Topics in Natural Language Processing

Shay Cohen

Institute for Language, Cognition and Computation

University of Edinburgh

Lecture 2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Administrativia

Reminder: the requirements for the class are presentations, brief paper responses and an essay.

- I will suggest papers and topics to cover next weekend
- They will be of different difficulty levels
- Example topics: topic models, language modeling, parsing, semantics, neural networks (your own topic?)
- Choose whatever level of difficulty you feel comfortable with, so that: (a) your presentation is clear; (b) your brief paper response is informative; (c) the essay goes into details about the topic.

- What is learning?
- What is a statistical model?
- Basic refresher about probability

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Probability distributions, random variables, parametrisation $p(w) \ge 0 \qquad \sum_{i} f(w) = 1 \qquad \Omega \ge w \qquad X \ge \Omega \rightarrow A$ $Y \ge \Omega \rightarrow B$

$$p(w) \ge 0 \quad \xi_{1}(w) = 1 \quad \Omega \ge w$$

$$p(X = x, Y = y) = \sum_{w \in W} p(w)$$

$$x(w) = x$$

$$y(w) = y$$

$$p(X = x) = \sum_{w \in W} p(X = x, Y = y)$$

$$p(Y = y) = \sum_{w \in W} p(X = x, Y = y)$$

$$p(X = x + |Y = y) = p(X = x, Y = y)$$

$$p(X = x + |Y = y) = p(X = x, Y = y)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Today

- What does statistical learning do?
 - Induce a model from data
 - Models tell us how data is generated
 - Learning does the "opposite"

• Two different paradigms to Statistics: frequentist and Bayesian

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Approach 1: frequentist Statistics

- We need an objective function $f(\theta, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$
- The higher the value of *f* is, the better it predicts the training data

$$D = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \quad \text{Antr}$$

$$D \longrightarrow \Theta$$

$$\text{estimation}$$

$$\Theta^* = \arg\max f(\Theta, w_1, \dots, w_n)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Choice of *f*: likelihood

 $f(\theta, w_1, \dots, w_n) \text{ is a real-valued function}$ $f(\theta, w_1, \dots, w_n) = p[w_1, \dots, w_n(\theta)] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(w_i \mid \theta)$ $W_i \quad assume \quad w_1, \dots, w_n \quad \text{are independent}$ $\Theta^{\dagger} = argma \neq \prod_{i=1}^{n} p(w_i \mid \theta) \quad \leftarrow maximisis_i$ $I_i h_i l_i h_i d_i$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへぐ

Log-likelihood

og-likelihood

$$L(w_{1}, ..., w_{n} | \theta) = | \cdot_{j} f(\theta, w_{1}, ..., w_{n}) = \begin{cases} l_{0j}(x,t) := l_{0j}(t,t) \\ = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi} p(w; 1\theta)) = \tilde{\Sigma} | \cdot_{j} p(w; 1\theta) \\ \vdots = l_{0j}(\tilde{\pi}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ●

Next step

Estimation: maximisation of β_{L} . The result is the "best" θ that fits to the data *according to the objective function*

arsmax
$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\log p(w; |\theta)$$

avergage $\log - likelihood$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Pre-historic languages



<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

Imagine a language with two words: "argh" and "blah"

Pre-historic languages

What is Ω ? $\Omega = \{m, h, h\}$ What is Θ ? $\Theta_{1} = \{(\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{1}) \mid \Theta : s \text{ satisfied}\}$ $\Theta_{1} = \{(\Theta_{1}, \Theta_{1}) \mid \Theta : s \text{ satisfied}\}$ $Actually, \qquad \Theta \in \Theta \implies \Theta_{1} = \Theta$ $\Theta_{1} = [O_{1}] \quad \Theta \in \Theta \implies \Theta_{1} = O$

What is the training data?

w; E [us h, 6 | n h]

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

a+b=n

What is the likelihood objective function?

$$P(w; |\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta & w; = 0 \\ 1-\theta & w; = 1 \\ 1-\theta & w;$$



Maximisation of log-likelihood

How to maximise the log-likelihood?

We take the derivative of the log-likelihood and set it to O.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Principle of maximum likelihood estimation

- Objective function: log-likelihood (or likelihood)
- Estimation: maximise the log-likelihood with respect to the set of parameters

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

A guessing game

I choose a random number between 1 and 20. You need to guess it, and each time you make a guess I tell you whether your guess is higher or lower than my number. What is your strategy to guess the number as quickly as possible?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

A guessing game

I choose a random number between 1 and 20. You need to guess it, and each time you make a guess I tell you whether your guess is higher or lower than my number. What is your strategy to guess the number as quickly as possible?

birary search

I choose a random number *x* between 1 and 20 from a distribution p(x). You know *p* and need to guess the number. What is your

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●



What does log-probability mean?

Let *p* be a probability distribution over Ω . What is $-\log_2 p(x)$?

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{code} (x) \right| &= - \left| \operatorname{cs} p_{\mathbf{x}}(x) \right| \\ \operatorname{code} (x) &= \operatorname{symme} \quad e \in o's \quad \text{and} \quad n's \quad \operatorname{tellig} \\ \operatorname{vhether} & \operatorname{we} \quad \operatorname{wake} \quad \operatorname{the} \quad \operatorname{choice} \quad \mathcal{J} \quad "\operatorname{left}" \quad \operatorname{or} \\ "\operatorname{rish} t' \quad t_o \quad \operatorname{the} \quad \operatorname{avenged} \quad \operatorname{mid} \cdot \operatorname{point} \\ &= \left[\left| \operatorname{code} \right| \right] = \left[\left[- \left| \operatorname{cg} \right|_{\mathcal{L}} p(x) \right] \right] = \\ &= -\sum_{x} p(x) \left| \operatorname{cg} \left|_{\mathcal{L}} p(x) \right| = \operatorname{cmetropy}' \end{aligned}$$

Another view of maximum likelihood estimation

What is the "empirical distribution?" $\vec{p}(w) = \frac{count(w) in datu)}{n}$

Rewriting the objective function $L(\theta, w_1, \ldots, w_n)$

$$L(\theta, w_1, \dots, w_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ i=1 \ i$$

Cross-entropy

What is the definition of cross-entropy?

$C E(P_1, P_2) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i(v) \frac{\log P_2(w)}{\log P_2(w)}$

By doing maximum likelihood maximisation we:

Choose the parameters that make the data most probable,

or, from an information-theoretic perspective:

• Choose the parameters that make the encoding of the data most succinct (bit-wise),

in other words, we

• Minimize the cross-entropy between the empirical distribution and the model we choose.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

A bit of history

One of the earliest experiments with statistical analysis of language – measuring entropy of English



2-3 bits are required for English

Approach 2: the Bayesian approach

 History: 1700s. Seminal ideas due to Thomas Bayes and Pierre-Simon Laplace



イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

• A lot has changed since then...

Next class

- The core ideas in Bayesian inference
- Structure in NLP what type of computational structures are used and how?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ