Cascades

Social and Technological Networks

Rik Sarkar

University of Edinburgh, 2016.

Network cascades

- Things that spread (diffuse) along network edges
- Epidemics
- Ideas
- Innovation:
 - We use technology our friends/colleagues use
 - Compatibility
 - Information/Recommendation/endorsement

Models

- Basic idea: Your benefits of adopting a new behavior increases as more of your friends adopt it
- Technology, beliefs, ideas... a "contagion"

Contagion Threshold

- v has d edges
- p fraction use A
- (1-p) use B
- v's benefit in using A is a per A-edge
- v's benefit in using B is
 b per B-edge



Contagion Threshold

• A is a better choice if:

$$pda \ge (1-p)db,$$

• or:

$$p \geq \frac{b}{a+b}.$$



The contagion threshold

- Let us write q = b/(a+b)
- If q is small, that means b is small relative to a

 Therefore A is useful even if only a small fraction
 of neighbors are using it
- If q is large, that means the opposite is true, and B is a better choice

Cascading behavior

- If everyone is using A (or everyone is using B)
- There is no reason to change equilibrium
- If both are used by some people, the network state may change towards one or the other.
 - Cascades: We want to understand how likely that is.
- Or there may be a deadlock
 - Equilibrium: We want to understand what that may look like

Cascades

- Suppose initially everyone uses B
- Then some small number adopts A
 For some reason outside our knowledge
- Will the entire network adopt A?
- What will cause A's spread to stop?

Example



- a =3, b=2
 q = 2/5

(a) The underlying network



(b) Two nodes are the initial adopters



(c) After one step, two more nodes have adopted



(d) After a second step, everyone has adopted

Example



- a =3, b=2
- q = 2/5

(a) Two nodes are the initial adopters



Spreading innovation

- A can be made to spread more by making a better product,
- say a = 4, then q = 1/3
- and A spreads
- Or, convince some key people to adopt A
- node 12 or 13



(a) Two nodes are the initial adopters



Stopping of spread

- Tightly knit communities stop the spread
 - More easily for "complex contagion" that need multiple enforcements
- Weak links are good for information transmission, not for behavior transmission
- Political conversion is rare
- Certain social networks are popular in certain demographics
- You can defend your "product" by creating tight communities among users

α - strong communities

- Let us write d_s(v) for the degree of v in a subset of nodes S
- The set S of nodes forms an α-strong (or αdense) community if for each node v in S, d_s(v) ≥ αd(v)
- That is, at least α fraction of neighbors of each node is within the community

Theorem

- A cascade with contagion threshold q cannot penetrate an α -dense community with $\alpha > 1 q$
- Therefore, for a cascade with threshold q, and set
 X of initial adopters of A:
 - If the rest of the network contains a cluster of density > 1-q, then the cascade from X does not result in a complete cascade
 - If the cascade is not complete, then the rest of the network must contain a cluster of density > 1-q

Proof

- In Kleinberg & Easley
- By contradiction: The first node in the cluster that converts, cannot convert.
- If set S is exactly the set of unconverted nodes at the end, then any v in S must have 1-q fraction edges in S, else v would have converted.

Extensions

- The model extends to the case where each node v has
 - different a_v and b_v , hence different q_v
 - Exercise: What can be a form for the theorem on the previous slide for variable q_v?

Cascade capacity

- Upto what threshold q can a small set of early adopters cause a full cascade?
- definition: Small: A finite set in an infinite network

Cascade capacities

- 1-D grid:
- capacity = 1/2

- 2-D grid with 8 neighbors:
- capacity 3/8



Theorem

- No infinite network has cascade capacity > 1/2
- Show that the interface/boundary shrinks
- Number of edges at boundary decreases at every step
- Take a node w at the boundary that converts in this step
- w had x edges to A, y edges to B
- q > 1/2 implies x > y
- True for all nodes
- Implies boundary edges decreases





• Implies, an inferior technology cannot win an infinite network

• Or: In a large network inferior technology cannot win with small starting ressources

Other models

- Non-monotone: an infected/converted node can become un-converted
- Schelling's model, granovetter's model: People are aware of choices of all other nodes (not just neighbors)

Causing large spread of cascade

- Viral marketing with restricted costs
- Suppose you have a budget of reaching k nodes
- Which k nodes should you convert to get as large a cascade as possible?

Models

- Linear contagion threshold model:
- The model we have used: node activates to use A if benefit of using p > q
- Independent activation model:
- If node u activates to use A, then u causes neighbor v to activate and use A with probability

 $-\mathbf{p}_{u,v}$

 That is, every edge has an associated probability of spreading influence (like the strength of the tie)

Hardness

- In both the models, finding the exact set of k initial nodes to maximize the influence cascade is NP-Hard
 - Intractable, unlikely that polynomial time algorithms exist unless P = NP

Project submission

- Instructions online (see link to projects)
- Link to sample projects from Stanford course
- Ask questions on piazza
- We understand that this is a short course project. Perfect reports are not possible
- Try to show us something(s) interesting, promising
- Try unusual ideas. Explain them & results cleanly
- Use your imagination, have fun!