Gaussian Mixture Models

Peter Bell

Automatic Speech Recognition— ASR Lecture 6 2 February 2023

GMMs

- Univariate and multivariate Gaussians
- Gaussian mixture models
- GMM estimation with the EM algorithm
- Using GMMs with HMMs

Consider a real valued random variable X

• Cumulative distribution function (cdf) *F*(*x*) for *X*:

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x)$$

• To obtain the probability of falling in an interval we can do the following:

$$egin{array}{ll} P(\mathsf{a} < X \leq b) = P(X \leq b) - P(X \leq a) \ = F(b) - F(a) \end{array}$$

• The rate of change of the cdf gives us the *probability density function* (pdf), *p*(*x*):

$$p(x) = \frac{d}{dx}F(x) = F'(x)$$
$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} p(x)dx$$

- p(x) is not the probability that X has value x. But the pdf is proportional to the probability that X lies in a small interval centred on x.
- Notation: p for pdf, P for probability

The Gaussian distribution (univariate)


- The Gaussian (or Normal) distribution is the most common (and easily analysed) continuous distribution
- It is also a reasonable model in many situations (the famous "bell curve")
- If a (scalar) variable has a Gaussian distribution, then it has a probability density function with this form:

$$p(x \mid \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathcal{N}(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$


- The Gaussian is described by two parameters:
 - the mean μ (location)
 - the variance σ^2 (dispersion)

Plot of Gaussian distribution

- Gaussians have the same shape, with the location controlled by the mean, and the spread controlled by the variance
- One-dimensional Gaussian with zero mean and unit variance $(\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1)$:



Properties of the Gaussian distribution



- Estimate mean and variance parameters of a Gaussian from data x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N
- Use the following as the estimates:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \qquad (\text{mean})$$
$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \hat{\mu})^2 \qquad (\text{variance})$$

Example: ML estimation of the mean

Consider the log likelihood of a set of *N* training data points $\{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ being generated by a Gaussian with mean μ and variance σ^2 :

$$L = \ln p(\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} | \mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{(x_n - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} - \ln \sigma^2 - \ln(2\pi) \right)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi)$$

By maximising the the log likelihood function with respect to μ we can show that the maximum likelihood estimate for the mean is indeed the sample mean:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n.$$

The multivariate Gaussian distribution

 The *D*-dimensional vector x = (x₁,..., x_D)^T follows a multivariate Gaussian (or normal) distribution if it has a probability density function of the following form:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

The pdf is parameterised by the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_D)^T$ and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \dots & \sigma_{1D} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{D1} & \dots & \sigma_{DD} \end{pmatrix}$.

The multivariate Gaussian distribution

The *D*-dimensional vector x = (x₁,..., x_D)^T follows a multivariate Gaussian (or normal) distribution if it has a probability density function of the following form:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

The pdf is parameterised by the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_D)^T$ and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \dots & \sigma_{1D} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{D1} & \dots & \sigma_{DD} \end{pmatrix}$.

• The 1-dimensional Gaussian is a special case of this pdf

The multivariate Gaussian distribution

 The *D*-dimensional vector x = (x₁,..., x_D)^T follows a multivariate Gaussian (or normal) distribution if it has a probability density function of the following form:

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

The pdf is parameterised by the mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_D)^T$ and the covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \dots & \sigma_{1D} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{D1} & \dots & \sigma_{DD} \end{pmatrix}$.

- The 1-dimensional Gaussian is a special case of this pdf
- The argument to the exponential $0.5(x \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x \mu)$ is referred to as a *quadratic form*.

• The mean vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the expectation of x:

$$\mu = E[x]$$

• The covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is the expectation of the deviation of \boldsymbol{x} from the mean:


$$\Sigma = E[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T]$$

• Σ is a $D \times D$ symmetric matrix:

$$\sigma_{ij} = E[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)] = E[(x_j - \mu_j)(x_i - \mu_i)] = \sigma_{ji}$$

- The sign of the covariance helps to determine the relationship between two components:
 - If x_j is large when x_i is large, then (x_i μ_i)(x_j μ_j) will tend to be positive;
 - If x_j is small when x_i is large, then (x_i μ_i)(x_j μ_j) will tend to be negative.


Spherical Gaussian



 $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array}
ight) \qquad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(egin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight) \qquad
ho_{12} = 0$

NB: Correlation coefficient $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$ $(-1 \le \rho_{ij} \le 1)$


Diagonal Covariance Gaussian



 $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ $\rho_{12} = 0$

NB: Correlation coefficient $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$ $(-1 \le \rho_{ij} \le 1)$

Full covariance Gaussian



$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ $\rho_{12} = -0.5$

NB: Correlation coefficient $\rho_{ij} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{ii}\sigma_{jj}}}$ $(-1 \le \rho_{ij} \le 1)$


Parameter estimation of a multivariate Gaussian distribution

• It is possible to show that the mean vector $\hat{\mu}$ and covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma}$ that maximise the likelihood of the training data are given by:


$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{x}_n$$
 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = rac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) (\boldsymbol{x}_n - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})^T$

where
$$x_n = (x_{n,1}, ..., x_{n,D})^T$$
.


NB: T denotes either the number of samples or vector transpose depending on context.




Maximum likelihood fit to a Gaussian



Data in clusters (example 1)




Example 1 fit by a Gaussian




- k-means is an automatic procedure for clustering unlabelled data
- Requires a prespecified number of clusters
- Clustering algorithm chooses a set of clusters with the minimum within-cluster variance
- Guaranteed to converge (eventually)
- Clustering solution is dependent on the initialisation


k-means example: data set




k-means example: initialisation




k-means example: iteration 1 (assign points to clusters)




k-means example: iteration 1 (recompute centres)




k-means example: iteration 2 (assign points to clusters)



k-means example: iteration 2 (recompute centres)



k-means example: iteration 3 (assign points to clusters)



No changes, so converged


• A more flexible form of density estimation is made up of a linear combination of component densities:

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} P(m) p(\mathbf{x} | m)$$

- This is called a *mixture model* or a *mixture density*
- p(x | m) : component densities
- P(m) : mixing parameters
- Generative model:
 - **(**) Choose a mixture component based on P(m)
 - Generate a data point x from the chosen component using p(x | m)

Gaussian mixture model

- The most important mixture model is the *Gaussian Mixture Model* (GMM), where the component densities are Gaussians
- Consider a GMM, where each component Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(x; \mu_m, \Sigma_m)$ has mean μ_m and a spherical covariance $\Sigma_m = \sigma_m^2 I$



GMM Parameter estimation when we know which component generated the data

- Define the indicator variable z_{mn} = 1 if component m generated data point x_n (and 0 otherwise)
- If z_{mn} wasn't hidden then we could count the number of observed data points generated by m:

$$N_m = \sum_{n=1}^N z_{mn}$$

• And estimate the mean, variance and mixing parameters as:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{m} = \frac{\sum_{n} \boldsymbol{z}_{mn} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}}{N_{m}}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{m}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{n} \boldsymbol{z}_{mn} \|\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{m}\|^{2}}{N_{m}}$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(m) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \boldsymbol{z}_{mn} = \frac{N_{m}}{N}$$

GMM Parameter estimation when we don't know which component generated the data

- *Problem:* we don't know *z_{mn}* which mixture component a data point comes from...
- Instead we use the EM algorithm: estimate the posterior probability P(m|x), which gives the probability that component m was responsible for generating data point x, using an initial set of parameters, λ₀
- At each iteration, we maximise

$$\sum_{m} P(m|x,\lambda_0) \log P(x|m,\lambda)$$

$$P(m|x, \lambda_0) = \frac{p(x|m) P(m)}{p(x)} = \frac{p(x|m) P(m)}{\sum_{m'=1}^{M} p(x|m') P(m')}$$

(dropping the dependence on λ_0 for clarity)

Soft assignment


 We can view the EM algorithm as estimating "soft counts" for the data points, based on the component occupation probabilities P(m | x_n):

$$N_m^* = \sum_{n=1}^N P(m \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}_n)$$


- We can imagine this as assigning data points to component m weighted by the component occupation probability $P(m|\mathbf{x}_t)$
- Estimate the mean, variance and prior probabilities as:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{m} = \frac{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n}) \boldsymbol{x}_{n}}{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n})} = \frac{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n}) \boldsymbol{x}_{n}}{N_{m}^{*}}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{m}^{2} = \frac{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n}) \| \boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{m} \|^{2}}{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n})} = \frac{\sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n}) \| \boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{m} \|^{2}}{N_{m}^{*}}$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(m) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n} P(m | \boldsymbol{x}_{n}) = \frac{N_{m}^{*}}{n}$$

Example 1 fit using a GMM




Example 1 fit using a GMM




Fitted with a two component GMM using EM


Peakily distributed data (Example 2)




Example 2 fit by a Gaussian



Example 2 fit by a GMM




Example 2 fit by a GMM



Fitted with a two component GMM using EM

Example 2: component Gaussians



- GMMs trained using the EM algorithm are able to self organise to fit a data set
- Individual components take responsibility for parts of the data set (probabilistically)
- Soft assignment to components not hard assignment "soft clustering"
- GMMs scale very well, e.g.: large GMM-based speech recognition systems might have as many as 30,000 GMMs, each with 32 components: sometimes 1 million Gaussian components!! And the parameters all estimated from (a lot of) data by EM

We can use a Gaussian distribution to model the observation probability:

$$b_j(\mathsf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{x}; oldsymbol{\mu}_j, oldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)$$

We need to find estimate parameters $\hat{\mu}_j$, $\hat{\Sigma}_j$ for each state j. Use the EM algorithm to weight each sample x_t by the occupation probability $\gamma_j(t)$:

$$\hat{\mu}_j = rac{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_j(t) \mathsf{x}_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_j(t)}$$

And likewise for the covariance matrices:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_j = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_j(t) (x_t - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_j) (x - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_j)^T}{\sum_{t=1}^T \gamma_j(t)}$$

Extension to Gaussian mixture model (GMM)

- The assumption of a Gaussian distribution at each state is very strong; in practice the acoustic feature vectors associated with a state may be strongly non-Gaussian
- In this case an *M*-component Gaussian mixture model is an appropriate density function:

$$b_j(\mathsf{x}) = p(\mathsf{x} | q = j) = \sum_{m=1}^M c_{jm} \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{jm}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{jm})$$

Given enough components, this family of functions can model any distribution.

• Train using the EM algorithm again, in which the component occupation probabilities are estimated along with the state occupation probabilities in the E-step

EM training of HMM/GMM

 Rather than estimating the state-time alignment, we estimate the component/state-time alignment, and component-state occupation probabilities γ_{jm}(t): the probability of occupying mixture component m of state j at time t.

 $(\xi_{tm}(j) \text{ in Jurafsky and Martin's SLP})$

• Re-estimate the parameters of component *m* of state *j* as follows

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jm} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{jm}(t) \boldsymbol{x}_{t}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{jm}(t)}$$
$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{jm} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{jm}(t) (\boldsymbol{x}_{t} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jm}) (\boldsymbol{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{jm})^{T}}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{jm}(t)}$$

• The mixture coefficients are re-estimated in a similar way to transition probabilities:

$$\hat{c}_{jm} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{I} \gamma_{jm}(t)}{\sum_{m'=1}^{M} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{jm'}(t)}$$

- The forward, backward and Viterbi recursions result in a long sequence of probabilities being multiplied
- This can cause floating point *underflow* problems
- In practice computations are performed in the log domain (in which multiplies become adds)
- Working in the log domain also avoids needing to perform the exponentiation when computing Gaussians

- Gales and Young (2007). "The Application of Hidden Markov Models in Speech Recognition", *Foundations and Trends in Signal Processing*, 1 (3), 195–304: section 2.2.
- Jurafsky and Martin (2008). Speech and Language Processing (2nd ed.): sections 6.1-6.5; 9.2; 9.4. (Errata at http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~martin/SLP/Errata/SLP2-PIEV-Errata.html)
- Rabiner and Juang (1989). "An introduction to hidden Markov models", *IEEE ASSP Magazine*, 3 (1), 4–16.
- Renals and Hain (2010). "Speech Recognition", *Computational Linguistics and Natural Language Processing Handbook*, Clark, Fox and Lappin (eds.), Blackwells.