

## Notes 1 - Solutions

PROBLEM 1:

Suppose  $k = n/2$ . Show that the number of possible subsets of size  $k$  is at least  $2^{\Omega(n)}$ .

Divide  $V$  at random into two disjoint subsets  $X, Y \subset V$  of size  $\frac{n}{2}$ .

Label the elements of these sets  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$  respectively so that each element  $x_i \in X$  has a natural pair  $y_i \in Y$  for  $i = \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$ .

In order to form a subset  $S \subseteq V$  of size  $\frac{n}{2}$  choose exactly one of  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  for all  $i = \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$ .

There are therefore 2 choices for each element of  $S$ , implying that there are  $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$  possible subsets of  $V$  of size  $\frac{n}{2}$  formed in this way.

This implies that there are at least  $2^{\Omega(n)}$  possible subsets of size  $\frac{n}{2}$

PROBLEM 2:

What is the range of possible values for the approximation factor of an approximation algorithm for the influence maximization problem

This is a maximization problem, therefore, approximation factor  $C \leq 1$ . Additionally, in case of an algorithm that outputs  $k$  unique nodes,  $k \leq f(x) \leq OPT$  and  $\frac{k}{OPT} \leq C \leq 1$ .

PROBLEM 3:

Suppose we want to find shortest paths. Is this a maximization or minimization problem? What is the range of possible values for the approximation factor of an approximation algorithm for this problem

This is a minimization problem, we wish to find a set  $x$  of edges such that the source and destination nodes are connected by these edges, but the size of this set is minimal. The approximation factor  $C$  will satisfy  $C \geq 1$ .

If we want to be more technical, we can observe that a "path" cannot have repeated edges. Thus in an unweighted graph, the output of the algorithm has at most  $E$  edges, and  $C$  is bounded by  $1 \leq C \leq \frac{E}{OPT}$ .

PROBLEM 4:

Suppose a tortoise is at distance  $n$  meters from its destination. It is getting tired with time, and in each hour, it covers  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the remaining distance to the destination. How long does it take the tortoise to get to the destination

At time step 0 the tortoise has a distance  $n$  left to travel. At the next time step a distance of  $\frac{n}{2}$  left to travel, and so on.

Therefore the sequence representing the distance remaining is given by  $\{n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}, \dots, \frac{n}{2^t}\}$  where  $t$  represents the time step in hours. At any time step this value will be a fraction with a value greater than 0, therefore the time to the destination is infinite.

PROBLEM 5:

How long does it take it to get to within 1 meter of the destination?

This occurs when  $\frac{n}{2^t} < 1$  therefore  $t > \log_2(n)$ .

PROBLEM 6:

Now suppose instead the tortoise covers  $\frac{1}{k}$  of the remaining distance to the destination in each hour. How long does it take it to get to within 1 meter?

In this case the sequence of distances remaining can be represented by:

$$\left\{ n, \frac{(k-1)n}{k}, \dots, \frac{(k-1)^t n}{k^t} \right\} \quad (1)$$

Therefore the distance is within 1 meter when  $\frac{(k-1)^t n}{k^t} < 1$ .

Solving for  $t$  we obtain  $t > \frac{\log_k(n)}{1 - \log_k(k-1)}$

PROBLEM 7:

If the tortoise covers  $\frac{1}{k}$  of the remaining distance to the destination in each hour, what fraction of the distance remains to be covered after 1 hr? What fraction remains to be covered after  $k$  hours?

After 1 hour the tortoise has  $\frac{(k-1)n}{k}$  meters left to cover. Therefore the fraction of distance left to cover is  $\frac{\frac{(k-1)n}{k}}{n} = \frac{(k-1)}{k}$

After  $k$  hours the tortoise has  $\frac{(k-1)^k n}{k^k}$  meters left to cover, so the fraction of the distance left to cover is  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)^k \leq \frac{1}{e}$ .

PROBLEM 8:

In the independent activation model, suppose node  $u$  has neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  and the corresponding edges have associated probabilities  $p_1, p_2, \dots$ . Suppose the probability of  $u$  being activated is  $p(u)$ . If all neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \dots$  are active, can we say that  $p(u) \leq p_1 + p_2 + \dots$ ? What is the exact probability of  $u$  becoming active?

In order for a node to become active, it must be activated by at least one of its neighbors. Therefore it is activated if it is activated by neighbour  $v_1$  or neighbour  $v_2$  and so on... We are in the independent activation model therefore  $P(u)$  is given by (by union bound):

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{activated by } v_1 \text{ OR activated by } v_2 \text{ OR } \dots) &= P(\text{activated by } v_1) + P(\text{activated by } v_2) + \dots \\ &= p_1 + p_2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

To compute the exact probability, we observe that the probability that  $u$  is not activated by  $v_i$  is  $1 - p_i$ . Thus the probability that  $u$  is not activate by any of the neighbors is  $(1 - p_1)(1 - p_2) \dots$ . Thus the probability that  $u$  is activated by at least one neighbor is:  $1 - \prod_i(1 - p_i)$ .

PROBLEM 9:

Suppose for a node  $x$  in a network, an edge to each other  $n - 1$  nodes exists with probability  $\frac{\ln(n)}{n-1}$ . Show that the probability that  $x$  has no edge is  $\leq \frac{1}{n}$  [hint: use  $(1 - \frac{1}{x})^x$  with  $x = \frac{\ln(n)}{n-1}$ .]

For each of the  $n - 1$  other nodes in the network, the probability that node  $x$  is not connected to it is  $1 - \frac{\ln(n)}{n-1}$ .

Assuming that the probability of node  $x$  being connected to any other nodes is independent of the other nodes it is connected to, then:

$$P(x \text{ is connected to no other node}) = \left(1 - \frac{\ln(n)}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}$$

Let  $z = \frac{n-1}{\ln(n)}$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(x \text{ is connected to no other node}) &= \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right)^z\right]^{\frac{1}{\ln(n)}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{\ln(n)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{\ln(n)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$