

How Software Projects Fail

Software appears, by its nature, to be difficult to engineer on a large scale. Nevertheless, there is an insatiable demand for sizeable, well-engineered software.

We continue to be dogged by large numbers of project failures, on small and large projects.

Many of these are due to mistakes in project management.

In this lecture we discuss:

- Examples of project failure on a large scale.
- What the software engineering standards community offers.

Scale of the Problem

Quoted from CIO Magazine Dec 1998:

- Recent Standish Group survey indicates “46% of IT projects were over budget and overdue and 28 % failed altogether”.
- “only 24 % of IT projects undertaken by Fortune 500 companies in 1998 will be completed successfully.”

A source in the US military claims:

- There is one Army project that is 1 billion dollars over budget, and still has no working system.
- 100% of all DoD projects over 1 million lines of code are delayed.
- One third of all projects are cancelled before completion.
- One half of all projects cost twice as much as they were estimated to cost.
- Documentation of a 350 KLOC DoD project costs about four hundred dollars per page.

License Registration System

In 1990 the Washington State Department of Licensing launched its License Application Mitigation Project (LAMP): \$41.8 million over 5 years to automate the state's vehicle registration and license renewal processes.

By 1993 budget was \$51 million and system was expected to be obsolete when finished.

In 1997 the plug was pulled, about \$40 million having been wasted.

Problems:

- Too big in concept with too few early deliverables.
- Split between in-house and contractor development.
- The organisation didn't want to hear that the project was a failure.

Customer Database System

In 1996 a US consumer group embarked on an 18-month, \$1 million project to replace its customer database. The new system was delivered on time but didn't work as promised, handling routine transactions smoothly but tripping over more complex ones.

Within three weeks the database was shut down, transactions were processed by hand and a new team was brought in to rebuild the system.

Problems:

- The design team was over-optimistic in agreeing to requirements.
- Developers became fixated on deadlines, allowing errors to be ignored.

Customer Tracking System

In 1996 a San Francisco bank was poised to roll out an application for tracking customer calls. Reports provided by the new system would be going directly to the president of the bank and board of directors. An initial product demo seemed sluggish, but telephone banking division managers were assured by the designers that all was well.

But the the system crashed constantly, could not support multiple users at once and did not meet the bank's security requirements. After three months the project was killed; resulting in a loss of approximately \$200,000 in staff time and consulting fees.

Problems:

- The bank failed to check the quality of its contractors.
- Complicated reporting structure with no clear chain of command.
- Nobody "owned" the software.

Payroll system

The night before the launch of a new payroll system in a major US health-care organization, project managers hit problems. During a sample run, the off-the-shelf package began producing cheques for negative amounts, for sums larger than the top executive's annual take-home pay, *etc.*

Payroll was delivered on time for most employees but the incident damaged the relationship between information systems and the payroll and finance departments, and the programming manager resigned in disgrace.

Problems:

- The new system had not been tested under realistic conditions.
- Differences between old and new systems had not been explained (so 8.0 \$ per hour was entered as 800 \$ per hour).
- “A lack of clear leadership was a problem from the beginning.”

Distribution System

Anticipating growth, a \$100 million division of a \$740 million manufacturing business earmarks \$5 million for a new distribution and customer service system to replace its old one. The project is to take a year and a half to complete. Two years later, the CIO is sacked and a new executive brought in to save the project. Three months later, the system breaks down altogether.

Nine months later, the CIO approached his boss, the CEO to tell him the project is a failure. “It was kind of like telling him a relative had died,” he recalls. “First he denied it, then he went through a grieving process, then he accepted it. It was just so much money for a division that size to wave in the wind.”

Problems:

- Wrong direction from the start.
- Inadequate software plan.
- Nobody “owned” the software.