Randomness and Computation or, "Randomized Algorithms"	"Coupon collecting" is the activity of buying packs, each of which will have a uniform at random coupon inside. There are be n dif- ferent types of "coupon" and the goal is to collect one copy of each then stop buying.
Heng Guo (Based on slides by M. Cryan)	Last time we have showed that the expected number $E[X]$ of purchases to collect all cards is $nH(n) \sim n\ln(n)$.
	Today we examine how likely a example "run" of the purchasing process is to come close to that expectation.
	Concentration inequalities will be vital:
	 Markov Inequality;
	 Chebyshev Inequality;
	Chernoff Bound / Hoeffding inequality.
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 1	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 2

Markov Inequality

The simplest one.

Theorem (3.1, Markov Inequality)

Let X be any random variable that takes only non-negative values. Then for any a > 0,

$$\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{E[X]}{a}.$$

Markov Inequality

Coupon Collector Problem

The simplest one.

Theorem (3.1, Markov Inequality)

Let X be any random variable that takes only non-negative values. Then for any a > 0,

$$\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{E[X]}{a}.$$

Proof. Define the indicator function I = I(X) by

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a; \\ 1 & x \ge a. \end{cases};$$

Markov Inequality

The simplest one.

Theorem (3.1, Markov Inequality)

Let X be any random variable that takes only non-negative values. Then for any a > 0,

 $\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{E[X]}{a}.$

Proof.

Define the indicator function I = I(X) by

 $I(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a; \\ 1 & x \ge a. \end{cases};$

Then $X \ge a \cdot I(X)$, and hence $I(X) \le \frac{X}{a}$.

Markov Inequality

The simplest one.

Theorem (3.1, Markov Inequality)

Let X be any random variable that takes only non-negative values. Then for any a > 0,

$$\Pr[X \ge a] \le \frac{E[X]}{a}.$$

Proof. Define the indicator function I = I(X) by

$$I(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < a; \\ 1 & x \ge a. \end{cases};$$

Then $X \ge a \cdot I(X)$, and hence $I(X) \le \frac{X}{a}$. Taking expectation of both sides, and using $E[I] = \Pr[X \ge a]$, we have

$$\Pr[X \ge a] = \mathbb{E}[I] \le \frac{1}{a} \mathbb{E}[X].$$

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 3

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 3

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Markov

Recall that *X* is the number of purchases of the coupon collector problem and $E[X] = n \ln n + \Theta(n)$.

Say we want a bound *T* so that the probability of $X \ge T$ is at most $\frac{1}{n}$.

By Markov ineq., $\Pr[X \ge T] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{T}$. Thus, we need T to be at least $n^2 \ln n$.

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Markov

Recall that *X* is the number of purchases of the coupon collector problem and $E[X] = n \ln n + \Theta(n)$.

Say we want a bound *T* so that the probability of $X \ge T$ is at most $\frac{1}{n}$.

By Markov ineq., $\Pr[X \ge T] \le \frac{\mathbb{E}[X]}{T}$. Thus, we need *T* to be at least $n^2 \ln n$.

This is far from tight!

The power of Markov ineq. is that it does not require any other knowledge of the random variable. However for specific problems, we can often do better.

For example, we can bound the variance.

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 4

Variance, Moments of a Random Variable

Definition (3.1) The <i>kth moment</i> of a random variable <i>X</i> is defined to be $E[X^k]$. Definition (3.2) The <i>variance</i> of a random variable is defined to be $Var[X] := E[(X - E[X])^2] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$.	Definition (3.3) The covariance of two random variables X and Y is defined as Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]. Theorem (3.2) For any two random variables X, Y, we have Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y].
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 5	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 6
Covariance of two Random Variables	Covariance of two Random Variables
Definition (3.3)	Definition (3.3)
The covariance of two random variables X and Y is defined as	The covariance of two random variables X and Y is defined as
Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])].	Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])].
Theorem (3.2)	Theorem (3.2)
For any two random variables X, Y, we have	For any two random variables X, Y, we have
Var[X + Y] = Var[Y] + Var[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]	Var[X + Y] = Var[Y] + Var[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]
var[x + r] = var[x] + var[r] + 2Cov[x, r]. Proof.	Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y]. Proof.
$Var[X + Y] = E[(X + Y)^{2}] - E[X + Y]^{2}$ $= E[X^{2}] + E[Y^{2}] + 2E[XY] - E[X]^{2} - E[Y]^{2} - 2E[X]E[Y]$	Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] + 2(E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]).

Covariance of two Random Variables

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 6

 $= \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[Y] + 2(\operatorname{E}[XY] - \operatorname{E}[X]\operatorname{E}[Y]).$

Covariance of two Random Variables	(pairwise) Independent Random Variables
Definition (3.3) The covariance of two random variables X and Y is defined as Cov[X, Y] = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[Y])]. Theorem (3.2) For any two random variables X, Y, we have Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] + 2Cov[X, Y].	Theorem (3.3) If X, Y are a pair of independent random variables, then $E[XY] = E[X] \cdot E[Y].$
Proof. Var[X + Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y] + 2(E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]). $Cov[X, Y] = E[XY - E[X]Y - E[Y]X + E[X]E[Y]]$ $= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y] - E[X]E[Y] + E[X]E[Y]$ $= E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]$	
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 6	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 7
(pairwise) Independent Random Variables Theorem (3.3) If X, Y are a pair of independent random variables, then $E[XY] = E[X] \cdot E[Y].$ Corollary (3.4) If X, Y are a pair of independent random variables, then Cov[X, Y] = 0 and Var[X+Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]. Proof is straightforward application of Thm 3.3.	Chebyshev Inequality Theorem (3.2, Chebyshev Inequality) For every $a > 0$, $\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{Var[X]}{a^2}$.

Chebyshev Inequality	Chebyshev Inequality
Theorem (3.2, Chebyshev Inequality) For every $a > 0$, $\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{Var[X]}{a^2}$.	Theorem (3.2, Chebyshev Inequality) For every $a > 0$, $\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{Var[X]}{a^2}$.
Proof. First we claim for any $a > 0$, $ X - E[X] \ge a \Leftrightarrow (X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2$	Proof. First we claim for any $a > 0$, $ X - E[X] \ge a \Leftrightarrow (X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2$ Applying Markov Ineq. to the random variable $(X - E[X])^2$, we know $\Pr[(X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2] \le \frac{E[(X - E[X])^2]}{a^2}$,
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 8	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 8
Chebyshev Inequality	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Markov
Theorem (3.2, Chebyshev Inequality) For every $a > 0$, $\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$ Proof. First we claim for any $a > 0$, $ X - E[X] \ge a \Leftrightarrow (X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2$ Applying Markov Ineq. to the random variable $(X - E[X])^2$, we know $\Pr[(X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2] \le \frac{\operatorname{E}[(X - E[X])^2]}{a^2},$ and by definition of $\operatorname{Var}(\cdot)$, this gives $\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] = \Pr[(X - E[X])^2 \ge a^2] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$	Recall that X is the number of purchases of the coupon collector problem and $E[X] = n \ln n + \Theta(n)$. Using Markov ineq., we can get an upper bound of a "typical" number of the order $n^2 \ln n$, which is not particularly interesting. We can do better with Chebyshev ineq

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 9

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev
$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$	$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$
	Need to evaluate Var[X], which is Var[X ₁ + X _n]. Recall that X _i is the <i>number of packets</i> bought to get the <i>i</i> -th new card.
<i>RC</i> (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 10	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 10
Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev
$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$	$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$
▶ Need to evaluate $Var[X]$, which is $Var[X_1 + X_n]$.	▶ Need to evaluate $Var[X]$, which is $Var[X_1 + X_n]$.

- Recall that X_i is the *number of packets* bought to get the *i*-th new card.
- Corollary 3.4: for independent *Y*, *Z*, Var[Y + Z] = Var[Y] + Var[Z]. Are these X_i 's independent?

- Need to evaluate Var[X], which is Var[X₁ + ... X_n].
 Recall that X_i is the *number of packets* bought to get the *i*-th new card.
- Corollary 3.4: for independent *Y*, *Z*, Var[Y + Z] = Var[Y] + Var[Z]. Are these X_i 's independent?
- X_i is independent of the value of X_{i-1} or any of the earlier values. X_i only depends on the values n and i (and not on what cards we have collected or how long it takes to collect them).

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev Each X_i is a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n}$.
$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2}.$	
 Need to evaluate Var[X], which is Var[X₁ + X_n]. Recall that X_i is the <i>number of packets</i> bought to get the <i>i</i>-th new card. 	
Corollary 3.4: for independent Y, Z, Var[Y + Z] = Var[Y] + Var[Z]. Are these X _i 's independent?	
X _i is independent of the value of X _{i-1} or any of the earlier values. X _i only depends on the values n and i (and not on what cards we have collected or how long it takes to collect them).	
 Hence the random variables X₁,, X_n are all mutually independent, and Var[X] = Var[X₁] + Var[X₂] + + Var[X_n]. 	
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 10	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 11
Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev Each X_i is a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n}$. Lemma (3.8) For any geometric random variable X with parameter p , $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev Each X_i is a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n}$. Lemma (3.8) For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$. Proof. We have $Var[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$. For geometric variable, $E[X]^2 = p^{-2}$.

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev

Each X_i is a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n}$.

Lemma (3.8)

For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.

Proof.

We have $Var[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$. For geometric variable, $E[X]^2 = p^{-2}$. For $E[X^2]$, we could do direct calculation. We can also consider conditional expectation. Once again, let *Y* indicate the outcome of the first trial.

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev

Each X_i is a geometric random variable with parameter $\frac{n-(i-1)}{n}$.

Lemma (3.8)

For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.

Proof.

We have $\operatorname{Var}[X] = \operatorname{E}[X^2] - \operatorname{E}[X]^2$. For geometric variable, $\operatorname{E}[X]^2 = p^{-2}$. For $\operatorname{E}[X^2]$, we could do direct calculation. We can also consider conditional expectation. Once again, let *Y* indicate the outcome of the first trial.

$$E[X^{2}] = \Pr[Y = 1]E[X^{2} | Y = 1] + \Pr[Y = 0]E[X^{2} | Y = 0]$$

= $p + (1 - p) \sum_{x \ge 1} x^{2} \Pr[X = x | Y = 0]$
= $p + (1 - p) \sum_{x \ge 2} x^{2} \Pr[X = x - 1]$
= $p + (1 - p) \sum_{x \ge 1} (x + 1)^{2} \Pr[X = x] = p + (1 - p)E[(X + 1)^{2}]$

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 11

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 11

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev

Lemma (3.8)

For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.

Proof (cont'd).

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev

Lemma (3.8)

For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.

Proof (cont'd).

$$E[X^{2}] = p + (1 - p)E[(X + 1)^{2}]$$

= p + (1 - p)E[X^{2}] + 2(1 - p)E[X] + 1 - p
= 1 + \frac{2(1 - p)}{p} + (1 - p)E[X^{2}].

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev
Lemma (3.8) For any geometric random variable X with parameter p, $E[X] = p^{-1}$ and $Var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$.	$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[X_j]}{a^2}.$
Proof (cont'd).	
$E[X^{2}] = p + (1-p)E[(X+1)^{2}]$ = p + (1-p)E[X^{2}] + 2(1-p)E[X] + 1-p = 1 + $\frac{2(1-p)}{p}$ + (1-p)E[X^{2}]. We can solve that $E[X^{2}] = \frac{2-p}{p^{2}}$. Thus, $Var[X] = E[X^{2}] - E[X]^{2} = \frac{1-p}{p^{2}}$.	
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 12	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 13
Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev
$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[X_j]}{a^2}.$	$\Pr[X - \mathbb{E}[X] \ge a] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{a^2} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[X_j]}{a^2}.$

Each individual X_j is geometric with parameter $\frac{n-(j-1)}{n}$, so each X_j has

$$\operatorname{Var}[X_j] = \frac{j-1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{(n+1-j)}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{n}{n+1-j}\right)^2.$$

Each individual X_j is geometric with parameter $\frac{n-(j-1)}{n}$, so each X_j has

$$\operatorname{Var}[X_j] = \frac{j-1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{(n+1-j)}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{n}{n+1-j}\right)^2.$$

Hence, using the Euler's series for $\frac{\pi^2}{6}$,

$$\operatorname{Var}[X] \leq n^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n+1-j}\right)^2 = n^2 \sum_{j=n}^1 \left(\frac{1}{j}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6}.$$

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev
We know $\operatorname{Var}[X] \leq \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6}$ for our coupon collector process.	We know $\operatorname{Var}[X] \leq \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6}$ for our coupon collector process. Suppose we are willing to make $2E[X]$ (about $2n \ln(n)$) purchases. The probability we fail to get all cards is $\Pr[X > 2E[X]] = \Pr[X - E[X] > E[X]]$ $= \Pr[X - E[X] > E[X]].$ (as $X \ge 0$)
RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 14	RC (2019/20) – Lecture 5 – slide 14
Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Chebyshev	Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Union bound
We know $\operatorname{Var}[X] \leq \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6}$ for our coupon collector process.Suppose we are willing to make $2E[X]$ (about $2n \ln(n)$) purchases.The probability we fail to get all cards is $\Pr[X > 2E[X]] = \Pr[X - E[X] > E[X]]$ $= \Pr[X - E[X] > E[X]]$. (as $X \geq 0$)We can upper bound the probability of the bad event $ X - E[X] > E[X]$ using Chebyshev Inequality with $a = E[X]$:	Theorem (1.2, Union bound) Let E_1, E_2, \ldots be a finite or countably infinite sequence of events, $\Pr\left[\bigcup_{i\geq 1} E_i\right] \leq \sum_{i\geq 1} \Pr[E_i].$
$\Pr[X - E[X] \ge E[X]] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[X]}{E[X]^2} \le \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{6n^2 H(n)^2} \\ = \frac{\pi^2}{6H(n)^2} \le \frac{2}{\ln(n)^2}.$	Similar to Markov ineq., there is almost no requirement to the union bound!

This improves over $\frac{1}{2},$ which is what Markov gives us.

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Union bound

Let E_i be the "bad" even

Thus, by a union bound

 $\Pr[X]$

Bounding Coupon Collector purchases - Union bound

Let *E*, be the "bad" event where card *i* is still missing at time *T*.

$$\Pr[E] < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{T}$$
Thus, by a union bound.

$$\Pr[X \ge T] = \Pr\left[\bigcup_{i \ge 1}^{n} E_{i}\right] \le n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{T}$$
Thus, by a union bound.

$$\Pr[X \ge T] = \Pr\left[\bigcup_{i \ge 1}^{n} E_{i}\right] \le n \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{T}$$
Thus, for example if $\varepsilon = 1$,

$$\Pr[X \ge 2n \ln n] \le n^{-1}$$
.
As $E[X] \ge n \ln n$,

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \Pr[X \ge 2n \ln n] \le n^{-1}$$
.
As $E[X] \ge n \ln n$,

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \frac{1}{2}$$
(Markov)

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \frac{1}{2}$$
(Markov)

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \frac{1}{2}$$
(Markov)

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \frac{1}{2}$$
(Chebyshev)

$$\Pr[X \ge 2E[X]] \le \frac{1}{n}$$
(Union bound)
The stronger the bounds are, the more information we use.
Chebyshev also gives (weak) lower bound. Using Chernoff bound for negatively correlated r , one can show

$$\Pr[X \le (1 - c)(n - 1) \ln n] \le e^{-n^{t}}.$$
However this is beyond the scope of our course. Check out Chapter 9 and 10 of https://arxiv.org/abs/1400.0723 if you are interested.

RC (2019/20) – *Lecture* 5 – *slide* 18

Wrapping up today

Next week we will continue the theme of "bounding deviation from the mean" by introducing some stronger concentration inequalities called Chernoff bounds/Hoeffding ineq.

First, on Friday (to give a break) we will look at a simple random algorithm to approximately calculate **Max** Cut, and show how to *derandomize* it.

- Coursework 1 will be available on Thursday.
- Tutorials are starting next week. The first tutorial sheet will also be available soon.