# TSPL: Notes on Subtyping

### Philip Wadler

November 22, 2016

### 1 Simply-typed lambda calculus with records

Write  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{STLC}} M : A$  if term M has type A in the simply-typed lambda calculus with functions and records.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{ ext{STLC}} M : A$$

$$id \frac{(x:A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:A}$$

$$\text{abstract} \frac{\Gamma, \, x : A \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A . \, N) : A \to B} \qquad \text{apply} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash (L \, M) : B}$$

We write  $\{\vec{\ell}: \vec{A}\}$  to stand for  $\{\ell_1: A_1, \ldots, \ell_n: A_n\}$  where  $|\vec{\ell}|$  stands for n, and similarly for  $\{\vec{M}: \vec{A}\}$  and  $\{\vec{\ell} = \vec{M}\}$ . For example, an instance of the last rule is:

select 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash L : \{\ell_1 : A_1, \, \ell_2 : A_2, \, \ell_3 : A_3\}}{\Gamma \vdash L.\ell_i : A_i}$$
  $1 \le i \le 3$ 

## 2 Subtyping

Write A <: B if every value of type A is also of type B.

refl
$$A <: A$$
 tran $A <: B B <: C$ 

$$A <: C$$

$$fun A > B <: C \rightarrow D$$

$$\operatorname{depth} \frac{\vec{A} <: \vec{B}}{\{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} <: \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{B}\}} \qquad \operatorname{width} \frac{\vec{A} <: \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\}}{\{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}, \vec{B}\} <: \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\}}$$

The first line says subtyping is reflexive and transitive. The second line says subtyping of functions is *contravariant* in the domain and *covariant* in the range. The third line says records are covariant in the field types (depth), and a record with more fields is a subtype of a record with fewer (width).

For example, using depth and width subtyping we have

$$\frac{A_1 <: B_1 \quad A_2 <: B_2}{\{\ell_1 : A_1, \, \ell_2 :: A_2, \, \ell_3 :: A_3\} <: \{\ell_1 :: B_1, \, \ell_2 :: B_2\}}$$

Write  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{SUB}} M$ : A if term M has type A in the lambda calculus with subtyping. The rules are identical to those of simply-typed lambda calculus, augmented with a rule for *subsumption*.

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{SUB}}} M : A$$

$$id \frac{(x:A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:A}$$

$$\text{abstract} \frac{\Gamma, \, x: A \vdash N: B}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A. \, N): A \to B} \qquad \text{apply} \frac{\Gamma \vdash L: A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash M: A}{\Gamma \vdash (L \, M): B}$$

$$\operatorname{sub} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \quad A <: B}{\Gamma \vdash M : B}$$

Simply-typed lambda calculus has the nice property that there is exactly one typing derivation possible for each well-typed term. With subtyping, that is no longer the case. Here are two different derivations showing term  $L\,M$  has

type D, given that  $L: A \to B$ , M: C, C <: A, and B <: D.

$$\underset{\text{apply}}{\text{sub}} \ \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to B \quad \text{fun} \ \frac{C <: A \quad B <: D}{A \to B <: C \to D}}{\Gamma \vdash L : C \to D} \qquad \Gamma \vdash M : C$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{apply} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to B \quad \text{ sub } \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : C \quad C <: A}{\Gamma \vdash M : A}}{\Gamma \vdash (L \, M) : B} \\ \text{sub} & \Gamma \vdash (L \, M) : D \end{array}$$

#### 3 Translation

One way to think of subtyping is that if A <: B then there is a coercion function  $c: A \to B$ . We can think of the type rules as performing a *type-directed translation*. Write  $A <: B \leadsto c$  to indicate that  $c: A \to B$  is a coercion from A to B.

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{A <: B \leadsto c} \\ \hline\\ A <: A \leadsto (\lambda x : A.x) \end{array} \\ & \operatorname{tran} \frac{A <: B \leadsto c}{A <: C \leadsto (\lambda x : A. d(c(x)))} \\ \\ \operatorname{fun} \frac{C <: A \leadsto c}{A \to B <: C \to D \leadsto (\lambda f : A \to B. \lambda x : C. d(f(c(x))))} \\ \\ \operatorname{depth} \frac{\vec{A} <: \vec{B} \leadsto \vec{c}}{\{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} <: \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{B}\} \leadsto (\lambda z : \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\}. \{\vec{\ell} = \vec{c}(z.\vec{\ell})\})} \\ \\ \operatorname{width} \frac{\vec{A} <: \vec{B} \leadsto \vec{c}}{\{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} <: \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} \leadsto (\lambda z : \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}, \vec{B}\}. \{\vec{\ell} = z.\vec{\ell}\})} \\ \end{array}$$

For example, using depth and width subtyping we have

The translation of a subtyping relation is a coercion function of the appropriate type.

**Proposition 1** If  $A <: B \leadsto c \ then \emptyset \vdash_{STLC} c : A \to B$ .

Write  $\Gamma \vdash M : A \leadsto M'$  to indicate that term M of type A translates to term M'. Each rule simply translates the parts of the term, with the exception of the subsumption rule, which applies the coercion corresponding to the subtype.

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash_{\text{TRAN}} M : A \leadsto M'}$$

$$\operatorname{id} \frac{(x:A) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x:A \leadsto x}$$

abstract 
$$\frac{\Gamma, \ x: A \vdash N: B \leadsto N'}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x: A. \ N): A \to B \leadsto \lambda x: A. \ N'}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \vec{M} : \vec{A} \leadsto \vec{M'}}{\Gamma \vdash \{\vec{\ell} = \vec{M}\} : \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} \leadsto \{\vec{\ell} = \vec{M'}\}}$$

select 
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash L : \{\vec{\ell} : \vec{A}\} \leadsto L' \quad 1 \le i \le |\ell|}{\Gamma \vdash L.\ell_i : A_i \leadsto L'.\ell_i}$$

$$\mathrm{sub} \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \leadsto M' \quad A \lessdot B \leadsto c}{\Gamma \vdash M : B \leadsto c(M')}$$

A term has a translation if it is well-typed in the lambda calculus with subtyping, and its translation is well-typed in the simply-typed lambda calculus.

**Proposition 2 (Translation preserves types)** If  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{TRAN}} M : A \leadsto M'$  then  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{SUB}} M : A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{STLC}} M' : A$ .

Indeed, a term is well-typed with subtyping exactly when it has a translation.

**Proposition 3 (Subtyping and translation)**  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{SUB}} M : A \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \vdash_{\text{TRAN}} M : A \rightsquigarrow M' \text{ for some } M'.$ 

If there is more than one derivation of a typing judgement, then correspondingly there will be more than one translation. Corresponding to the two derivations of term LM of type D given earlier, here are two translations of that term, given that  $L: A \to B \leadsto L', M: C \leadsto M', C <: A \leadsto c$ , and  $B <: D \leadsto d$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|c} C <: A \leadsto c & B <: D \leadsto d \\ \hline A \to B <: C \to D \leadsto F \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash L : C \to D \leadsto F \ L' & \Gamma \vdash M : C \leadsto M' \\ \hline \Gamma \vdash (L \ M) : D \leadsto F \ L' \ M' \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash L : A \to B \leadsto L'}{\Gamma \vdash M : A \leadsto c \, M'} \frac{C \lessdot A \leadsto c}{\Gamma \vdash M : A \leadsto c \, M'}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (L \, M) : B \leadsto L' \, (c \, M')$$

$$\Gamma \vdash (L \, M) : D \leadsto d \, (L' \, (c \, M'))$$

$$B \lessdot D \leadsto d$$

where  $F = (\lambda f: A \to B. \lambda x: C. d(f(c(x))))$ . The two derived terms are equivalent since

$$\left(\lambda f{:}A \to B.\,\lambda x{:}C.\,d(f(c(x)))\right)\,L'\,M' \longrightarrow^* d\left(L'\,(c\,M')\right)$$

under call-by-name.

Whenever we use type derivations to guide translation, it is desirable that the system to be *coherent*, meaning that if there is more than one possible type derivation then all of the corresponding derivations are equivalent. For terms M and N of simply-typed lambda calculus, write  $M =_{\text{STLC}} N$  iff  $M \longrightarrow^* P$  and  $N \longrightarrow^* P$  under call-by-name for some term P.

**Proposition 4 (Translation is coherent)** If  $\Gamma \vdash_{\text{TRAN}} L : A \leadsto M \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash_{\text{TRAN}} L : A \leadsto N \text{ then } M =_{\text{STLC}} N.$