# Advances in Programming Languages APL20: Type-checking for SQLizeability

#### Ian Stark

School of Informatics The University of Edinburgh

Thursday 17 March 2010 Semester 2 Week 10



http://www.inf.ed.ac.uk/teaching/courses/apl

This is the fourth of four lectures on integrating domain-specific languages with general-purpose programming languages. In particular, SQL for database queries.

- Using SQL from Java
- LINQ: .NET Language Integrated Query
- Language integration in F#
- Type-checking for SQLizeability

This is the fourth of four lectures on integrating domain-specific languages with general-purpose programming languages. In particular, SQL for database queries.

- Using SQL from Java
- LINQ: .NET Language Integrated Query
- Language integration in F#
- Type-checking for SQLizeability

This lecture presents results from the following research paper:

### Ezra Cooper.

The script-writer's dream: How to write great SQL in your own language, and be sure it will succeed.

In Database Programming Languages: Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium DBPL 2009, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5708, pages 36–51. Springer-Verlag, 2009.

Ezra developed web applications for Amazon and Moveable Type; did a PhD here at Edinburgh; and now works in Boston on XQuery searching. http://ezrakilty.net/.

We have seen how LINQ in C# can lower the *impedance mismatch* between programming language and query language, making a host language more sensitive to the semantics of its guest.

float findUsersInRange(SqlConnection con, float low, float high) {

Table<Person> users = con.GetTable<Person>()

var query = from u in users
 where low < u.Score && u.Score < high
 select new { u.Id, u.Name };</pre>

foreach(var item in query)
{ Console.WriteLine("{0}: {1}", item.Id, item.Name); }

There is more here than just extra SQL-like keywords. The Table<Person> has typed records, field selection u.Score can be checked at compile time, and each item has a correct static type.

float findUsersInRange(SqlConnection con, float low, float high) {

Table<Person> users = con.GetTable<Person>()

```
var query = from u in users
    where low < u.Score && u.Score < high
    select new { u.Id, u.Name };</pre>
```

```
foreach(var item in query)
{ Console.WriteLine("{0}: {1}", item.ld, item.Name); }
```

The special SQL-like syntax is sugar that expands into a sequence of method invocations, using higher-order functions and anonymous closures.

float findUsersInRange(SqlConnection con, float low, float high) {

Table<Person> users = con.GetTable<Person>()

var query = users.Where(u => (low < u.Score && u.Score < high))
 .Select(u => new { u.Id, u.Name });

foreach(var item in query)
{ Console.WriteLine("{0}: {1}", item.Id, item.Name); }

}

This expansion into standard method calls opens up query handling to compiler optimisation: we are no longer just executing an SQL string, but building a structured query.

LINQ brings many good things:

- Creating SQL queries from C# syntax
- Static checking of syntax and database schema
- Parameterization and abstraction
- Compiler-led query amalgamation

But there are limitations:

- SQL conversion is best-effort it may fail at runtime
- Abstraction not fully higher-order
- Exposes concrete Expression type with special properties

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

```
# Alice runs a local baseball league
```

```
fun overAgePlayers() {  # Alice wants a list of players over 12
  query { for (p <- players)
     where (p.age > 12)
        [(name = p.name)] }
```

# The "query" block indicates that this should be translated to SQL

# Here "for ... where" is a 'bag comprehension' that gathers together # a multiset of records satisfying the guard

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

# We introduce the gratuitous complication of reversing player's names

```
fun overAgePlayersReversed() {
  query { for (p <- players)
    where (p.age > 12)
        [(name = reverse(p.name))] } # ERROR !
```

# Because we specified a "query" block, the compiler raises
# an error: SQL has no string reverse operation

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

```
# Obtain team rosters as [(name:String, roster:[(playerName:String)])]
fun teamRosters() {
 for (t < -teams)
   [(name = t.name,
     roster = for (p < -p layers)
             where (p.team == t.name) [(playerName=p.name)])];
fun usablePlayers() {
                                        \# Identify players on full teams
 query { for (t <- teamRosters()) # For each team list
         where (length(t.roster) >= 9) \# If big enough
           t.roster }
                                        # Add members to mailing list
```

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

```
/*
  Although teamRosters returned a nested collection, which cannot be
  directly represented in SQL, we can still translate the overall query
  using nested SELECT queries.
*/
SELECT p.name AS playerName
   FROM players AS p, teams AS t
   WHERE
       (SELECT COUNT(*)
           FROM players AS p2 WHERE p2.team = t.name) < 9
```

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

```
fun fullTeam(list) { length(list) >= 9 }
```

```
fun seniorPlayers(list) { for (x \le 15) where (x \ge 15) [x] }
```

playersBySelectedTeams(fun(x) { fullTeam(seniorPlayers(x)) } )

Cooper sets out some examples, using the syntax of *Links*. It's a general-purpose functional language, with some syntax to make queries look natural. (All examples are from the paper.)

playersBySelectedTeams(fun(x) { fullTeam(seniorPlayers(x)) } )

--- In this case, we can translate into SQL

SELECT p.name AS playerName FROM players AS p, teams AS t WHERE ( SELECT COUNT(\*) FROM players AS p2 WHERE p2.team = t.name AND p2.age >= 15) >= 9

-- This requires expanding the predicate, and rearranging to

--- appropriate nest the SQL. Can we build a type system to check

--- all this in a modular way at compile time?

Cooper does not, in fact, present an implementation in the paper (although an experimental one does exist).

Instead, he sets out three things:

- A method for statically checking whether conversion is possible
- A detailed explanation of a procedure to carry out the conversion
- A proof that this always works

This is a standard approach in programming language research: after all, from an algorithm and a proof you might build an implementation; but the reverse is much harder.

One step further is to including a machine-checked proof; this is rare as yet, but it's the future.

Static checking for SQLizability is done through a type and effect system.

Where a type system might have judgements like this:

 $x_1:S_1,\ldots,x_n:S_n\vdash M:T$  .

A type and effect system has judgements like this:

```
x_1: S_1, \ldots, x_n: S_n \vdash M: T \mid e.
```

Here e is the set of possible *effects* associated with the evaluation of M.

A type and effect system comes with rules for deriving valid judgements. For example:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M_1 : [T] ! e_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash M_2 : [T] ! e_2}{\Gamma \vdash M_1 + + M_2 : [T] ! e_1 \cup e_2} \qquad \Gamma = x_1 : S_1 \dots x_n : S_n$$

These rules are chained together to make a complete derivation.

As with plain type systems, it is possible to automatically infer many effect annotations.

The types and effects may interact, as in function abstraction and application.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: S \vdash M: T \mid e}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.M: S \stackrel{e}{\longrightarrow} T \mid \emptyset} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash F: S \stackrel{e}{\longrightarrow} T \mid e_1 \qquad \Gamma \vdash N: S \mid e_2}{\Gamma \vdash FN: T \mid (e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e)}$$

Here function type S  $\xrightarrow{e}$  T includes a *latent* effect *e*, which emerges when the function is applied to an argument.

We need effects to track when code needs a feature *not* available in SQL. We can do this with an effect *noqy*. For example:

 $(+): \mathsf{int} \times \mathsf{int} \xrightarrow{\emptyset} \mathsf{int} \quad \mathsf{length}: [\mathsf{T}] \xrightarrow{\emptyset} \mathsf{int} \quad \mathsf{print}: \mathsf{string} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{noqy}} ()$ 

The rule for typing a "query" block checks this:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : T \mid \emptyset \quad T \text{ has the form } [(\overline{\iota : o})]}{\Gamma \vdash query\{M\} : T \mid \emptyset}$$

Provided that the types check out, we can build arbitrary combinations of query blocks, abstraction, higher-order functions, application, comprehension, ...

The paper sets out a *rewrite system*  $M \rightsquigarrow M'$  which flattens out and simplifies terms, with the following properties:

- Types are preserved: if  $M : T \mid \emptyset$  and  $M \rightsquigarrow M'$  then  $M' : T \mid \emptyset$ .
- Every term normalizes:  $M \rightsquigarrow^* V$  for some  $V \not\rightsquigarrow$ .
- If  $M : [(\overline{l : o})] ! \emptyset$  then its normal form directly matches SQL constructions.

The result is that if a term does not have the *noqy* effect, then it can always be converted SQL. This might happen at compile time, run time, or both: but *it will always succeed*.

- LINQ offers language integration for queries, but only best-effort translation. Things can go wrong at runtime.
- An *effect* system refines types with information about side-effects that happen on execution.
- We can construe "not available in SQL" as a side-effect.
- Static inference and checking of types and effects is enough to know in advance which terms can be turned into SQL queries.

Specific properties of types and effects for SQLizability:

- Compositional, for modular checking
- Supports arbitrary higher-order types
- Complete integration of guest and host language terms
- Compile-time guarantees

Please complete a course questionnaire, either on paper or online. Paper copies can be left in the lecture theatre, or delivered to the ITO.

The Examination Timetable is available online:

http://www.registry.ed.ac.uk/Examinations/index.cfm

Good luck, and enjoy learning more programming languages.

I've worked with many languages, from BASIC to assembly code. One of the last checkins I made when implementing generics for .NET, C# and VB had a lot of x86 assembly code. My first job was in Prolog. I think programmers should learn languages at all extremes.

Don Syme, F#