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## Milgram's experiment

- Take people from random locations in USA
- Ask them to deliver a letter to a random person in Massachusetts
- A person can only forward the letter to someone you know
- Question: How many hops do the letters take to get to destination?


## Results

- Out of 296 letters, only 64 completed
- Number of hops varied between 2 and 10
- Mean number of hops 6
- There were a few people that were the last hop in most cases


## Discussion of experiment

## Discussion of experiment

- Short paths exist between pairs (small diameter)
- More surprisingly, people find these short paths
- Without knowing the entire network
- Decentralized search
- Analogous to routing without a routing table
- People use a "greedy" strategy
- Forward to the friend nearest to the destination


## Recent results

- Milgrams results reproduced on better data
- Use online data (Livejournal, facebook)
- Containing approximate locations
- Simulate the process of forwarding letters
- Results similar to original experiment
- Relatively short diameter, successful decentralized search


## In popular culture

- Erdos distance
- Kevin bacon distance


## Definition of small worlds

- Small diameter
- Large clustering coefficient
- Related to homophily - similar people connect to each-other
- "Similar": close in some coordinate value (or metric)
- Supports decentralized search
- People find short paths without knowing the entire network
- (Usually) High expansion


## Model 1: Watts and Strogatz

Nature 1998

- Parameters $n, k, p \quad n>k>\ln n$
- Often k is taken to be a constant in practice with the idea that people cannot have infinitely large friend-circles
- Put nodes in a ring of size n
- Connect each to k/2 neighbors on each side
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## Model 1: Watts and Strogatz

Nature 1998

- Parameters $n, k, p \quad n>k>\ln n$
- Often k is taken to be a constant in practice with the idea that people cannot have infinitely large friend-circles
- Put nodes in a ring of size $n$
- Connect each to k/2 neighbors on each side
- With probability $p$ rewire each edge of a vertex to a random vertex


## Small world

- In between random and structured



## Small world



## Properties

- Average clustering coefficient per vertex bounded away from zero
- In other words: at least a constant
- Connected: sufficient random edges + regular edges
- Short diameter

Watts-strogatz model does not explain milgram's experiment
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- Milgram's experiment was on 2D plane
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# Watts-strogatz model does not explain milgram's experiment 

- Milgram's experiment was on 2D plane
- Watts-strogatz does not support decentralized search $($ poly $(\log n))$ steps to destination


## Outline

- A good small world model should support (poly $(\log n)$ ) steps to destination
- We will show that watts strogatz needs poly(n)
- Kleinberg's model supports $(\log (\mathrm{n}))^{2}$ step route
- Show that the route can be divided into $O(\log n)$ phases
- Each phase takes O(log n)


## Decentralized search in random link networks

- Decentralized search does not work to produce short paths
- Let us consider 2D ( $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{n}$ grid):
- We want to show that if every node works only on its local information (edges it has)
- Then there is no algorithm that delivers the message in less than poly(n) messages.


## Decentralized search in random link networks

- Consider s and t separated by $\Omega(\mathrm{n})$ hops
- Take ball B of extrinsic radius around $n^{2 / 3} t$
- There are $O\left(n^{2 / 3}\right)^{2}$ nodes in $B$
- When we are already at distance $n^{2 / 3}$ (on the edge of $B$ )
- A long link can help only if it falls inside B
- Otherwise we take a step along a short link
- What is the probability that a random link from s hits B ?
- This is $\sim O\left(\left(n^{2 / 3}\right)^{2} / n^{2}\right)=O\left(n^{-2 / 3}\right)$
- The expected number of steps before getting a useful long link is: $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{2 / 3}\right)$


## Decentralized search

- Therefore long links are not really useful in reaching t
- The number of steps is poly(n).


## Model 2 : Kleinberg's model

STOC 2000, Nature 2000, ICM 2006

- Idea: Long links are not helping much
- Getting closer to the destination does not increase the chances of getting a long link close to destination.
- Make the probability of a long link sensitive to the distance
- Nearby nodes are more likely to have a long link


## Model 2 : Kleinberg's model

- Supposed $(u, v)$ is the extrinsic distance between nodes $u$ and $v$ in the plane
- Then u connects its long link to v
- with probability $\propto \frac{1}{d(u, v)^{\alpha}}$


## Kleinberg's model

- Links to nearby nodes are more likely
- A node knows more people locally
- With increasing distance, it knows fewer and fewer people
- At the largest scale it knows only a handful
- More representative of how people have their contacts spread
- We want to show that the model permits short paths to be found


## The proportionality constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}[(u, v)]=\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{1}{d(u, v)^{\alpha}} \\
& \alpha=2 \Rightarrow \gamma=\Theta(\ln n)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Sketch of proof: Take rings of thickness 1 at distances 1,2,3...
- The number of nodes at distance $d \sim \Theta(d)$
- Thus from any node:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{d=1}^{n} d^{-2} \Theta(d)=1 \\
& \frac{1}{\gamma} \Theta\left(\sum_{d=1}^{n} \frac{1}{d}\right)=1 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{1}{\gamma} \Theta(\ln n)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem

$$
\alpha=2
$$

- Permits finding $O\left(\log ^{2} n\right)$ intrinsic length paths
- Using local routing : Always move to the neighbor nearest to the destination


## Proof

- Main idea:
- In $O(\log n)$ steps, the extrinsic distance is halved
- Let us call this one phase
- In O(log n) phases, the distance will be 1
- So, we need to show the first claim: one phase lasts O(log n) steps


## One Phase lasts log n steps

- Suppose distance from s to t is d
- take ball B of radius $d / 2$ around $t$
- There are about $\Theta\left(d^{2}\right)$ nodes in this area
- The probability that a long link hits B is
$\frac{1}{\Theta(\log n)} \sum_{v \in B} d(s, v)^{-2} \geq \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\log n} d^{2} d^{-2}\right)=\Theta\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)$


## One phase lasts log n steps

- Thus, the expected number of steps before we find a link into $B$ is $\log n$.
- And there are $\log \mathrm{n}$ such phases
- Therefore, this method finds a path of $\log ^{2} n$ steps


## Other exponents

- < 2 : more like uniform random
- > 2 : Shorter links, almost same as basic grid..



## Generality

- Search is a very general problem
- Search for an item, search for a path, search for a set, search for a configuration
- Decentralized: Operation under small amount of information. (local, easy to distribute)


## Small worlds in other networks

- Brain neuron networks
- Telephone call graphs
- Voter network
- Social influence networks ...
- Applications:
- Peer to peer networks
- Mechanisms for fast spread of information in social networks
- Routing table construction

