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Introduction

This lecture introduces the industry context behind software security and the process of managing vulnerabilities.

- **example** of a vulnerability and its origin
  - threat -> vulnerability -> response

- **timeline** of attacks, notifications, responses
- **security advisories** and CVE-IDs
- implementing a **software security strategy** in an organisation
Outline

An example vulnerability: overflow in X server

Vulnerabilities from the outside

Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVEs)

Building Security In with BSIMM

Summary
Threat

General aim: services running on Unix systems should be robust against local and remote attackers.

Otherwise: attackers may exploit a service to cause a DoS attack, gain access to a system, etc.

For a specific system, a threat analysis should consider the kinds of attackers and their motives (local? remote? what is being protected?) and then all the services running on the system.

**Question.** What’s the easiest form of defence?
A Vulnerability

A security review should first discover (and then monitor) relevant published **security advisories**.

For high value situations (and application code), dedicated review may be needed.

**Jan. 7, 2014 - Stack buffer overflow in parsing of BDF font files in libXfont**

**CVE-2013-6462**: An authenticated X client can cause an X server to read a font file that overflows a buffer on the stack in the X server, potentially leading to crash and/or privilege escalation in setuid servers. The fix is included in libXfont 1.4.7. See the advisory for more details.
What is a BDF file?

- **BDF** = **Bitmap Distribution Format**
- A (mostly) obsolete font format by Adobe
Advisory: **Description**

Scanning of the libXfont sources with the **cppcheck static analyzer** included a report:

```
[lib/libXfont/src/bitmap/bdfread.c:341]: (warning) scanf without field width limits can crash...
```

Evaluation of this report by X.Org developers concluded that a BDF font file containing a longer than expected string could **overflow the buffer on the stack**. Testing in X servers built with Stack Protector resulted in an immediate crash when reading a user-provided specially crafted font.

As libXfont is used to read user-specified font files in all X servers distributed by X.Org, including the Xorg server which is often run with root privileges or as setuid-root in order to access hardware, this bug may lead to an **unprivileged user acquiring root privileges** in some systems.
Advisory: Affected Versions

This bug appears to have been introduced in the initial RCS version 1.1 checked in on 1991/05/10, and is thus believed to be present in every X11 release starting with X11R5 up to the current libXfont 1.4.6. (Manual inspection shows it is present in the sources from the X11R5 tarballs, but not in those from the X11R4 tarballs.)
The vulnerability in the code

```c
char charName[100];
int ignore;

if (sscanf((char *) line, "STARTCHAR %s", charName) != 1) {
    bdfError("bad character name in BDF file\n");
    goto BAILOUT; /* bottom of function, free and return error */
}
```
The vulnerability in the code

```c
char charName[100];
int ignore;

if (sscanf((char *) line, "STARTCHAR %s", charName) != 1) {
    bdfError("bad character name in BDF file\n");
    goto BAILOUT; /* bottom of function, free and return error */
}
```

SYNOPSIS

```c
#include <stdio.h>

int sscanf(const char *str, const char *format, ...);
```

DESCRIPTION

`sscanf()` scans input from the character string pointed to by `str`, according to `format` string. This may contain conversions; results are stored in locations pointed to by the pointer arguments that follow `format`. 
The text above is an example of a context diff which shows the difference between two file versions. The patch command can be used to update the older file given this text. You need to know how to make and apply patches for this course. See ‘man patch’ on a Linux/Unix system.
Defences

Options:

- Disable service
- Repair service: *downstream* updates
- Mitigate impact of attack

In running systems:

- Have there been past attacks?
- Can we check for future ones?
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Vulnerability and attacks timeline

Vendor Releases Software → Attacker Discovers Vulnerability → Attacker Exploits Vulnerability → Attacks discovered in the wild, reported to developer/defences

- security review or action on upstream patches
- zero day exploit
- reverse engineer patches/report

- firewall or malware defence configured

Developer Finds Vulnerability → Vendor Releases Fix and Advisory → Patch installed by users → Attack Stopped
Security advisories (aka bulletins) are issued by software vendors

- *public* feeds, also *private* at earlier stages
- advance notification to high-value customers, security companies
  - maybe before patches are available
  - **Q.** is that a good idea?
- public advisory usually when update available
  - may be *coordinated* among vendors and upstream developers

Various people (sys admins, downstream software devs, users...) should monitor and act on advisories.
Security advisory format

Each vendor has own format. Typical information:

- Name, date, unique identification
- Criticality
- Affected products
- Solution

Varying amounts of information given:

- enough information to construct an exploit?
- if not, attackers may reverse engineer patches/updates anyway
- disclosure has to be planned carefully
  - typically by coordinated disclosure
Jan. 7, 2014 - Stack buffer overflow in parsing of BDF font files in libXfont

**CVE-2013-6462**: An authenticated X client can cause an X server to read a font file that overflows a buffer on the stack in the X server, potentially leading to crash and/or privilege escalation in setuid servers. The fix is included in libXfont 1.4.7. See the advisory for more details.
X.Org Security Advisory: CVE-2013-6462: Stack buffer overflow in parsing of BDF font files in libXfont
Alan Coopersmith alan.coopersmith at oracle.com
Tue Jan 7 08:43:23 PST 2014

Stack buffer overflow in parsing of BDF font files in libXfont

Description:

Scanning of the libXfont sources with the cppcheck static analyzer included a report of:

    [lib/libXfont/src/bitmap/bdfread.c:341]: (warning)
    scanf without field width limits can crash with huge input data.
Advisory on Red Hat enterprise-watch-list

Updated libXfont packages that fix one security issue are now available for Red Hat Enterprise Linux 5 and 6.

The Red Hat Security Response Team has rated this update as having important security impact.
2. Relevant releases/architectures:

RHEL Desktop Workstation (v. 5 client) - i386, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux (v. 5 server) - i386, ia64, ppc, s390x, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Desktop (v. 5 client) - i386, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Desktop (v. 6) - i386, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Desktop Optional (v. 6) - i386, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux HPC Node (v. 6) - x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux HPC Node Optional (v. 6) - x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server (v. 6) - i386, ppc64, s390x, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server Optional (v. 6) - i386, ppc64, s390x,
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Workstation (v. 6) - i386, x86_64
Red Hat Enterprise Linux Workstation Optional (v. 6) - i386, x86_64
3. Description:

The libXfont packages provide the X.Org libXfont runtime library. X.Org is an open source implementation of the X Window System.

A stack-based buffer overflow flaw was found in the way the libXfont library parsed Glyph Bitmap Distribution Format (BDF) fonts. A malicious, local user could exploit this issue to potentially execute arbitrary code with the privileges of the X.Org server. (CVE-2013-6462)

Users of libXfont should upgrade to these updated packages, which contain a backported patch to resolve this issue. All running X.Org server instances must be restarted for the update to take effect.
4. Solution:

Before applying this update, make sure all previously-released errata relevant to your system have been applied.

This update is available via the Red Hat Network. Details on how to use the Red Hat Network to apply this update are available at https://access.redhat.com/kb/docs/DOC-11259

5. Bugs fixed (https://bugzilla.redhat.com/):

1048044 - CVE-2013-6462 libXfont: stack-based buffer overflow flaw when parsing Glyph Bitmap Distribution Format (BDF) fonts
6. Package List:

Red Hat Enterprise Linux Desktop (v. 5 client):

Source:
ftp://ftp.redhat.com/pub/redhat/linux/enterprise/5Client/en/os/SRPMS/libXfont-1.2.2-1.0.5.el5_10.src.rpm

i386:
libXfont-1.2.2-1.0.5.el5_10.i386.rpm
libXfont-debuginfo-1.2.2-1.0.5.el5_10.i386.rpm
...
...
7. References:

https://access.redhat.com/security/updates/classification/#important

8. Contact:

The Red Hat security contact is <secalert redhat com>. More contact details at
https://access.redhat.com/security/team/contact/

Copyright 2014 Red Hat, Inc.
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: GnuPG v1.4.4 (GNU/Linux)

iD8DBQFSz8HSXlSAg2UNWIIRAvo5AJ4976ATNgp8mmoyRgObDFnCvOP4zACfYWJcf9VhkwpGzE3y3jtSD9fupVg=
=7T7Wm
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
**Example: HP Data Protector**

**SUPPORT COMMUNICATION - SECURITY BULLETIN**

Document ID: c03822422  
Version: 1

HPSBMU02895 SSRT101253 rev.1 - HP Data Protector, Remote Increase of Privilege, Denial of Service (DoS), Execution of Arbitrary Code

**NOTICE:** The information in this Security Bulletin should be acted upon as soon as possible.

Release Date: 2014-01-02  
Last Updated: 2014-01-02

**Potential Security Impact:** Remote increase of privilege, Denial of Service (DoS), execution of arbitrary code

**Source:** Hewlett-Packard Company, HP Software Security Response Team

**VULNERABILITY SUMMARY**

Potential security vulnerabilities have been identified with HP Data Protector. These vulnerabilities could be remotely exploited to allow an increase of privilege, create a Denial of Service (DoS), or execute arbitrary code.

**References:**

- CVE-2013-2344 (ZDI-CAN-1866, SSRT101217)  
- CVE-2013-2345 (ZDI-CAN-1869, SSRT101218)  
- CVE-2013-2346 (ZDI-CAN-1870, SSRT101219)
What is HP Data Protector?

**Big data causes big backup challenges**
How was this vulnerability found?

- **Zero Day Initiative**, started by TippingPoint, a network security company
  - part of 3Com, now HP
- Idea of *crowd-sourcing* vulnerability discovery
- Finding many vulnerabilities in enterprise software
  - HP, Microsoft, CISCO, . . .
- Incentive programme rewarding participants
  - $ reward, bonuses like DEFCON attendance
  - advantages: independence, wider knowledge
  - and presumably cheaper than direct employment
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What is CVE?

- Started in 1999, originally at CERT
  - CVE = Common Vulnerability Enumeration
- Aim: standardise identification of vulnerabilities
  - before CVE, each vendor used its own scheme
  - confusing multiple advisories for same problem
- Each vendor/distributor has own advisory channel
  - CVE allows cross referencing, public standard ID
  - Users or customers can check how CVEs are handled
- Moved to MITRE, a US R& D outfit
  - CVE = Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures
- ITU-T adopted in 2011 as international recommendation, X.CVE
Vulnerabilities versus Exposures

**Vulnerability**  A mistake that can be used by a hacker to violate a “reasonable” security policy for a system (e.g., executing commands as another user, violating access restrictions, conducting a DoS attack)

Example: smurf vulnerability (ping server responds to broadcast address)

**Exposure**  A system configuration issue or mistake in software that can be used by a hacker as a stepping-stone into a system or network, e.g., gathering information, hiding activities.

Example: running open ‘finger‘ service; allows attacker to probe network
CVE Identifiers

Consist of:

- CVE ID (number): **CVE-1999-0067**
- Brief description of vulnerability or exposure
- References, e.g., to reports or advisories
CVE IDs

CVE-ID Syntax Changing on January 1, 2014

Due to the ever increasing volume of public vulnerability reports, the CVE Editorial Board and MITRE determined that the Common Vulnerabilities and Exposures (CVE®) project should change the syntax of its standard vulnerability identifiers so that CVE can track more than 10,000 vulnerabilities in a single year.
### New CVE ID format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Syntax</th>
<th>New Syntax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVE-YYYY-NNNN</td>
<td>CVE-YYYY-NNNN...N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 4 fixed digits, supports a maximum of 9,999 unique identifiers per year.
- 4-digit minimum and no maximum, provides for additional capacity each year when needed.

#### Fixed 4-Digit Examples
- CVE-1999-0067
- CVE-2005-4873
- CVE-2012-0158

#### Arbitrary Digits Examples
- CVE-2014-0001
- CVE-2014-12345
- CVE-2014-7654321

YYYY indicates year the ID is issued to a CVE Numbering Authority (CNA) or published.

**Implementation date: January 1, 2014**

Source: http://cve.mitre.org
Creating CVE Identifiers

1. Discover a potential V or E
2. Get a CVE Numbering Authority to give a number
   ▶ MITRE, big vendors (Apple, Google, MS, Ubuntu, ...)  
   ▶ Numbers reserved in blocks; “instantly” available
3. CVE ID number shared among disclosure parties
4. Advisory published, including CVE-ID number
5. MITRE updates master list

Only published CVE-ID Numbers are kept in master list.
CVE Compatibility

- Standard for “interoperability” or “comparability”
- For products and services
- Has some official requirements certified by MITRE
  - ownership by legal entity
  - responsibility, answering to reviews
- Capability required for tools, web sites
  - CVE searchable
  - Use standard document formats
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BSIMM: Building Security In Maturity Model

- **BSIMM** is a *Maturity Model* for real-world best practices in software-producing companies
  - examines *Software Security Initiatives* (SSIs)
  - provides a “measuring stick”, state-of-the-art
  - data-driven: defined by survey results

- Introduced by Gary McGraw and others
  - Author of *Software Security: Building Security In*

- Inspired by **Capability Maturity Model (CMM)** (late 80s-90s)
  - model of software development processes
  - *maturity* = degree of formality/rigour of process
  - 5 Levels: chaotic, repeatable, defined, managed, optimizing

- Now at BSIMM-8, October 2017. Around 100 BSI initiatives studied.
BSIMM goals

For organisations starting/running a Software Security Initiative, BSIMM aims to:

▶ Inform risk management decisions
▶ Clarify “right thing to do” for those involved
▶ Reduce costs via standard, repeatable processes
▶ Improve code quality

This is done by planning a Software Security Initiative, implementing activities selected from BSIMM. Activities can be rolled out according to the maturity level of the organisation.
Implementing a SSI

May be a serious effort for a large organisation to implement, and require a big budget.

Large companies can have:

- tens of thousands of software developers
- hundreds or thousands of applications in development
- similarly many applications in deployment or sale

Systematic, explicit organisation of security goals are needed to manage software security effectively.
BSIMM defines a *Software Security Framework* which describes:

- **12 practices** organised into **4 domains**
  - Governance, Intelligence, Development, Deployment
- Each practice involves numerous **activities**
- Each practice split into **maturity levels 1–3**
  - Each maturity level has several activities
- Now covers over **100 activities**
- New activities added when they appear in >1 org
# BSIMM Domains and Practices

## Governance

Management, measurement, training.

- **SM** Strategy and Metrics
- **CP** Compliance and Policy
- **T** Training

## Intelligence

Collecting data, issuing guidance, threat modelling

- **AM** Attack Models
- **SFD** Security Features and Design
- **SR** Standards and Requirements
BSIMM Domains and Practices

Secure Software Development Lifecycle (SSDL) Touchpoints

Software development artifacts and processes

- **AA** Architecture Analysis
- **CR** Code Review
- **ST** Security Testing

Deployment

Configuration, maintenance, environment security

- **PT** Penetration Testing
- **SE** Software Environment
- **CMVM** Configuration Management and Vulnerability Management
BSIMM example activities in each practice

SM1.4 identify places for SSDL “gates”
CP1.2 identify Personally Identifiable Information (PII)
T1.1 provide security awareness training to promote culture of security
AM1.2 create data classification scheme and inventory, to prioritise applications
SFD1.1 build and publish security features to create guidance, proactively
SR1.1 create security standards to meet demand for security features
AA1.1 perform security feature review to get started with architecture analysis

CR1.4 use automated tools along with manual review to drive efficiency/consistency

ST1.3 drive tests with security requirements and security features to start security testing in familiar functional territory

PT1.1 use external penetration testers to find problems to demonstrate that your organization’s code needs help too

SE1.2 ensure host and network security basics are in place to provide a solid host/network foundation for software

CMVM1.2 identify software bugs found in operations monitoring and feed them back to development to use ops data to change dev behavior
Changes over time: activities, levels

Results are used to identify most common (core) activities in each practice and discover new activities, as well as adjust levels and possibly remove activities.

Example updates:

BSIMM 6 Operate a bug bounty programme.
BSIMM 7 Use application containers
BSIMM 8 Wider community surveyed, lower maturity. First candidate activity to remove.
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Review questions

Server vulnerabilities

▸ Explain why services running on Unix systems should be robust against both local and remote attackers, even if local users are trusted.

Patches, updates, defences

▸ Explain the lifecycle of a software vulnerability. Consider cases where the vulnerability is found by a “black-hat” or by a “white-hat” first.

Software security processes

▸ Explain *vendor security advisories* and CVEs.
▸ Discuss the role of **BSIMM** in improving software security development practices in industry and give example activities in each of its 12 practices.
Next time we’ll look at some overflow vulnerabilities in detail.