19/21

#### Goal

Simultaneously provide data confidentiality, integrity and authenticity

 $\leadsto$  decryption combined with integrity verification in one step

- The decryption algorithm never fails
- Changing one bit of the *i<sup>th</sup>* block of the ciphertext
  - CBC decryption: will affect last blocks after the *i<sup>t</sup>h* of the plaintext
  - ▶ ECB decryption: will only the *i*<sup>th</sup> block of the plaintext
  - CTR decryption: will only affect one bit of the *i<sup>th</sup>* block of the plaintext

Decryption should fail if a ciphertext was not computed using the key

- 1. Always compute the MACs on the ciphertext, never on the plaintext
- 2. Use two different keys, one for encryption  $(K_E)$  and one for the MAC  $(K_M)$

#### Encryption

#### Decryption

- 1.  $C \leftarrow E_{AES}(K_E, M)$
- 2.  $T \leftarrow HMAC-SHA(K_M, C)$
- 3. return C||T

- 1. if  $T = HMAC SHA(K_2, C)$
- 2. then return  $D_{AES}(K_1, C)$
- 3. else return  $\perp$

#### Do not:

- ► Encrypt-and-MAC: *E<sub>AES</sub>*(*K<sub>E</sub>*, *M*)||*HMAC-SHA*(*K<sub>M</sub>*, *M*)
- MAC-then-Encrypt:  $E_{AES}(K_E, M || HMAC-SHA(K_M, M))$