#### Bioinformatics 2 - Lecture 2

Guido Sanguinetti

School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

January 19, 2011

- ● ● ●

### Basics of probability theory

- Random variables: results of non exactly reproducible experiments
- Either intrinsically random (*e.g.* quantum mechanics) or the system is incompletely known, cannot be controlled precisely
- The probability  $p_i$  of an experiment taking a certain value *i* is the frequency with which that value is taken in the limit of infinite experimental trials
- Alternatively, we can take probability to be our *belief* that a certain value will be taken

Probability review Some probability distributions and Bayesian things A bag of tricks

# Rules

- Normalisation: the sum of the probabilities of all possible experimental outcomes must be 1, ∑<sub>x∈Ω</sub> p(x) = 1
- Sum rule: the marginal probability p(x) is given by summing the joint p(x, y) over all possible values of y, p(x) = ∑<sub>y∈Ω</sub> p(x, y)
- Product rule: the joint is the product of the conditional and the marginal, p(x, y) = p(x|y)p(y)
- *Bayes' rule*: the posterior is the ratio of the joint and the marginal

$$p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)}$$

• *Problem!* Computing the marginal is often computationally intensive

### Distributions and expectations

- A probability distribution is a rule associating a number  $0 \le p(x) \le 1$  to each state  $x \in \Omega$ , such that  $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$
- For finite state space can be given by a table, in general is given by a functional form
- Probability distributions (over numerical objects) are useful to compute expectations of functions

$$\langle f \rangle_P = \sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) f(x)$$

• Important expectations are the mean  $\langle x \rangle$  and variance  $var(x) = \langle (x - \langle x \rangle)^2 \rangle$ . For more variables, also the covariance  $cov(x, y) = \langle (x - \langle x \rangle) (y - \langle y \rangle) \rangle$  and the correlation  $corr(x, y) = cov(x, y) / \sqrt{var(x)var(y)}$ 

#### Computing expectations

- If you know analytically the probability distribution and can compute the sums (integrals), no problem
- If you know the distribution but cannot compute the sums (integrals), enter the magical realm of approximate inference (fun but out of scope)
- If you know nothing bur have  $N_S$  samples, then use a sample approximation
- Approximate the probability of an outcome with the *frequency* in the sample

$$\langle f(x) \rangle \simeq \sum_{x} \frac{n_x}{N_S} f(x) = \frac{1}{N_S} \sum_{i=1}^{N_S} f(x_i)$$

#### Independence

• Two random variables x and y are *independent* if their joint probability factorises in terms of marginals

$$p(x,y)=p(x)p(y)$$

• Using the product rule, this is equivalent to the conditional being equal to the marginal

$$p(x,y) = p(x)p(y) \leftrightarrow p(x|y) = p(x)$$

• Using Bayes' theorem, one obtains also

$$p(x|y) = p(x)$$

### Continuous states

- $\bullet\,$  If the state space  $\Omega$  is continuous the previous definitions must be modified
- The general case is mathematically difficult; we restrict ourselves to Ω = ℝ<sup>n</sup> and to distributions which admit a *density*, a function

$$p\colon \Omega o \mathbb{R} \quad ext{s.t.} \quad p(x) > 0 orall x \quad ext{and} \quad \int_\Omega p(x) dx = 1$$

- It can be shown that the rules of probability distributions hold also for probability densities
- Notice that p(x) is NOT the probability of the random variable being in state x (that is always zero for bounded densities); probabilities are only defined as integrals over subsets of Ω

# **Basic distributions**

• Discrete distribution: a random variable can take N distinct values with probability  $p_i$  i = 1..., N. Formally

$$p(x=i)=\prod_{j}p_{i}^{\delta_{ij}}.$$

Notice the  $p_i$  values can be thought as parameters of the distribution

• Dirichlet distribution: a distribution over vectors of continuous variables  $(p_1, \ldots, p_N)$  s.t.  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ . Its density is given by

$$f(p_1,\ldots,p_N|\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_N)=\frac{1}{Z}\prod p_i^{\alpha_i-1}$$

Z is a normalisation constant which is expressed in terms of the *Beta* function.

### **Basic distributions**

• Multivariate normal: distribution over vectors x, density

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp\left[-rac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}
ight)
ight]$$

Parameters are the mean vector  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  (symmetric and positive definite)

• Gamma distribution: distribution over positive real numbers, density

$$p(x|k, heta) = rac{x^{k-1} \exp{\left(-x/ heta
ight)}}{ heta^k \Gamma(k)}$$

with shape parameter k and scale parameter  $\theta$ .

#### Parameters?

- Distributions are written as conditional probabilities *given* the parameters
- Often the values of the parameters are not known
- $\bullet$  Given observations, we can estimate them; e.g., we pick  $\hat{\theta}$  by maximum likelihood

$$\hat{ heta} = rgmax \left[\prod_i p(x_i| heta)
ight]$$

- Or one could place a prior distribution over the parameters
- Posteriors are computed via Bayes theorem

### Exercise: fitting a discrete distribution

• We have independent observations  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  each taking one of *D* possible values, giving a likelihood

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p(x_i | \mathbf{p}) = \prod_{j=1}^{D} p_j^{n(x=j)}$$

• Maximum likelihood (bear in mind the constraint  $\sum_i p_i = 1$ ) leads to

$$p_j = \frac{n(x=j)}{N}$$

Placing a Dirichlet prior over p we obtain a posterior

$$p(\mathbf{p}|x_1,\ldots,x_N, \boldsymbol{lpha}) \propto \prod_{j=1}^D p_j^{\alpha_j+n(x=j)-1}$$

which is again a Dirichlet distribution with *pseudocounts*  $\alpha$ 

# Conjugate priors

- The Bayesian way has advantages in that it quantifies uncertainty and regularizes naturally
- BUT computing the normalisation in Bayes theorem is very hard
- The case when it is possible is when the prior and the posterior are of the same form (*conjugate*)
- Example: discrete and Dirichlet (take notes)
- Exercise: conjugate priors for the univariate normal

### The most basic problem

- We are given samples of a certain quantity from two conditions
- E.g., we have measurements of a protein expression x in two cohorts (treated and control)
- We want to know whether the differences observed between the two populations are statistically significant, so x may be used as a biomarker
- How can we do that since we know nothing of the distribution of *x*?

Telling things apart Visualising data Hierarchical clustering

#### t-tests and p-values

- We know how to tell whether two Gaussian distributed samples are different
- Use the paired t-test

$$t = \frac{\hat{\mu_1} - \hat{\mu_2}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{N}\left(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2\right)}}$$

- The value of t measures how distant the two samples are; it is distributed according to a Student t distribution with N-1 degrees of freedom
- Looking up on a table we can get the *p*-value, *the probability that a value greater or equal to t would have been obtained at random*

# In practice

- It may be useful to transform the data in a way that it becomes approximately Gaussian (e.g. take log of positive numbers)
- Tests of Gaussianity exist (e.g. Kolmogorov-Smirnov)
- One of the main practical uses of testing is for experimental design, e.g. telling the experimentalist how many more samples are needed to make mean differences statistically significant
- Notice that t grows as  $\sqrt{N}$  so increasing N we get more and more statistically significant results

# Problem formulation

- Data in biology often very high dimensional with very few samples
- E.g., in a cancer study, we could have  ${\sim}40$  subjects and 10000 features (genes) each
- Find a suitable 2D projection of the data that highlights structure
- The projection also identifies the most relevant features

Telling things apart Visualising data Hierarchical clustering

# Principal Component Analysis

- A plausible assumption is that the interesting directions are the ones with the greatest variation
- The empirical covariance of a data set  $\mathbf{x}_i$  with mean  $\hat{\mu}$  is

$$\hat{\Sigma} = rac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu}) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mu})^{T}$$

• The directions that maximise the projected variance satisfy

$$\hat{\Sigma}U = \Lambda U$$

with  $\Lambda$  a diagonal matrix containing the largest eigenvalues of the empirical covariance

# An algorithm for PCA

- Compute empirical mean as  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum \mathbf{x}_i$
- Compute empirical covariance  $\hat{\Sigma}$
- $\bullet$  Compute first two eigenvectors  $u_{1,2}$  of  $\hat{\Sigma}$
- Compute projected 2D data set as  $\hat{x}_i = (\mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{u}_2)$
- PCA was introduced for the analysis of microarray data in Alter et al, PNAS 97(18) (2000)

# Extensions to PCA

- Linear/ global/ Gaussian structure of PCA potentially problematic
- Many extensions proposed in ML
- Kernel PCA maps data in higher dimensional space through non-linear map and then applies PCA (Scholkopf et al, Neural Computation 10(5), 1998)
- Other methods use local structure, e.g. Locally Linear Embeddings (Roweis and Saul, Science 290, 2000), Maximum Variance Unfolding (Weinberger and Saul, CVPR 2004)

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □

Telling things apart Visualising data Hierarchical clustering

### Problem formulation

- Given expression data, we want to identify subgroups
- Lack knowledge of number of groups
- Need a greedy, agglomerative procedure

A.

# Pearson's correlation

- A frequently used measure of similarity is Pearson's correlation
- Given two vectors **x**, **y**, we view them as two zero-mean random variables
- The variance of each vector is its length (as we assume zero mean),  $\sigma_{\bf x} = \sqrt{{\bf x}^T {\bf x}}$
- The correlation coefficient is then

$$r = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}}{\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} \sigma_{\mathbf{y}}} = \cos(\phi)$$

the cosine of the angle between the vectors (see wikipedia page for a good review)

Probability review Some probability distributions and Bayesian things A bag of tricks Telling things apart Visualising data Hierarchical clustering

# HC algorithm

- Compute pairwise Pearson's correlations
- Find highest correlation pair; merge them by computing mean
- Compute Pearson's of new item with other items
- Repeat previous steps until only one item left

< 67 ▶

Telling things apart Visualising data Hierarchical clustering

# Reflections on HC

- The HC algorithm gives us a tree representation of the data (dendrogram)
- We can keep track of the correlation in the branch length
- Complexity of HC?
- You can cut the tree at a desired level of correlation/ number of clusters

Probability review Telling things apart Some probability distributions and Bayesian things A bag of tricks Hierarchical clustering

### Next lecture

- Next week's lecture is a guest lecture on microarray technology
- Next core lecture will be in two weeks' time
- We will be looking at networks
- Please look at Shannon et al, Genome Research 13:2498-2504 (2003), available from www.cytoscape.org