# Randomness and Computation or, "Randomized Algorithms"

Mary Cryan

School of Informatics University of Edinburgh

# **Bounding deviation**

We already have ...

#### Theorem (3.1, Markov's Inequality)

Let X be any random variable that takes only non-negative values. Then for any a > 0,

$$\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{\mathrm{E}[X]}{a}$$

And also ...

Theorem (3.2, Chebyshev's Inequality) For every a > 0,

$$\Pr[|X - E[X]| \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}$$

These are *generic*. Chernoff/Hoeffding bounds (specific) give tighter bounds for *sums of independent 0/1 variables* and related distributions.

# **Chernoff Bounds**

*Many many variations*. My favourite (general statement) is McDiarmid's presentation:

#### Theorem

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables,  $X_k$  taking values in a set  $A_k$ , for every  $k \in [n]$ . Suppose that the (measurable) function  $f : \prod_{k=1}^n A_k \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies

 $|f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x}')| \leq c_k$ 

whenever  $\bar{x}, \bar{x}'$  only differ in the *k*-th coordinate. Let Y be the random variable  $f[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ . Then for any t > 0,

$$\Pr[|Y - E[Y]| \ge t] \le 2 \exp\left[\frac{-2t^2}{\sum_{k \in [n]} c_k^2}\right]$$

# Corollaries from previous slide

Corollary

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent Bernoulli variables with parameters  $p_1, \ldots, p_n$  respectively, and let  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ . (this case of Bernoulli's with differing ps is often called Poisson trials). Let  $\mu = E[Y]$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\Pr[|Y - E[Y]| \ge \delta\mu] \le 2 \exp\left[\frac{-2(\delta\mu)^2}{n}\right]$ .

This is not as tight as we'd like ... we can't cancel an *n* with  $\mu$  as we don't know the size of the average  $p_k$ . See Corollary 4.6 later

#### Corollary

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent fair coin flips ( $\Pr[X_k = 1] = 1/2$  for every k) respectively, and let  $Y = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ . Note  $\mu = E[Y] = n/2$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr\left[|Y - E[Y]| \ge \delta \mu\right] \le 2 \exp\left[\frac{-n\delta^2}{2}\right].$$

See Theorem 4.7 later (has  $\frac{1}{4}$  rather than  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). RC (2016/17) - Lectures 7, 8 - slide 4

*Poisson trials* - sequence of Bernoulli variables  $X_i$  with varying  $p_i$ s. Theorem (4.4)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent Poisson trials such that  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ , and  $\mu = E[X]$ . We have the following Chernoff bounds:

1. For any  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{1+\delta}}\right)^{\mu};$$

**2**. For any  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ ,

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le e^{-\mu\delta^2/3};$$

3. *For*  $R \ge 6\mu$ ,

$$\Pr[X \ge R] \le 2^{-R}.$$

・ロト・四ト・山下・山下・山下・

Lemma For *n* independent Poisson trials  $X_1, ..., X_n$  and  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $\mu = E[X]$ ,

 $\mathrm{E}[\boldsymbol{e}^{tX}] \leq \boldsymbol{e}^{\mu(\boldsymbol{e}^t-1)}.$ 

#### Proof.

To prove the result, we will consider  $E[e^{tX}]$  for t > 0. This is  $E[e^{t(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}] = E[\prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{tX_i}]$ . The  $X_i$  and hence the  $e^{tX_i}$  are mutually independent, so by Thm 3.3,  $E[e^{tX}] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} E[e^{tX_i}]$ . Each  $e^{tX_i}$  has expectation

$$E[e^{tX_i}] = p_i \cdot e^t + (1 - p_i) \cdot 1$$
  
=  $1 + p_i(e^t - 1)$   
 $\leq e^{p_i(e^t - 1)}$  by  $1 + x \leq e^x$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

$$E[e^{tX}] \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} e^{p_i(e^t-1)} = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i(e^t-1)} = e^{\mu(e^t-1)}.$$

$$RC (2016/17) - Lectures 7, 8 - slide 6$$

Proof of Thm 4.4 (1.)

Interested in events when  $X \ge (1 + \delta)\mu$ . Identical to when  $e^X \ge e^{(1+\delta)\mu}$ , or for any t > 0, when  $e^{tX} \ge e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] &= & \Pr[e^{tX} \ge e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}] \\ &\leq & \frac{\mathrm{E}[e^{tX}]}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}} & \text{by Markov's Inequality} \\ &\leq & \frac{e^{\mu(e^t-1)}}{e^{t(1+\delta)\mu}} & \text{by Lemma just proved} \end{aligned}$$

Now take  $t = \ln(1 + \delta)$  (and note this is > 0) to see

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \frac{e^{\mu(e^{\ln(1+\delta)}-1)}}{e^{\ln(1+\delta)(1+\delta)\mu}} = \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\mu}.$$

$$= \frac{e^{\mu\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)\mu}} = \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\mu}.$$

$$RC (2016/17) - Lectures 7, 8 - slide \mathbb{Z}$$

# Chernoff Bounds from the book Proof of Thm 4.4 (2.)

Already have

$$\Pr[X \ge (1+\delta)\mu] \le \left(\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^{\mu}.$$

The rhs will be  $\leq e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$  if and only if (taking  $\mu$ -th root, then ln)

$$\delta - (1+\delta)\ln(1+\delta) < -\delta^2/3$$

We will show the following *f* is always negative for  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ 

$$f(\delta) =_{def} \delta - (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) + \delta^2/3$$

Differentiating,

$$f'(\delta) = 1 - \ln(1+\delta) + (1+\delta)\frac{1}{1+\delta} + \frac{2\delta}{3}$$
  
=  $-\ln(1+\delta) + \frac{2\delta}{3}$ .  
RC (2016/17) - Lectures 7, 8 - slide 8

Proof of Thm 4.4 (2.) cont'd.

$$f'(\delta) = -\ln(1+\delta) + \frac{2\delta}{3}.$$

Differentiating again

$$f''(\delta) = -\frac{1}{1+\delta} + \frac{2}{3} = -\frac{1}{1+\delta} + \frac{2}{3}$$

Note

$$f^{\prime\prime}(\delta) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} <0 & \mbox{for } 0<\delta<1/2 \\ 0 & \delta=1/2 \\ >0 & \delta>1/2 \end{array} \right.$$

Also f'(0) = 0, f'(1) < 0 (check  $\delta = 1$  in top equation), and by f'decreasing first, then increasing from 1/2)  $f'(\delta) < 0$  on (0, 1). By f(0) = 0, this implies that  $f(\delta) \le 0$  in all of [0, 1]. Hence  $\delta - (1 + \delta) \ln(1 + \delta) < -\delta^2/3$ , proving 2.

# Chernoff Bounds from the book (other direction)

Theorem (4.5)

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be independent Poisson trials such that  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$ for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ , and  $\mu = E[X]$ . For any  $0 < \delta < 1$ , we have the following Chernoff bounds:

$$\Pr[X \le (1-\delta)\mu] \le \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{1-\delta}}\right)^{\mu};$$

2.

1.

$$\Pr[X \leq (1-\delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\mu\delta^2/2};$$

- Proof is similar to Thm 4.4.
- ▶ Bound of 2. is slightly *better* than for the  $\geq (1 + \delta)\mu$  bound.

▶ No 3. Why?

## Concentration

Corollary (4.6)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent Poisson trials such that  $Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ , and  $\mu = E[X]$ . Then for any  $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$ ,

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge \delta \mu] \le 2e^{-\mu \delta^2/3}.$$

- For almost all applications, we will want to work with a symmetric version like the Corollary.
- We "threw away" a bit in moving from the  $\left(\frac{e^{\pm\delta}}{(1\pm\delta)^{1\pm\delta}}\right)^{\mu}$  versions, but they are tricky to work with.

# Analysing a collection of coin flips

We have  $p_i = 1/2$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . We have  $\mu = E[X] = \frac{n}{2}$ ,  $Var[X] = \frac{n}{4}$ . Consider the probability of being further than  $5\sqrt{n}$  from  $\mu$ .

Chebyshev  $\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge 5\sqrt{n}] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{25n} = \frac{1}{100}$ 

Chernoff Work out the  $\delta$  - we need  $\mu \delta = 5\sqrt{n}$ , so need  $\delta = 5\sqrt{n}/\mu = 10\sqrt{n}/n = \frac{10}{\sqrt{n}}$ . Then by Chernoff

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \ge 5\sqrt{n}] \le 2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3} = 2e^{\frac{-10^2 \cdot n}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot \sqrt{n^2}}} = 2e^{-16.6...}.$$

This is much smaller than the Chebyshev bound (though note it doesn't depend on *n*).

Get much improved bounds because Chernoff uses specialised analysis for sums of independent Bernoulli variables.

# Unbiased +1/-1 variables

In fact, for the case of unbiased variables, we can do even better than  $2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$ .

#### Theorem (4.7)

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables with  $\Pr[X_i = 1] = 1/2 = \Pr[X_i = -1]$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $X = \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$ . Note  $\mu = \operatorname{E}[X] = 0$ . Then for any a > 0,

$$\Pr[X \ge a] \le e^{-a^2/2n}$$

#### Proof is in the book.

(uses Taylor series expansions for  $e^t$ ,  $e^{-t}$ ).

Constant is just a bit better than with Theorem 4.6. We did the details of this on the BOARD.

# Set Balancing for statistical experiments

We have an  $n \times m$  binary matrix A (entries from  $\{0, 1\}$ ). We consider the value of

$$A\cdot ar{b}=ar{c},$$

when  $\bar{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$  (note  $\bar{c}$  will then be *n*-dimensional).

Goal is to find  $\bar{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$  such that the value of  $\|A \cdot \bar{b}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^n |c_i|$  is minimized.

Solution: choose  $\overline{b} \in \{-1, +1\}^m$  by generating  $b_i$  independently and uniformly from  $\{-1, +1\}$ . We can show

Theorem (4.11) For  $\bar{b}$  chosen uar from  $\{-1, +1\}^m$ ,

$$\Pr[\|A\bar{b}\|_{\infty} \geq \sqrt{4m\ln(n)}] \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

# Set Balancing for statistical experiments (added post-lecture)

- ▶  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is the absolute value of the largest entry of the tuple. We want to show that with high probability, *every entry* of  $A \cdot \overline{b}$  has absolute value  $\leq \sqrt{4m \ln(n)}$ .
- ► There are *n* different entries of  $\bar{c} = A \cdot \bar{b}$ ; we will show that for each entry, we are "small enough" with probability  $\geq 1 \frac{2}{n^2}$ . Then Union Bound shows that  $||A \cdot \bar{b} \cdot ||_{\infty}$  is bounded with prob  $\geq 1 \frac{2}{n}$ .
- For any row *i* of *A*, there are some entries  $S_i$ ,  $|S_i| \le m$  which are non-0 (ie, 1). The absolute value of  $A_i \cdot \overline{b}$  is the (absolute) weighted sum of these 1s, *randomly* weighted by +1 or -1 ... so we have  $S_i$  random trials of unbiased +1/-1. Setting  $a = \sqrt{4m \ln(n)}$ , Thm 4.7 says the probability we exceed this is at most

$$2e^{-4m\ln(n)/2|S_i|} = 2n^{-2m/|S_i|} \le \frac{2}{n^2},$$

as required.

・ロト・日本・山下・山下・山下・山下・

## References

- Chapter 4 of "Probability and Computing"
- We don't have time to cover the packet routing analysis of 4.5. But it's worth reading (but not examinable in the exam).