Random Walks for Knowledge-Based Word Sense Disambiguation



Word Sense Disambiguation

1 Supervised

using labeled training sets(features and proper sense label)

2 Unsupervised

- only use unlabeled corpora without the sense-tagged corpus

3 Knowledge-based

- external lexical resources(such as machine-readable dictionaries, thesauri and ontologies)

Supervision vs. Knowledge



Overview

- **1** Introduction
- 2 Knowledge-based Word Sense Disambiguation (WSD)
- 3 Lexical Knowledge Bases (LKB) WordNet
- 4 Random Walks PageRank & Personalized PageRank
- **5** Evaluation
- **6 Issues & Future Directions**
- 7 Conclusions

Knowledge-based WSD

1 Overlap of sense definitions

- traditional approach, called gloss overlap or the Lesk algorithm

2 Selectional restrictions

- uses selectional preferences to constrain the meanings of a target word in the specific context.

3 Structural approaches

a) similarity measures

- local context

b) graph-based methods

- global context
- lexical chains (eat -> dish -> vegetable -> potato)

WordNet

Synset (each one represents a distinct concept)

- groups nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs into sets of synonyms
- over 117,000 synsets

e.g.

<coach#n1, manager#n2, handler#n3>

<coach#n2, private instructor#n1, tutor#n1>

<coach#n3, passenger car#n1, carriage#n1>

<coach#n4, four-in-hand#n2, coach-and-four#n1>

<coach#n5, bus#n1, autobus#n1, charabanc#n1, double-decker#n1, jitney#n1... > <coach#v1, train#v7>

<coach#v2>

Represent WordNet as a Graph

Dictionary

- Word lemmas linked to the corresponding senses

Concepts and relations

Graph G=(V, E) - V is the set of nodes each node represents one sense - E is the set of edges each relation between two senses is represented by an edge.



Random Walk - PageRank

1 Undirected relations between concepts

- symmetric and have inverse counterpart

2 PageRank Random Walk algorithm

- ranks the vertices in a graph in terms of structural relations

- vertex $v_i \rightarrow v_j$, a vote from node i to j, the contribution of node i depends on the i's rank

- final rank of node i represents the probability of a random walk over the graph ending on node i

Random Walk - PageRank

Given a graph G with N vertices $\{v_1, ..., v_N\}$ d_i - the outdegree of node i M - N×N transition probability matrix, where

 $M_{ji} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d_i} & \text{if a link from i to j exists,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

PageRank Vector **P** over G is calculated by

 $\mathbf{P} = cM\mathbf{P} + (1-c)\mathbf{v}$

v - N×1 random vector (initial)

c - damping factor, $c \in [0,1]$, experimentally, $c \in [0.85,0.95]$ cMP - the voting scheme

(1-c)v - the probability a random jump (not following any paths) smoothing factor

Personalized PageRank - PPR

 $\mathbf{P} = cM\mathbf{P} + (1-c)\mathbf{v}$

Traditional/Static PageRank

- using uniform vector \mathbf{v} with all the element values 1/N

Personalized PageRank

- using un-uniform vector **v** (modified)

- assigning v with different initial values makes PageRank algorithm more effective (spreads along the graph during iterations)

Personalized PageRank - PPR

1 Static PageRank (STATIC)

- context-independent ranking (baseline)

2 Personalized PageRank (PPR)

- relate content words to WordNet concepts

- every concept receives a score

3 Word-to-word Heuristic (PPR_{w2w})

- run Personalized PageRank separately for each target word in the context

- let surrounding words determine the most relavent sense (avoid the influence comes from the target word)

 PPR_{w2w} does not disambiguate all target words of the context in a single run, which makes it less efficient

Evaluation - F1 over different Datasets

S2AW - SensEval-2 All-Words							
Method	All	N	V	Adj.	Adv.		
PPR	58.7	71.8	35.0	58.9	69.8		
PPR _{m2m}	59.7	70.3	40.3	59.8	72.9		
STATIC	58.0	66.5	40.2	59.8	72.5		
	S3AW	- SensE	val-3 Al	ll-Words		 	
Method	All	N	V	Adj.	Adv.		
PPR	57.3	63.7	47.5	61.3	96.3		
PPR	57.9	65.3	47.2	63.6	96.3		
STATIC	56.5"	62.5	47.1	62.8	96.3		
	507AW -	SemEv	al 2007	All-Wor	ds		
Method	All	N	V	Adj.	Adv.		
PPR	39.7"	51.6	34.6	-	_		
PPR _{w2w}	41.7"	56.0	35.3	-			
STATIC	43.0	56.0	37.3	-	-		
S07CG -	SemEva	al 2007 (Coarse-	grained	All-Words		
Method	All	N	V	Adj.	Adv.		
PPR	78.1"	78.3	73.8	84.0	78.4		
PPR _{w2w}	80.1	83.6	71.1	83.1	82.3		
STATIC	79.2"	81.0	72.4	82.9	82.8		

Evaluation - with other Systems

System	S2AW	S3AW	S07AW	S07CG (N)		
Mih05	54.2	52.2				
Sinha07	57.6	53.6				
Tsatsa10	58.8	57.4				
Agirre08		56.8				
Nav10		52.9	43.1			
JU-SKNSB / TKB-UO			40.2	70.2	(70.8)	
Ponz10					(79.4)	
PPR _{w2w}	59.7	57.9	41.7	80.1	(83.6)	
MFS ⁽¹⁾	60.1	62.3	51.4	78.9	(77.4)	
IRST-DDD-00 ⁽¹⁾		58.3			Bu-2050 Po	
Nav05 ⁽¹⁾ / UOR-SSI ⁽¹⁾		60.4		83.2	(84.1)	
BEST _{sup} ⁽²⁾	68.6	65.2	59.1	82.5	(82.3)	
Zhong10 ⁽²⁾	68.2	67.6	58.3	82.6		

Evaluation - PageRank Parameters



Evaluation - Domain Specific & Spanish

	System	BNC	Sports	Finance		Method	Acc.
	MFS STATIC PPR _{w2w}	34.9 36.6 37.7	19.6 20.1 51.5	37.1 39.6 59.3		PPR PPR _{w2w} STATIC	78.4 ["] 79.3 76.5 ["]
Ger Dor	eral-don	nain: Br cific: Sr	itish Natio oorts & Fi	onal Corpus nance corp	- s (BNC) ora	First sense MFS BEST	66.4 ["] 84.6 ["] 85.1 ["]

Other Evaluations

Results on English data sets (F1) Comparison to State-of-the-Art Systems Comparison with Related Algorithms **PageRank Parameters** Size of Context Window Using Different WordNet Versions Using xwn vs. WN3.0 Gloss Relations Analysis of relation types Correlation between systems, gold tags, and MFS Results on three subcorpora(BNC, Sports & Finance corpora) Combination with MFS (F1) Efficiency of Full Graphs vs. Subgraphs **Experiments on Spanish**

Issues & Future Directions

1 "Knowledge acquisition bottleneck"

- Automatic enrichment of knowledge resources

2 Global weights of the edges in the random walk calculations

3 Combine PPR with other WordNet related resources

Conclusions

1 Knowledge-based WSD based on random walks

- over relations in a LKB (WordNet)

2 Full Graph of WordNet

3 PageRank & Personalized PageRank (PPR)

- Static PageRank (STATIC)
- Personalized PageRank (PPR)
- Word-to-word Heuristic (PPR_{w2w})

4 Other Language - Spanish

- only requirement of having a WordNet
- **5 Reproducible Experiments**

THANK YOU

Any Questions?

References

1 E. Agirre, O. L. de Lacalle, and A. Soroa, "Random walks for knowledge-based word sense disambiguation,"Computational Linguistics, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 57–84, 2014.
2 R. Navigli, "Word sense disambiguation: A survey," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 41, no. 2, p. 10, 2009.