

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING  
SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS

**INFR11114 TYPES AND SEMANTICS FOR PROGRAMMING  
LANGUAGES**

**Thursday 15<sup>th</sup> December 2016**

**14:30 to 16:30**

**INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES**

**Answer QUESTION 1 and ONE other question.**

**Question 1 is COMPULSORY.**

**All questions carry equal weight.**

**CALCULATORS MAY NOT BE USED IN THIS EXAMINATION**

Year 4 Courses

Convener: I. Murray

External Examiners: A. Cohn, A. Donaldson, S. Kalvala

**THIS EXAMINATION WILL BE MARKED ANONYMOUSLY**

1. THIS QUESTION IS COMPULSORY

This question uses the library definition of `list` in Coq, which includes the functions `rev` and `app (++)`. You may use any facts about `rev` and `app` that you find with `SearchAbout`, except in (b) you may not use `rev_app_distr`, which is the theorem you are trying to prove

Here is an informal definition of the predicate `pal`.

$$\text{pal\_empty} \frac{}{\text{pal } []} \quad \text{pal\_unit} \frac{}{\text{pal } [x]} \quad \text{pal\_step} \frac{\text{pal } xs}{\text{pal } ([x] ++ xs ++ [x])}$$

(a) Formalise the definition above. [8 marks]

(b) Prove the following.

$$\text{Theorem rev\_app\_distr}' : \forall (X : \text{Type}) (xs ys : \text{list } X), \\ \text{rev } (xs ++ ys) = (\text{rev } ys) ++ (\text{rev } xs).$$

[8 marks]

(c) Prove the following.

$$\text{Theorem pal\_rev} : \forall (X : \text{Type}) (xs : \text{list } X), \\ \text{pal } xs \rightarrow xs = \text{rev } xs$$

[9 marks]

2. ANSWER EITHER THIS QUESTION OR QUESTION 3

You will be provided with a definition of a simple imperative language in Coq. Mark any changes you make to the definition with (\* !!! \*).

Consider a guarded command construct satisfying the following rules:

Evaluation:

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{E\_GuardEnd} \frac{\text{beval } st \ b_1 = \text{false} \\ \text{beval } st \ b_2 = \text{false}}{\text{DO } b_1 \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{OR } b_2 \ \text{THEN } c_2 \ \text{OD} / st \Downarrow st} \\
 \\
 \text{E\_GuardLoop1} \frac{\text{beval } st \ b_1 = \text{true} \\ c_1 / st \Downarrow st'}{\text{DO } b_1 \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{OR } b_2 \ \text{THEN } c_2 \ \text{OD} / st' \Downarrow st''} \\
 \\
 \text{E\_GuardLoop2} \frac{\text{beval } st \ b_2 = \text{true} \\ c_2 / st \Downarrow st'}{\text{DO } b_1 \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{OR } b_2 \ \text{THEN } c_2 \ \text{OD} / st' \Downarrow st''}
 \end{array}$$

Hoare logic:

$$\text{hoare\_guarded} \frac{\{\{P \wedge b_1\}\} \ c_1 \ \{\{P\}\} \\ \{\{P \wedge b_2\}\} \ c_2 \ \{\{P\}\}}{\{\{P\}\} \ \text{DO } b_1 \ \text{THEN } c_1 \ \text{OR } b_2 \ \text{THEN } c_2 \ \text{OD} \ \{\{P \wedge \neg b_1 \wedge \neg b_2\}\}}$$

Note that guarded commands are non-deterministic in the case that both  $b_1$  and  $b_2$  are true.

- (a) Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation rules. [12 marks]
- (b) Prove the Hoare rule. You will be provided with proofs of Hoare rules for the simple imperative language that you may modify. [13 marks]

3. ANSWER EITHER THIS QUESTION OR QUESTION 2

You will be provided with a definition of simply-typed lambda calculus in Coq. Mark any changes you make to the definition with (\* !!! \*).

Consider constructs satisfying the following rules:

Values:

$$\text{v\_delay} \frac{}{\text{value (tdelay } t \text{)}}$$

Evaluation:

$$\text{ST\_ForceDelay} \frac{}{\text{tforce (tdelay } t \text{)} \implies t} \quad \text{ST\_Force} \frac{t \implies t'}{\text{tforce } t \implies \text{tforce } t'}$$

Typing:

$$\text{T\_Delay} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t \in T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{tdelay } t \in \text{TLift } T}$$

$$\text{T\_Force} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t \in \text{TLift } T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{tforce } t \in T}$$

- (a) Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation and typing rules. [12 marks]
- (b) Prove progress. You will be provided with a proof of progress for the simply-typed lambda calculus that you may extend. [13 marks]