Data Flow Coverage 1

Stuart Anderson







Why Consider Data Flow?

• Control Flow:

- Statement and branch coverage criteria are weak.
- Condition coverage and path coverage are more costly and can become infeasible.
- Data Flow:
 - Base the coverage criterion on how variables are defined and used in the program.
 - Coverage is based on the idea that in principle for each statement in the program we should consider all possible ways of defining the variables used in the statement.
- Data Flow Analysis arose in the study of compiling as well as suggesting coverage criteria it can also provide a means of statically checking variables are defined before use.

Stuart Anderson

Terminology

We introduce some standard naming conventions:

- P code under test.
- G(P) control flow graph of P, G(P) = (V, E, s, f) (Vertices, Edges, start node, finish node)
- Path is a sequence of vertices: v_0 , v_1 ,..., v_k where for each i (1 < i < k): (v_{i-1}, v_i) is a member of E.
- x is a variable of P



nformation



Terminology

- If v is a vertex of the flow graph we define:
 - defs(v): the set of all variables that are defined at v (i.e. are on the left-hand side of an assignment or similar)
 - undef(v): the set of all variables whose value is undefined after executing the code corresponding to v.
 - c-use(v): (c for computation) all variables that are used to define other variables in the code corresponding to v
 - p-use(v, v'): (p for predicate) all variables used in taking the (v, v') branch out of vertex v.
 - v_0 , v_1 ,..., v_k is a def-clear path for x, if x is not in $defs(v_i)$ for 0 < i < k

Stuart Anderson

2/2

⁴ informatics

Def-Clear Path



- A,D,E is def-clear for x but not for y
- A,B,E is def-clear for y but not for x





Refinement

- We call a c-use of x global, if it is not preceded by a definition of x in the same basic block.
- We call a def of x global, if it is used in some other vertex in the flow graph.
- We refine our definitions only to take account of global uses and definitions (e.g. c-use(v) is the global c-uses in vertex v)







Corresponding Flow Graph





Data-flow Terminology

• $dcu(x,v) = \{v \text{ in } V \mid x \text{ is in } c\text{-}use(v) \text{ and there is a def-clear path for } x \text{ from } v \text{ to } v\}$

This is the set of vertices with c-uses of x that can potentially be influenced by the definition of x at v

• $dpu(x,v) = \{(v,v) \text{ in } E \mid x \text{ is in } p\text{-}use(v,v) \text{ and there is a def clear path for } x \text{ from } v \text{ to } (v,v)\}$

This is the set of edges with p-uses of x that can potentially be influenced by the definition of x at v.



of informatics

Frankl and Weyukers data-flow coverage criteria

- All-defs requires that for each definition of a variable x in P, the set of paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from the definition to at least one c-use or one p-use of x.
 C all definitions get used.
- 2. All-c-uses requires that for each definition of a variable x in P, and each c-use of x reachable from the definition (see definition of dcu(x, v)), Π contains a def-clear path from the definition to the c-use.
 I all computations affected by each definition are exercised.
- 3. All-p-uses requires that for each definition of a variable x in P, and each p-use of x reachable from the definition (see definition of dpu(x, v)), Π contains a def-clear path from the definition to the p-use.

Is all branches affected by each definition are exercised.

1/3



Frankl and Weyukers data-flow coverage criteria

- 4. All-c-uses/some-p-uses, for each definition of x in P at v:
 - If dcu(x, v) is not empty, the paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from v to each member of dcu(x, v);
 - otherwise, the paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from v to an edge in dpu(x, v).

Is all definitions get used, and if they affect computations then all affected computations are exercised.

- 5. All-p-uses/some-c-uses, for each definition of x in P at v:
 - If dpu(x, v) is not empty, the paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from v to each edge in dpu(x, v);
 - otherwise, the paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from v to a member of dcu(x, v).

IP all definitions get used, and if they affect control flow then all affected branches are exercised.

2/3

11 informatics

Frankl and Weyukers data-flow coverage criteria

6. All-uses requires that for each definition of x at v in P, the set of paths Π executed by the test set T contains a def-clear path from v to both dcu(x,v) and dpu(x,v).

 \square every computation and branch directly affected by a definition is exercised.

7. All-du-paths requires that for each definition of x at v in P, the set of all paths Π executed by the test set T contains all def-clear paths from v to both dcu(x,v) and dpu(x,v), such that each path is loop free, or contains at most one loop of any loop on the path.

I all-uses, but requires exercise of all def-use paths, modulo looping.

8. All-paths requires that all paths through the program be executed.











What is the **point** of all these distinctions?





Subsumption

- We say that test coverage criterion A **subsumes** test coverage criterion B if and only if, for every program P, every test set satisfying A with respect to P also satisfies B with respect to P.
- i.e. if any test set satisfying criterion A will (provably) always also satisfy B, then "A subsumes B".
- Example: branch coverage subsumes statement coverage.





Subsumption Relationships



Stuart Anderson





Uses of Data Flow analysis

- We can use the analysis of definition and use to calculate optimistic and pessimistic estimates of whether variables are defined or not at particular vertices in the flow graph.
- We can use these to discover potential faults in the program.
- For example:
 - If a definition is only followed by definitions of the same variable is it useful?
 - If we use a variable and it is not always preceded by a definition we might use it when it is undefined.





Summary

- Data-flow coverage criteria are claimed to provide a better measure of coverage than control flow because they track dependencies between variables in the flow graph.
- Frankl and Weyuker have done some empirical work on this (see references) and there is some justification for believing data- flow coverage is a good approach to structural testing.
- There are the usual issues of the computability of the exact relationships between definition and use but we are usually satisfied with approximations.





Required Readings

- Textbook (Pezzè and Young): Chapter 6, Dependence and Data Flow Models
- Textbook (Pezzè and Young): Chapter 13, Data Flow Testing
- P. G. Frankl and E. J. Weyuker. 1988. An Applicable Family of Data Flow Testing Criteria. IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng. 14, 10 (October 1988), 1483-1498. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/32.6194





Suggested Readings

- L. A. Clarke, A. Podgurski, D. J. Richardson and Steven J. Zeil, A Formal Evaluation of Data Flow Path Selection Criteria, IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, 15 (11), November 1989, pp. 1318-1332. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/32.41326
- Lori A. Clarke, Andy Podgurski, Debra J. Richardson, and Steven J. Zeil. 1985. A comparison
 of data flow path selection criteria. In Proceedings of the 8th international conference on
 Software engineering (ICSE '85). IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, CA, USA,
 244-251. http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=319568.319646
- S.C. Ntafos. 1988. A Comparison of Some Structural Testing Strategies. IEEE Trans. Softw. Eng. 14, 6 (June 1988), 868-874. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/32.6165
- Sandra Rapps and Elaine J. Weyuker. 1982. Data flow analysis techniques for test data selection. In Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Software engineering (ICSE '82). IEEE Computer Society Press, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, 272-278. http: //portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=800254.807769