Tutorial 7: solution sketches

1. The Bellman optimality equations are as follows:

 $x_{6} = 1$ $x_{5} = \max\{x_{1}, x_{2}\}$ $x_{4} = x_{4}$ $x_{3} = \max\{x_{2}, x_{4}\}$ $x_{2} = 2x_{1}/5 + x_{4}/5 + 2x_{6}/5$ $x_{1} = x_{2}/6 + x_{4}/6 + x_{5}/6 + x_{6}/2$

We wish to find the unique minimal solution $p^* = (p_1^*, \dots, p_6^*)$, which also gives the optimal probalities. It is clear that $p_4^* = 0$, so that at s_3 the node s_2 is always chosen, giving $p_3^* = p_2^*$. As $p_6^* = 1$, it remains to solve p_1^*, p_2^* and p_5^* . From the optimality conditions we see that the equations governing these are as follows:

$$p_5^* = \max\{p_1^*, p_2^*\}$$
$$p_2^* = 2p_1^*/5 + 2/5$$
$$p_1^* = p_2^*/6 + p_5^*/6 + 1/2$$

There are two cases to consider: (i) $\max\{p_1^*, p_2^*\} = p_2^*$ and (ii) $\max\{p_1^*, p_2^*\} = p_1^*$. In both of these cases we know the value of p_5^* , so we can calculate the rest.

In case (i), the equations reduce to

$$p_2^* = 2p_1^*/5 + 2/5$$

 $p_1^* = p_2^*/3 + 1/2$

These can be solved to get $p_1^* = 19/26$ and $p_2^* = 18/26$. This contradicts our assuption that $p_2^* = \max\{p_1^*, p_2^*\}$.

In case (ii), the equations reduce to

$$p_2^* = 2p_1^*/5 + 2/5$$

$$p_1^* = p_1^*/6 + p_6^*/6 + 1/2$$

which gives us $p_1^* = 17/23$ and $p_2^* = 16/23$. This gives us the full solution to the original problem: $p^* = (p_1^*, \dots, p_6^*) = (17/23, 16/23, 16/23, 0, 17/23, 1)$. Player 1s optimal strategy is to choose s_2 when at node s_3 , and to choose s_1 when at node s_5 .

- 2. As we are working with a congestion game, we can find a pure Nash Equilibrium by starting at any pure strategy profile, and iteratively improving it until we can't. To get a concrete starting point, let's say all players take the route $s \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow t$. Then we can do iterative improvements for example¹ as follows:
 - (i) Player 1 switches to $s \to v_2 \to v_1 \to t$
 - (ii) Player 2 switches to $s \to v_2 \to v_1 \to t$
 - (iii) Player 3 switches to $s \to v_1 \to t$.
 - (iv) Player 2 switches to $s \to v_1 \to t$

At (iv) no further improvements can be made, so we reached the following NE:

Player 1: $s \to v_2 \to v_1 \to t$ Player 2: $s \to v_1 \to t$ Player 3: $s \to v_1 \to t$

Note that in the above sequence we weren't done at stage (iii), even though every player had switched once. Other starting points will take through other sequences of steps, and they might end up in a different NE, although it turns out that in this game all pure Nash equilibria send two players via the route $s \to v_1 \to t$ and one via $s \to v_2 \to v_1 \to t$, differing only in which player chooses the path $s \to v_2 \to v_1 \to t$.

¹at many stages there's more than one option on who improves and how