Feedback for SDP report 1

Dominik Glodzik

February 4, 2011

1 Methodology

1. No justification given for design decisions.

2. The work described does not match the aims given.

3. The report describes little individual work done.

4. No aims stated.

5. Irrelevant details given, e.g. minutes of particular meetings.

6. You could be more specific here, e.g. describe your experiments and quote quantitative results.

2 Presentation

(a) Style too chatty.

(b) No succint introduction as to what the report contains. A lot of the reports started by saying how the team was divided. This is important, but does not introduce the report content well. A good way to start the report is to list areas that the person was involved in and state the individual aims.

(c) A paragraph should have an introductory sentence, describing what a reader should expect.

(d) The paragraph is inconsistent - too many unrelated ideas mentioned together.

(e) Different tenses used throughout the report.

(f) Construction of the sentence very complicated, and it reads with difficulty.

(g) It is not clear what the pronoun refers to.

(h) Redundant wording.

(i) Avoid jargon: eg. 'We started coding our vision system'

(j) Beginning sentences with 'and' or 'but'.