

UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH
COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS

TYPES AND SEMANTICS FOR PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

Sunday 1st April 2012

00:00 to 00:00

INSTRUCTIONS TO CANDIDATES

MOCK EXAM MOCK EXAM

Answer any TWO questions

All questions carry equal weight

MOCK EXAM MOCK EXAM

Year 4 Courses

Convener: ITO-Will-Determine

External Examiners: ITO-Will-Determine

THIS EXAMINATION WILL BE MARKED ANONYMOUSLY

1. This question uses the library definition of `list` and `In` in Coq. (The predicate `In` is also sometimes called `member`.)

Here are informal definitions of the predicates `In` and `break`.

$$\text{in_eq} \frac{}{\text{In } x \ (x :: xs)} \quad \text{in_cons} \frac{\text{In } y \ xs}{\text{In } y \ (x :: xs)}$$

$$\text{break_eq} \frac{}{\text{break } (x :: xs) \ x \ xs} \quad \text{break_cons} \frac{\text{break } xs \ y \ ys}{\text{break } (x :: xs) \ y \ (x :: ys)}$$

- Formalise the definition of `break`. (The definition of `In` is part of the library.)

[10 marks]

- Prove each of the following:

(a) `break [1; 2; 3] 1 [2; 3]`.

(b) `break [1; 2; 3] 2 [1; 3]`.

(c) `break [1; 2; 3] 3 [1; 2]`.

[5 marks]

- Prove the following.

Theorem `break_in` : forall (X : Type) (x y : X) (xs ys : list X),
`break xs y ys → In x xs → x = y ∨ In x ys`.

[10 marks]

2. You will be provided with a definition of a simple imperative language in Coq. Consider constructs satisfying the following rules.

Evaluation:

$$\text{E.For} \frac{\begin{array}{c} \text{aeval } st \ a_1 = n \\ \text{t.update } st \ x \ n = st' \\ \text{LOOP } x \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} / st' \Downarrow st'' \end{array}}{\text{FOR } x \ == \ a_1 \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} / st \Downarrow st''}$$

$$\text{E.LoopEnd} \frac{st \ x > \text{aeval } st \ a_2}{\text{LOOP } x \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} / st \Downarrow st}$$

$$\text{E.LoopLoop} \frac{\begin{array}{c} st \ x \leq \text{aeval } st \ a_2 \\ c / st \Downarrow st' \\ \text{update } st' \ x \ (st' \ x + 1) = st'' \\ \text{LOOP } x \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} / st'' \Downarrow st''' \end{array}}{\text{LOOP } x \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} / st \Downarrow st'''}$$

Hoare logic:

$$\text{hoare_for} \frac{\{\{P\}\} \ \text{LOOP } X \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} \ \{\{Q\}\}}{\{\{P[X \mapsto a_1]\}\} \ \text{FOR } X \ == \ a_1 \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} \ \{\{Q\}\}}$$

$$\text{hoare_loop} \frac{\{\{P \wedge X \leq a_2\}\} \ c \ \{\{P[X \mapsto X + 1]\}\}}{\{\{P\}\} \ \text{LOOP } X \ \text{TO } a_2 \ \text{DO } c \ \text{END} \ \{\{P \wedge X > a_2\}\}}$$

- Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation rules. [12 marks]
- Prove the Hoare rules. You will be provided with proofs of Hoare rules for the simple imperative language that you may modify. [13 marks]

3. You will be provided with a definition of simply-typed lambda calculus in Coq. Consider constructs satisfying the following rules.

Evaluation:

$$\text{ST_Snoc1} \frac{t_1 \Longrightarrow t'_1}{(\text{snoc } t_1 \ t_2) \Longrightarrow (\text{snoc } t'_1 \ t_2)}$$

$$\text{ST_Snoc2} \frac{\text{value } v_1 \quad t_2 \Longrightarrow t'_2}{(\text{snoc } v_1 \ t_2) \Longrightarrow (\text{snoc } v_1 \ t'_2)}$$

$$\text{ST_TCase} \frac{t_1 \Longrightarrow t'_1}{(\text{tcase } t_1 \text{ of } \text{lin} \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{snoc } xs \ x \Rightarrow t_3) \Longrightarrow (\text{tcase } t'_1 \text{ of } \text{lin} \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{snoc } xs \ x \Rightarrow t_3)}$$

$$\text{ST_TCaseLin} \frac{}{(\text{tcase } \text{lin} \text{ of } \text{lin} \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{snoc } xs \ x \Rightarrow t_3) \Longrightarrow t_2}$$

$$\text{ST_TCaseSnoc} \frac{\text{value } v_1 \quad \text{value } v_2}{(\text{tcase } (\text{snoc } v_1 \ v_2) \text{ of } \text{lin} \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{snoc } xs \ x \Rightarrow t_3) \Longrightarrow [xs := v_1][x := v_2]t_3}$$

Typing

$$\text{T_Lin} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{lin} \in \text{Tsil } T}$$

$$\text{T_Snoc} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \in \text{Tsil } T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \in T}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{snoc } t_1 \ t_2) \in \text{Tsil } T}$$

$$\text{T_TCase} \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \in \text{Tsil } T \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 \in T' \quad \Gamma, xs \in \text{Tsil } T, x \in T \vdash t_3 \in T'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{tcase } t_1 \text{ of } \text{lin} \Rightarrow t_2 \mid \text{snoc } xs \ x \Rightarrow t_3) \in T'}$$

- Extend the given definition to formalise the evaluation and typing rules. [12 marks]
- Prove progress. You will be provided with a proof of progress for simply-typed lambda calculus that you may extend. [13 marks]