AR Coursework Lecture

Imogen Morris

School of Informatics

18th October 2018

Information

- ► Demonstrator/TA: Imogen Morris s1402592@ed.ac.uk
- ► Lab sessions: 5.05 West Lab, Appleton Tower,
- Submission Deadline: 4pm 19th Nov
- Isabelle 2018 is installed on DICE machines: type 'Isabelle FOO.thy' in the terminal window.
- ➤ You should have a look at the recommended reading and try the exercises from the course website.

- ► I. Prove some propositional and first-order proofs:
- ► You may use only the methods

rule	rule_tac	drule
drule_tac	erule	erule_tac
frule	frule_tac	cut_tac
assumption		

You may use only the rules:

conjl	conjE	impl	
impE	mp	iffl	
iffE	notl	notE	
disjl1	disjl2	disjE	
exl	exE	allI	
allE	spec	excluded	middle

- You may also use as rules any lemmas that you have proven in this way.
- ► No automatic proof methods (auto, blast etc) !

- ► Formalising Some Simple Curve geometry (3 sub-parts):
- Mechanise the Basic Definitions
- Sum of curves c = c₁ ⊔ c₂ (functional) is represented as c isSumOf c1 c2 (relational).
- Functional definitions are assumed to be total.
- But the sum of two curves is not defined if they have no point in common.

- Mechanise the Axioms
- ► For universal quantifiers use meta level not object level e.g. ∀x.Px can be formalised as Px or ∧x.Px. Same for implication.
- This is because otherwise you would always begin your proofs with allE or impE.

Mechanise the basic consequences of the axioms In the paper, Corollary

 $\forall c_1 \forall c_2 \forall c_3 \forall P[c_2 \sqsubset c_3 \land \mathsf{meet}(P, c_1, c_2) \land \mathsf{meet}(P, c_1, c_3) \Longrightarrow$ $c_1 \sqcup c_2 \sqsubset c_1 \sqcup c_3]$

You are given

```
lemma corollary_2_6_part1:
  assumes "c2 isPartOf c3" "c isSumOf c1 c2"
       "c' isSumOf c1 c3"
    shows "c isPartOf c'"
```

Assumptions that the curves meet are no longer needed because isSumOf is a predicate and implies existence of a meeting point.

Searching for useful theorems

- ► In Parts 2 and 3 of the coursework, you are allowed to use any of the theorems in the imported theory Main.
- ► E.g. to prove Remark 2.8 you might want to find theorems about set cardinality card.
- ► You can find the theorems by searching for **card** in the query box.
- ► You can also search for statements using as a wildcard.

- ► A challenge proof: Theorem 2.13.
- ► Pen-and-paper proof is relatively long.
- ► This mechanisation is non-trivial, so plan it well.
- Devise and represent the main lemmas corresponding to Steps 1 and 2.
- Credit will be given for partial mechanisation (relevant to final proof).
- ► Brief discussion of mechanisation vs pen-and-paper proof.

An example lemma

```
lemma assumes "c1 \neq c2" "c1 isPartOf c2"
  shows"∃r. r isIncidentTo c2 ∧ ¬ (r isIncidentTo c1)"
proof -
  from `c1 isPartOf c2`
  have incident_imp:"∀P. P isIncidentTo c1 → P isIncidentTo c2"
    by (subst(asm) isPartOf_def)
  from c1 \neq c2 axiom c9
  have "\neg(\forallP. P isIncidentTo c1 = P isIncidentTo c2)"
    by (rule contrapos nn)
  from this have "\existsP. P isIncidentTo c1 \neq P isIncidentTo c2"
    by (subst(asm) not all)
 then obtain P where P_def: "P isIncidentTo c1 \neq P isIncidentTo c2"
    by (rule exE)
  from incident_imp have "P isIncidentTo c2 V ¬(P isIncidentTo c1)"
    by blast
  from this P_def have "P isIncidentTo c2 \land \neg (P isIncidentTo c1)"
    by blast
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

Overview

- Deadline: 19th Nov, 4pm
- Refer to recommended reading and self-help exercises for background and help.
- Inbuilt tactics (auto, simp etc.) can be used from Part 2 onwards.
- Use the 'query' box or search the imported theories (at https://isabelle.in.tum.de/library/HOL/) to find theorems
- ▶ Part 3 is challenging! Break it into lemmas.
- ► Please make use of the TA (and not just before the deadline!).