

Randomness and Computation

or, “Randomized Algorithms”

Heng Guo

(Based on slides by M. Cryan)

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 1

warm-up: Birthday Paradox

30 people in a room. What is the probability they share a birthday?

- ▶ Assume everyone is equally likely to be born any day (*uniform at random*). Exclude Feb 29 for neatness.
- ▶ Also assume that the birthdays are mutually independent. (E.g. no twins)

Probability p_{30diff} that all birthdays are *different* can be directly calculated

$$\frac{30! \binom{365}{30}}{365^{30}}.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 2

warm-up: Birthday Paradox

Alternatively, we can also use the principle of deferred decision. “Generate” the birthdays one by one

$$p_{30diff} = \prod_{i=1}^{30} \frac{365 - (i-1)}{365} = \prod_{i=1}^{30} \left(1 - \frac{(i-1)}{365}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{29} \left(1 - \frac{j}{365}\right).$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 3

warm-up: Birthday Paradox

Alternatively, we can also use the principle of deferred decision. “Generate” the birthdays one by one

$$p_{30diff} = \prod_{i=1}^{30} \frac{365 - (i-1)}{365} = \prod_{i=1}^{30} \left(1 - \frac{(i-1)}{365}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{29} \left(1 - \frac{j}{365}\right).$$

Recall that $1 + x < e^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $(1 - \frac{j}{365}) < e^{-j/365}$ for any j .

$$p_{30diff} < \prod_{j=1}^{29} e^{-j/365} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{29} e^{-j}\right)^{\frac{1}{365}} = \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{29} j}\right)^{\frac{1}{365}} = \left(e^{-435}\right)^{\frac{1}{365}},$$

where the last step used $\sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 3

warm-up: Birthday Paradox

So far we have

$$p_{30diff} < (e^{-435})^{\frac{1}{365}} < e^{-1.19} \approx 0.3042.$$

This approximation is pretty close, as $p_{30diff} \approx 0.2937$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 4

warm-up: Birthday Paradox

So far we have

$$p_{30diff} < (e^{-435})^{\frac{1}{365}} < e^{-1.19} \approx 0.3042.$$

This approximation is pretty close, as $p_{30diff} \approx 0.2937$.

With probability of at least 0.7, two people at the party share a birthday.

More general framework: n birthday options, m persons

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 4

warm-up: General Birthday Paradox

n birthday options, m persons

Probability $p_{all-m-diff}$ that all are *different* is

$$p_{all-m-diff} = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{(j-1)}{n}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 5

warm-up: General Birthday Paradox

n birthday options, m persons

Probability $p_{all-m-diff}$ that all are *different* is

$$p_{all-m-diff} = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{(j-1)}{n}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Continuing,

$$p_{all-m-diff} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{-j/n} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{-j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = e^{-\frac{(m-1)m}{2n}},$$

approximately $e^{-m^2/2n}$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 5

warm-up: General Birthday Paradox

n birthday options, m persons

Probability $p_{all-m-diff}$ that all are *different* is

$$p_{all-m-diff} = \prod_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{j-1}{n}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right).$$

Continuing,

$$p_{all-m-diff} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{-j/n} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{-j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left(e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = e^{-\frac{(m-1)m}{2n}},$$

approximately $e^{-m^2/2n}$.

Suppose we set $m = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$, then $e^{-m^2/2n}$ becomes $\sim e^{-0.5} \sim 0.6$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 5

The paradox

n birthday options, m persons

Deterministically, there is guaranteed to have a collision (two persons sharing the same birthday) if and only if $m \geq n + 1$.

Randomly, with $m = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$, the probability of a collision is very high.

For example, if $n = 365$ and $m = 57$, $p_{diff} < 1\%$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 6

Balls into Bins

- ▶ m balls, n bins, and balls thrown **uniformly at random** and **independently** into bins (usually one at a time).
- ▶ Magic bins with no upper limit on capacity.
- ▶ Can be viewed as a random function $[m] \rightarrow [n]$.
- ▶ Common model of random allocations and their effects on overall *load* and *load balance*, typical *distribution* in the system.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 7

Balls into Bins

- ▶ m balls, n bins, and balls thrown **uniformly at random** and **independently** into bins (usually one at a time).
- ▶ Magic bins with no upper limit on capacity.
- ▶ Can be viewed as a random function $[m] \rightarrow [n]$.
- ▶ Common model of random allocations and their effects on overall *load* and *load balance*, typical *distribution* in the system.

Many related questions:

- ▶ How many balls do we need to cover all bins?
(**Coupon collector**, *surjective mapping*)
- ▶ How many balls will lead to a collision?
(**Birthday paradox**, *injective mapping*)
- ▶ What is the maximum load of each bin?
(**Load balancing**)

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 7

Load balancing

Load balancing is a very important problem, especially for networks. “Balls into Bins” is a simplified model for hashing.

A success story worth mentioning: Akamai

Consistent Hashing and Random Trees, *STOC* 1997
Karger, Lehman, Leighton, Levine, Lewin, Panigrahy

One year later, Leighton and Lewin co-founded Akamai based on this technique. They created the “Content Delivery Network” (CDN) industry. Many well-known services, including Apple, Facebook, Google / Youtube, Steam, Netflix, (partly) rely on it.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 8

Balls into Bins maximum load

We aim to bound the maximum load of the “Balls into Bins” model in the case of $m = n$. For any bin $i \in [n]$, its load, denoted X_i , has expectation

$$E[X_i] = \sum_{j=1}^n E[X_{ij}] = 1.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 9

Balls into Bins maximum load

We aim to bound the maximum load of the “Balls into Bins” model in the case of $m = n$. For any bin $i \in [n]$, its load, denoted X_i , has expectation

$$E[X_i] = \sum_{j=1}^n E[X_{ij}] = 1.$$

Let $X_i > T$ be our “bad events” for some threshold T . Then to get a **whp** result via union bound, we need to at least upper bound the bad event like

$$\Pr[X_i > T] \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Thus Markov inequality is not good enough, nor is Chebyshev ($\text{Var}[X_i] = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}[X_{ij}] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$).

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 9

Balls into Bins maximum load

We aim to bound the maximum load of the “Balls into Bins” model in the case of $m = n$. For any bin $i \in [n]$, its load, denoted X_i , has expectation

$$E[X_i] = \sum_{j=1}^n E[X_{ij}] = 1.$$

Let $X_i > T$ be our “bad events” for some threshold T . Then to get a **whp** result via union bound, we need to at least upper bound the bad event like

$$\Pr[X_i > T] \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Thus Markov inequality is not good enough, nor is Chebyshev ($\text{Var}[X_i] = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Var}[X_{ij}] = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$).

Chernoff bounds actually work here, since X_i 's are negatively correlated. We will do a quicker “ad hoc” analysis for the upper bound first.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 9

Balls into Bins maximum load

Lemma (5.1)

Let n balls be thrown independently and uniformly at random into n bins. Then for sufficiently large n , the maximum load is bounded above by $\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 10

Balls into Bins maximum load

Lemma (5.1)

Let n balls be thrown independently and uniformly at random into n bins. Then for sufficiently large n , the maximum load is bounded above by $\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof: The probability that bin i receives $\geq M$ balls is at most

$$\binom{n}{M} \frac{n^{n-M}}{n^n} = \binom{n}{M} \frac{1}{n^M}.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 10

Balls into Bins maximum load

Lemma (5.1)

Let n balls be thrown independently and uniformly at random into n bins. Then for sufficiently large n , the maximum load is bounded above by $\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$ with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{n}$.

Proof: The probability that bin i receives $\geq M$ balls is at most

$$\binom{n}{M} \frac{n^{n-M}}{n^n} = \binom{n}{M} \frac{1}{n^M}.$$

Binomial coefficient satisfies

$$\left(\frac{n}{M}\right)^M \leq \binom{n}{M} \leq \frac{n^M}{M!} \leq \left(\frac{en}{M}\right)^M.$$

Bin i gets $\geq M$ balls with probability at most $\left(\frac{en}{nM}\right)^M = \left(\frac{e}{M}\right)^M$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 10

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Bin i gets $\geq M$ balls with probability at most $\left(\frac{e}{M}\right)^M$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 11

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Bin i gets $\geq M$ balls with probability at most $\left(\frac{e}{M}\right)^M$.

Set $M := \frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$. Then the probability that *any* bin gets $\geq M$ balls is (using the Union bound) at most

$$n \cdot \left(\frac{e \cdot \ln \ln(n)}{3 \ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} = e^{\ln(n)} \left(\frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}}.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 11

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Bin i gets $\geq M$ balls with probability at most $\left(\frac{e}{M}\right)^M$.

Set $M := \frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}$. Then the probability that *any* bin gets $\geq M$ balls is (using the Union bound) at most

$$n \cdot \left(\frac{e \cdot \ln \ln(n)}{3 \ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} = e^{\ln(n)} \left(\frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}}.$$

Again using properties of \ln , this expands as

$$e^{\ln(n)} \left(e^{\ln \ln \ln(n) - \ln \ln(n)}\right)^{\frac{3 \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} = e^{\ln(n)} \left(e^{-3 \ln(n) + 3 \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}}\right).$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 11

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Grouping the $\ln(n)$ s in the exponents, and evaluating, we have

$$e^{-2 \ln(n)} \cdot e^{3 \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} = n^{-2} \cdot n^{3 \frac{\ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}}.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 12

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Grouping the $\ln(n)$ s in the exponents, and evaluating, we have

$$e^{-2 \ln(n)} \cdot e^{3 \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}} = n^{-2} \cdot n^{3 \frac{\ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}}.$$

If we take n “sufficiently large” ($n \geq e^{e^4}$ will do it), then $\frac{\ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} \leq 1/3$, hence the probability of *some* bin having $\geq M$ balls is at most

$$n^{-1}. \quad \square$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 12

Balls into Bins maximum load

Proof of Lemma 5.1 cont'd.

Grouping the $\ln(n)$ s in the exponents, and evaluating, we have

$$e^{-2 \ln(n)} \cdot e^{3 \frac{\ln(n) \ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}} = n^{-2} \cdot n^{3 \frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)}}.$$

If we take n “sufficiently large” ($n \geq e^{e^4}$ will do it), then $\frac{\ln \ln \ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)} \leq 1/3$, hence the probability of *some* bin having $\geq M$ balls is at most

$$n^{-1}. \quad \square$$

Can derive a matching proof to show that “with high probability” there will be a bin with $\Omega\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)}\right)$ balls in it.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 12

The power of two choices

Instead of throwing balls randomly, we throw them sequentially with the following tweak: for each ball, we pick two random choices of bins, and choose the one with the lower load.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 13

The power of two choices

Instead of throwing balls randomly, we throw them sequentially with the following tweak: for each ball, we pick two random choices of bins, and choose the one with the lower load.

Surprisingly, the maximum load in this case is $\ln \ln n / \ln 2 \pm O(1)$ with probability $1 - o(1/n)$!

The load reduces from $\Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$ to $\Theta(\ln \ln n)$!

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 13

The power of two choices

Instead of throwing balls randomly, we throw them sequentially with the following tweak: for each ball, we pick two random choices of bins, and choose the one with the lower load.

Surprisingly, the maximum load in this case is $\ln \ln n / \ln 2 \pm O(1)$ with probability $1 - o(1/n)$!

The load reduces from $\Theta\left(\frac{\ln n}{\ln \ln n}\right)$ to $\Theta(\ln \ln n)$!

More generally, we may have $d \geq 2$ choices, and the resulting maximum load is $\ln \ln n / \ln d \pm O(1)$ with probability $1 - o(1/n)$.

This is Theorem 17.1 of [MU] (details in Section 17.1/17.2).

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 13

$\Omega(\cdot)$ bound on the maximum load (sketch)

- ▶ We used the *Union Bound* in our proof of Lemma 5.1, when we multiplied by n . However, in reality, bin i has a lower chance of being “high” (say $\Omega(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)})$) if other bins are already “high” (the “high-bin” events are **negatively correlated**).
- ▶ This means that we can’t use the same approach as in Lemma 5.1 to prove a partner result of $\Omega(\frac{\ln(n)}{\ln \ln(n)})$.
- ▶ Solution is to use the fact that for the binomial distribution $B(m, \frac{1}{n})$ for an individual bin, that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\Pr[X = k] = \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m-k} \rightarrow \frac{e^{-m/n} (m/n)^k}{k!}$$

(ie, close to the probabilities for the Poisson distribution with parameter $\mu = m/n$)

- ▶ The Poisson’s aren’t independent but the dependance can be limited to an extra factor of $e\sqrt{m}$ (Section 5.4).

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 14

Some preliminary observations, definitions

The probability of a specific bin (bin i , say) being empty is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m \sim e^{-m/n}.$$

Expected number of empty bins: $\sim ne^{-m/n}$.

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 15

Some preliminary observations, definitions

The probability of a specific bin (bin i , say) being empty is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m \sim e^{-m/n}.$$

Expected number of empty bins: $\sim ne^{-m/n}$.

Probability p_r of a specific bin having r balls:

$$p_r = \binom{m}{r} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m-r}.$$

Note

$$p_r \sim \frac{e^{-m/n}}{r!} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^r.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 15

Some preliminary observations, definitions

The probability of a specific bin (bin i , say) being empty is:

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^m \sim e^{-m/n}.$$

Expected number of empty bins: $\sim ne^{-m/n}$.

Probability p_r of a specific bin having r balls:

$$p_r = \binom{m}{r} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{m-r}.$$

Note

$$p_r \sim \frac{e^{-m/n}}{r!} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^r.$$

Definition (5.1)

A discrete *Poisson random variable* X with parameter μ is given by the following probability distribution on $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$:

$$\Pr[X = j] = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^j}{j!}.$$

RC (2019/20) – Lecture 9 – slide 15

References and Exercises

- ▶ Sections 5.1, 5.2 of “Probability and Computing” [[MU](#)].
- ▶ On Friday we will do the lower bound and the Poisson approximation. Read Sections 5.3 and 5.4.

Exercises

- ▶ Exercise 5.3 (balls in bins when $m = c \cdot \sqrt{n}$).
- ▶ Exercise 5.10 (sequences of empty bins; this is a bit more tricky)