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Markov processes

- A **Markov process** is a r.v $X = \{X(t) : t \in T\}$, usually $T = \mathbb{N}^0$.

- $X(t)$ (sometimes written as $X_t$) is the state of the process at time $t \in T$, this is an element of some state set $\Omega$, usually a discrete finite set, sometimes countably infinite.

- A Markov process with the **memoryless property** is one where $X_{t+1}$ will depend on the previous state $X_t$, but on none of the previous states.
Markov chains

Definition (Definition 7.1)
A discrete-time stochastic process on the state space $\Omega$ is said to be a Markov chain if

$$\Pr[X_t = a_t \mid X_{t-1} = a_{t-1}, \ldots, X_0 = a_0] = \Pr[X_t = a_t \mid X_{t-1} = a_{t-1}].$$

We will often denote the Markov chain by the name $M$, and write $M[a_{t-1}, a_t]$ to denote the probability $\Pr[X_t = a_t \mid X_{t-1} = a_{t-1}].$
We can think about the *Markov chain* \( M \) in terms of a matrix of dimensions \(|\Omega| \times |\Omega|\) (if \( \Omega \) is finite) or of infinite dimension if \( \Omega \) is countably infinite.

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M[a_1, a_1] & M[a_1, a_2] & \cdots & M[a_1, a_j] & \cdots \\
M[a_2, a_1] & M[a_2, a_2] & \cdots & M[a_2, a_j] & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
M[a_j, a_1] & M[a_j, a_2] & \cdots & M[a_j, a_j] & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

This is often called the *transition matrix* of the Markov chain.
Transition matrix

This representation assumes that the states of the Markov chain have been put in 1-1 correspondance with $\mathbb{N}$. This is certainly possible (as we assume $\Omega$ is countably infinite at worst) but it’s not always the case that this ordering is particularly natural. In many situations (especially when $\Omega$ is finite but exponentially sized), we’ll avoid writing down the transition matrix at all - as an example, see the description of the contingency tables $2 \times 2$ chain.

Note that for every $a \in \Omega$, $\sum_{b \in \Omega} M[a, b] = 1$ (so a’s-row sums to 1).
Iterations of the Markov chain

Suppose we start our Markov process with the initial state $X(0)$ being some fixed $a \in \Omega$.

- The "next state" $X(1)$ is distributed according to $a$’s row of the transition matrix $M$ (with probability $M[a, b]$ of $X(1)$ becoming $b$).

- If we define $\bar{p}(0)$ to be the row vector with $\bar{p}_a(0) = 1$ and all other entries 0, then we can define the probability distribution $\bar{p}(1)$ by

$$\bar{p}(1) = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M,$$

and the probability that $X(1)$ is $b$ at the next step is $p_b(1)$ (which equals $M[a, b]$), for every $b \in \Omega$.

- The "next state" $X(1)$ is a random variable, and its distribution is the vector $\bar{p}(1)$ above (with values summing to 1).
Iterations of the Markov chain

- If we then carry out a second step of the Markov chain, the random variable $X(2)$ will then be distributed according to $\bar{p}(2)$, where

$$\bar{p}(2) = \bar{p}(1) \cdot M = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M \cdot M = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M^2.$$ 

- And so on . . .

After $t$ steps of the Markov chain $M$, the random variable $X(t)$ will then be distributed according to $\bar{p}(t)$, where

$$\bar{p}(t) = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M^t.$$
Example Markov chain

Let’s look at a concrete example of a Markov chain on the state set \( \Omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \), with probabilities given by the following transition matrix:

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{3}{4} \\
\frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{6} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Example Markov chain

- Notice that every row of the matrix sums to 1, as required.
- Not the case for the columns (and doesn’t have to be).
- In the graph representation, the “states" are vertices and the “transitions" of the chain are directed edges (labelled with the appropriate probability).
- Consider running this Markov chain from $3 \in \Omega$. Then we set $\bar{p}(0) = (0, 0, 0, 1)$.
- Evaluating $\bar{p}(1) = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M$, we note it will be $(0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$.
- Evaluating $\bar{p}(2) = \bar{p}(0) \cdot M^2$, we can compute it as $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{23}{48}, \frac{7}{48})$.
- And so on . . .
- We would expect these $\bar{p}(t)$ values to be different if we had started with a different initial state.

However, these alternate $t$-step distributions can be easily calculated/compared, if we have pre-computed $M^t$.
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Markov chains for Randomized Algorithms

The graph (and transition matrix) on slide is just a “toy” example. In our world, we will want to exploit Markov chains to obtain randomized algorithms.

▶ In the 2SAT example of Section 7.1, [MU], we are concerned with assignments (functions) $A$ of boolean values to the $n$ logical variables (and which will achieve the max number of satisfied clauses).

▶ The transitions of the modified Markov chain for 2SAT ($Y$) are designed to model transitions back and forth between “better” and “worse” assignments.

▶ However, the states of the Markov chain ($Y$) are the natural numbers 0, 1, . . . , $n$, with $n$ being the number of variables. This is a fairly small state space.

▶ Next Tuesday we will prove that for a 2SAT instance which does have a satisfying assignment, the expected number of steps before the Markov chain finds one is at most $n^2$. This is expected “hitting time”.
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Markov chains for Randomized Algorithms

Another application of Markov chains arises when we want to *randomly sample* (or *approximately count*) the number of combinatorial structures satisfying some constraint.

- In these cases the elements of the state space $\Omega$ are individual combinatorial structures themselves, and this space is often very large (potentially exponential in the size of the input).

- One example we can imagine is the set of *contingency tables* $\Sigma_{r,c}$ for given row sums $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_m)$ and column sums $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)$.

- With large state spaces of combinatorial elements, we don’t explicitly “write down” the transition matrix of a Markov chain on the space - we just give (randomized) rules explaining how we move (randomly) from one element to another.

- In Coursework 2, you are asked to prove that the “$2 \times 2$” Markov chain converges to the uniform distribution on $\Omega = \Sigma_{r,c}$. 
Irreducibility, recurrence, aperiodicity

To analyse the behaviour (both temporary and long-term) of a Markov chain \( M \) on the state space \( \Omega \), we often work from the graph representation of \( M \)’s transitions.

**Definition (Definition 7.2)**

For \( a, b \in \Omega \), we say that state \( b \) is accessible from state \( a \) if there is some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( M^n[a, b] > 0 \). If \( a, b \) are both accessible from one another, we say that they communicate, and write \( a \leftrightarrow b \).

**Definition (Definition 7.3)**

A Markov chain \( M \) on the finite state space \( \Omega \) is said to be irreducible if all states of \( \Omega \) belong to the same communicating class.

Or equivalently, if we can show that for every \( X, Y \in \Omega \), there is some path \( Z^0 = X, Z^1, \ldots, Z^\ell = Y \) of states from \( \Omega \) connecting \( X \) and \( Y \), such that \( M[Z^j, Z^{j+1}] > 0 \) for every \( 0 \leq j \leq \ell - 1 \).
Irreducibility, recurrence, aperiodicity

Let’s consider our example chain:

{0, 1, 3} forms a maximal communicating class in the graph.

{2} is an isolated communicating class - we can reach 2 from {0, 1, 3}, but 2 has no outgoing transitions.

Our example is not irreducible.
We define the concept of “recurrence” in terms of a parameter $r^t_{Z,W}$, where

$$r^t_{Z,W} = \text{def} \ Pr[X(t) = W \text{ and for all } 1 \leq s \leq t - 1, X(s) \neq W \mid X(0) = Z].$$

**Definition (Definition 7.4)**

If $M$ is a Markov chain and $Z \in \Omega$ a state of that chain, we say $Z$ is *recurrent* if $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r^t_{Z,Z} = 1$, and it is *transient* if $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r^t_{Z,Z} < 1$.

A Markov chain is recurrent if every state is recurrent.

In our example, the only recurrent state is 2.
Irreducibility, recurrence, aperiodicity

The expected “time to travel" will be important for some analyses. For a pair of given states $Z, W \in \Omega$, we define this value as

$$h_{Z,W} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t \cdot r_{Z,W}^t$$

Definition (Definition 7.5)
A recurrent state $Z$ of a Markov chain $M$ is positive recurrent if $h_{Z,Z} < \infty$. Otherwise, it is null recurrent.

Lemma (Lemma 7.5)
In a Markov chain $M$ on a finite state space $\Omega$,

1. At least one state is recurrent;
2. All recurrent states are positive recurrent.
Irreducibility, recurrence, aperiodicity

Definition (Definition 7.6)
A state $Z$ of a Markov chain $M$ is periodic if there exists an integer $k \geq 2$ such that $\Pr[X(t + s) = Z | X(t) = Z]$ is non-zero if and only if $s$ is divisible by $k$.
A discrete Markov chain is periodic if any of its states are periodic. Otherwise (all states aperiodic), we say that $M$ is aperiodic.

Definition (Definition 7.7)
An aperiodic, positive recurrent state $Z \in \Omega$ of a Markov chain $M$ is said to be an ergodic state.
The Markov chain is said to be ergodic if all its states are ergodic.

Corollary (Corollary 7.6)
Any finite, irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain is an ergodic chain.
Corollary (Corollary 7.6)
Any finite, irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain is an ergodic chain.

Proof.
By Lemma 7.5 every chain with a finite state space has at least one recurrent state.
If the chain is irreducible, then all states can be reached from one another (with positive probability), so all states are hence recurrent. By Lemma 7.5, this means all states are positive recurrent. Hence by Definition 7.7 (we have positive recurrence, irreducibility and aperiodicity) the chain is ergodic.

Take-away: For Markov chains over a finite state space, we only need to check aperiodicity and irreducibility (for all pairs of states) to show ergodicity.
Ergodic Markov chains

Why do we care about states/Markov chains being ergodic?

Because an ergodic Markov chain has a *unique stationary distribution*.

**Definition (Definition 7.8)**

A *stationary distribution* of a Markov chain $M$ on $\Omega$ is a probability distribution $\bar{\pi}$ on $\Omega$ such that

$$\bar{\pi} = \bar{\pi} \cdot M.$$ 

Stationary distributions are essentially “settling-down" distributions (in the limit). The “settling-down" is to a *distribution*, not a particular state.
Ergodic Markov chains

**Theorem (Theorem 7.7)**

Any finite, irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain has the following properties:

1. The chain has a unique stationary distribution \( \bar{\pi} = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_{|\Omega|}) \).

2. For all \( X, Y \in \Omega \), \( \lim_{t \to \infty} M^t[X, Y] \) exists and is independent of \( X \).

3. \( \pi_Y = \lim_{t \to \infty} M^t[X, Y] = \frac{1}{h_{Y,Y}} \).

We are not going to prove Theorem 7.7 in this course. We will later see some ways of bounding the number of steps needed for the chain to approach its stationary distribution.
Reading and Doing

Reading

▶ You will want to read Sections 7.1, 7.2, and 7.3 from the book. I have used $M$ (name of the Markov chain) to refer to the transition matrix, as opposed to the book’s $P$.

Doing

▶ Consider an example of contingency tables where we have $r = (2, 2, 4), c = (2, 3, 3)$. Suppose that we take the following state as our starting state $X$:

$$
X = \begin{array}{ccc|c}
2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 3 & 4 \\
2 & 3 & 3 & \\
\end{array}
$$

Work out the subset of contingency tables which can be reached from $X$ in one transition of the Markov chain. Also work out the probability of each such transition.

▶ Tutorial sheet for week 8 (which includes the above question).