
Regression Testing

Stuart Anderson



Regression Testing

- Regression testing is applied to code immediately after changes are made.
- The goal is to assure that the changes have not had unintended consequences on the behaviour of the test object.
- We can apply regression testing during development and in the field after the system has been upgraded or maintained in some other way.
- Good regression tests give us confidence that we can change the object of test while maintaining its intended behaviour.
- So, for example, we can change to a new version of some piece of infrastructure in the environment, make changes to the system to take account of that and then ensure the system behaves as it should.
- Regression testing is an important way of monitoring the effects of change.
- There are many issues but the balance of confidence against cost is critical.

Why Use Regression Tests?

- **Good reasons:**

- Bug fixes often break other things the developer isn't concentrating on.
- Sometimes bug fixes don't fix the bug.
- Checking software still runs after making a change in the infrastructure.
- Discovering faulty localisation.
- Errors in the build process (e.g. wrong parameters).
- Conforming to standards or regulators.

- **Bad reasons:**

- Arguments in terms of replicability of results (i.e. scientific analogy).
- Arguments in terms of quality in analogy with a production line (i.e. a manufacturing analogy).

Risks of Change

- **Bug regression testing:** checks that a bug fix has removed the symptoms of the bug that have been identified.
- **Old fix regression:** checks that a new fix has not broken an old fix: refactoring should limit this as old fixes are refactored into the code.
- **Functional regression:** new code or fix has not broken previously working code.
- **Incremental Regression testing:** regression testing as we develop.
- **Localisation Testing:** tests if a product has been correctly localised for a particular market.
- **Build Testing:** has an error been introduced in the field that means the system will not build correctly.

Motivation for Reusing Tests


Motivations vary depending on the context:

- In development (e.g. XP) tests play the role of specifications so we want to keep them fixed and reduce the cost of regression.
- In an established product:
 - Using the same tests may help us manage risk since we can focus tests on mitigating a particular risk.
 - Some tests are good at uncovering likely errors so we want to reuse.
 - There may be economic motivations:
 - * Automated retest (replay or oracle).
 - * Replay with human inspection may reduce the need for specialist technical time (e.g. in GUI testing – this is a particularly common approach). The aim is to routinise repeat testing.

Key Questions about Reuse

- Which tests should we reuse (for a particular situation – this may vary if tests are expensive to carry out)?
 - The “goodness” of a test is context sensitive, so in a development situation it may be good to concentrate on the core functionality – but later in the cycle this may be less important.
- What is the cost of maintaining tests?
 - Complex tests may make extensive use of the environment and may be complex to maintain.
 - This is not an argument against using complex tests but it is an argument in favour of developing test architecture to support tests.
 - Specific architectures have corresponding test architectures e.g. Web Services.
- What is the cost of applying tests?
- What is the benefit of applying regression tests?

A 'Model'




- Clouds **F1–F5** are fault regions.
- **T1–T4** are point tests.

Fault Region Model


- Systems have fault regions where their behaviour is does not conform to the requirements.
- Tests are point executions of the system.
- Test specifications may specify a region in the input space
- We still have to execute on test (unless we can do symbolic execution).
- Faults come in all shapes and sizes and may overlap or be intertwined.
- When a test hits a fault region we discover an error.
- At that point – we change the system so:
The clouds can move; A cloud can disappear; One of an overlapping pair can disappear; Clouds can break into fragment or amalgamate; Clouds can appear.
- So retest can be valuable – approx 15% of errors are discovered by regression test these are often critical to product quality.

An analogy: Clearing mines




[This analogy was first presented by Brian Marick. These slides are from James Bach]

Totally repeatable tests won't clear the minefield



[Bach]

Variable Tests are Often More Effective




[Bach]

Automated GUI regression

Look back at the minefield analogy
— *Are you convinced that variable tests will find more bugs under all circumstances?*

- *If so, why would people do repeated tests?*
- *Are bugs like clouds or mines?*



[Bach]

Economic Perspective

- What is the best way to improve product quality?
 - Maintain a regression test set
 - Develop new tests
 - It is possible to develop new tests for low value events (e.g. patch bundles)
- What is the benefit of reusing tests?
 - Tends to focus on core functionality of the system
 - Perhaps takes a narrow view of the functionality
- Costs:
 - How much does it cost to maintain tests?
 - How much does it cost to create tests?

Support for Refactoring

- Tests act as an executable specification.
- Tools like JUnit reduce the cost to the developer.
- Tendency to focus on unit level behaviour.
- Tendency to focus on function over resource use.
- Issues about how to integrate many unit level test sets that have been created individually.

Risk Management

- Tests target critical behaviour the main hazards.
- For embedded systems we have good specifications and it may be possible to infer more from a test result.
- We can use combinations of old tests to exercise the system more extensively on retest:
 - More tests.
 - More combinations of test.
 - More variants.
 - With a good specification we can see how the tests cover the different behaviours of the system.
 - We provide independent testers with a large armoury of possible weapons to break the system.

Summary

- Regression testing provides a tool for managing change.
- Regression testing can be used throughout the lifecycle.
- It can reduce the cost of applying tests (by storing the expected result).
- It is a tool in helping to provide stability in the face of code change.
- Costs of test maintenance and test reuse are very variable but in some environments it is affordable.
- Standards and regulation often require regression testing.
- The analogy between a manufacturing environment and a software production environment is very weak.
- The role of testing in the two environments is quite different.

Required Readings

- **Textbook (Pezzè and Young):** Chapter 22, System, Acceptance, and Regression Testing