	Outline	Aims
Cryptography V: Digital Signatures Computer Security Lecture 12	Basics Constructing signature schemes Security of signature schemes	 Digital signatures allow a principal to cryptographically bind (a representation of) its identity to a piece of information. Signatures can help establish security properties such as: authentication accountability/non-repudiation unforgeability
	ElGamal	 integrity verifiability by independent, public or 3rd party
School of Informatics University of Edinburgh	DSA	 Digital signatures are the asymmetric analogue of MACs, with a crucial difference. MACs don't allow us
22nd February 2010	Summary	to disinguish which of A or B provided integrity to a message (so no non-repudiation or independent verifiability).
¹ Based on original lecture notes by David Aspinall		 Note: electronic signatures are a more general notion.



Digital signatures with a TTP

- Given a trusted third party, it is possible to use symmetric cryptography techniques.
- ► Let secure Sam *S* be the TTP, who shares a key with each principal.
- ▶ For A to send a signed contract M to B, S acts as an intermediary.

Message 1. $A \rightarrow S$: $\{M\}_{K_{as}}$ Message 2. $S \rightarrow B$: $\{M\}_{K_{bs}}$

(like Wide Mouthed Frog key exchange protocol, *M* should include time-stamps and names).

► If A and B disagree about a signature, a judge Judy can verify the contracts also using S:

Message 1. $J \rightarrow S$: $\{M\}_{K_{as'}} \{M\}_{K_{bs}}$ Message 2. $S \rightarrow J$: $\{yes \text{ or } no\}_{K_{js}}$

Digital signatures from PK encryption

▶ Suppose we have a public-key encryption scheme with M = C, and (d, e) a key-pair. Then because E_e and D_d are both permutations on M, we have that:

 $D_d(E_e(m)) = E_e(D_d(m)) = m$ for all $m \in M$

A public-key scheme of this type is called *reversible*.

- RSA is reversible, but not every PK scheme is.
- We can define a digital signature scheme by reversing encryption and decryption:
 - Message space M, signature space C (= M).
 - the signing function $S_A = D_d$
 - the verification function V_A is defined by

 $V_A(m, s) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } E_e(s) = m, \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Attacks on signature schemes [HAC]

- An adversary seeks to forge signatures. Possibilities:
 - 1. **Total break**. Adversary can compute the private key or find an equivalent signing function.
 - 2. **Selective forgery**. Adversary can create a valid signature for some chosen message, without using the signer.
 - 3. **Existential forgery**. Adversary can create a valid signature for at least one message, without explicit choice of the message. May involve signer.
- The adversary may have different knowledge levels. For PK schemes:
 - 1. Key-only attack: adversary only knows PK.
 - Known-message attack: adversary has signatures for a known (not chosen) set of messages.
 - Chosen-message attack: adversary can obtain signatures for messages of his choosing. Messages may be determined in advance or in adaptive way, using signer as oracle.

Existential forgery

- ► The previous scheme is too simple because signatures are forgeable: a principal *B* can generate a random $s \in S$ as a signature, apply the public encryption function to get a message $m = E_e(s)$, and transmit (m, s).
- Obviously this verifies! It is an example of existential forgery.
- ► The message *m* is not likely to be of *B*'s choosing (and probably garbage).
- But this ability violates property 2 given earlier.

Signatures with redundancy

- A fix to reduce likelihood of existential forgery is to take M' C M to be messages with a special redundant structure, which is publicly known e.g., messages padded to an even length, surrounded with a fixed bit pattern.
- This format is easily recognized by the verifier:

 $V_A(s) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } E_e(s) \in \mathcal{M}', \\ \text{false} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

- ► Now A only transmits the signature *s*, since the message $m = E_e(s)$ can be recovered by the verification function.
- This property is message recovery, the scheme is called a signature scheme with recovery.
- Existential forgery is now less likely.

Signatures and hash functions

- In practice, usually the signing function is constructed by first making a hash of the input document, and signing that. Reasons:
 - 1. efficiency: signature is on smaller text
 - 2. avoid attacks on cipher system
- Signer: computes and transmits (m, s) where $s = S_A(h(m))$.
- Verifier: computes h(m) and verifies V_A(h(m), s).
- The hash function must satisfy appropriate properties (see Hash Functions lecture).
- This scheme is called a signature scheme with appendix.



 Secret sharing can also be used so that *l* < *t* users could be used to construct a signature.

ElGamal signatures

- Setup as encryption: p an appropriate prime, g a generator of \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{*} , and the private signing key, d a random integer with $1 \le d \le p 2$.
- The public verification key is $(p, g, g^d \mod p)$.
- ▶ To sign a message m, $0 \le m \le p$, the signer picks a random secret number r with $1 \le r \le p 2$ and gcd(r, p 1) = 1, and computes:

 $\mathbf{S}_d(m) = (e, s)$ where $e = g^r \mod p$ $de + rs \equiv m \pmod{p-1}$.

▶ The verification function checks that $1 \le e \le p - 1$, and an equation:

```
\mathbf{V}_{(p,g,g^d)}(m,(e,s)) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & \text{if } (g^d)^e e^s \equiv g^m \pmod{p}, \\ \text{false otherwise.} \end{cases}
```

Verification works because for a correct signature,

```
(g^d)^e e^s \equiv g^{de+rs} \equiv g^m \pmod{p}.
```

From ElGamal to DSA

- The Digital Signature Algorithm is part of the NIST Digitial Signature Standard [FIPS-186].
- Based on ElGamal, but with improved efficiency.
- The first digital signature scheme to be recognized by any government.
- Based on two primes: p, which is 512–1024 bits long, and q, which is a 160-bit prime factor of p – 1.
 A signature signs a SHA-1 hash value of a message. (In fact, ElGamal signing should be used with a hash function to prevent existential forgery)
- Security of both ElGamal and DSA schemes relies on the intractability of the DLP.
- Comparison with RSA signature scheme: key generation is faster; signature generation is about the same; DSA verification is slower. Verification is the most common operation in general.

Summary: Digital Signature Schemes

- RSA, ElGamal, DSA already described. There are several variants of ElGamal, including schemes with message recovery.
- Notice difference between randomized and deterministic schemes.
- Schemes for one-time signatures (e.g., Rabin, Merkle), require a fresh public key for each use.
 - Typically more efficient than RSA/ElGamal methods.
 - But tedious for multiple documents
- E-cash protocols use **blind signature** schemes that prevent the signer (e.g., a bank) linking a signed message (e.g., the cash) with the user.
- For real world security guarantees:
 - obtaining correct public key is vital;
 non-repudiation supposes that private key has not been stolen;
 - we may require secure time stamps.

References

Alfred J. Menezes, Paul C. Van Oorschot, and Scott A. Vanstone, editors. *Handbook of Applied Cryptography*. CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications. CRC Press, 1997. Online version at

http://www.cacr.math.uwaterloo.ca/hac. Digital signatures covered in Section 1.6 and Chapter 11.

Nigel Smart. Cryptography: An Introduction. McGraw-Hill, 2003. Third edition online: http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk/~nigel/Crypto_Book/

Recommended Reading

Chapter 14 (14.2–14.4, 14.7) of Smart (3rd Ed).